

Universität Paderborn
Fakultät für Elektrotechnik, Informatik und Mathematik

Université Paul Verlaine Metz
École Doctorale, IAEM Lorraine

Patterson-Sullivan distributions for symmetric spaces of the noncompact type

Dissertation
Thèse de doctorat

vorgelegt von
Michael Schröder

27. Mai 2010

Abstract

There is a curious relation between two kinds of phase space distributions associated to Laplace-eigenfunctions φ_{λ_k} on a compact hyperbolic manifold Y .

Given a pseudodifferential operator quantization $Op : C^\infty(S^*Y) \rightarrow B(L^2(Y))$, that is an assignment of bounded operators to smooth zero order symbols a on the unit (co-)tangent bundle S^*Y , the functionals $\rho_{\lambda_j, \lambda_k}(A) = \langle A\varphi_{\lambda_j}, \varphi_{\lambda_k} \rangle_{L^2(Y)}$ on the space of zero-order pseudodifferential operators give rise to Wigner distributions $W_{\lambda_j, \lambda_k}(a) = \rho_{\lambda_j, \lambda_k}(Op(a))$ on S^*Y , which are the key objects in quantum ergodicity. One studies the oscillation and concentration properties of the eigenfunctions through the so-called large energy limits of the distributions W_{λ_j, λ_k} , that is one investigates their behaviour when the eigenvalues tend to infinity.

If Y is a symmetric space of the noncompact type, the Laplace operator is replaced by the corresponding algebra of translation invariant differential operators. Given moderate eigenfunctions φ and ψ , their distributional boundary values in the sense of Helgason give rise to the Patterson-Sullivan distribution $PS_{\varphi, \psi}$ on S^*Y .

In the case of compact hyperbolic surfaces $Y = \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$ it was observed by N. Anantharaman and S. Zelditch that there is an exact and an asymptotic relation between these phase space distributions.

We generalize parts of a special non-Euclidean calculus of pseudodifferential operators, which was invented by S. Zelditch for hyperbolic surfaces, to symmetric spaces $X = G/K$ of the noncompact type and their compact quotients $Y = \Gamma \backslash G/K$. We sometimes restrict our results to the case of rank one symmetric spaces. The non-Euclidean setting extends the definition of Patterson-Sullivan distributions in a natural way to arbitrary symmetric spaces of the noncompact type. Generalizing the exact formula given by Zelditch and Anantharaman, we find an explicit intertwining operator mapping Patterson-Sullivan distributions into Wigner distributions. We study the important invariance and equivariance properties of these distributions. Finally, we describe asymptotic properties of these distributions.

Zusammenfassung

Es gibt eine interessante Beziehung zwischen zwei Familien von Distributionen, welche zu Eigenfunktionen φ_{λ_k} des Laplace-Operators einer kompakten hyperbolischen Mannigfaltigkeit Y assoziiert werden:

Gegeben eine Pseudodifferentialoperatoren-Quantisierung, d. h. eine Vorschrift $Op : C^\infty(S^*Y) \rightarrow B(L^2(Y))$, die Symbolen a der Ordnung 0 auf dem Kosphärenbündel L^2 -beschränkte Operatoren auf Y zuweist, so erhält man aus den Funktionalen $\rho_{\lambda_j, \lambda_k}(A) = \langle A\varphi_{\lambda_j}, \varphi_{\lambda_k} \rangle_{L^2}$ auf den Raum der Pseudodifferentialoperatoren nullter Ordnung die Wigner-Distributionen $W_{\lambda_j, \lambda_k}(a) = \rho_{\lambda_j, \lambda_k}(Op(a))$ auf dem Kosphärenbündel S^*Y . Diese sind die Schlüsselobjekte der Quanten-Ergodizität: Man studiert die Schwingungs- und Konzentrationseigenschaften der Eigenfunktionen, indem man das Hochfrequenzverhalten der Distributionen W_{λ_j, λ_k} untersucht, d.h. wenn die Eigenwerte gegen unendlich streben.

Falls Y ein symmetrischer Raum nichtkompakten Typs ist, so wird der Laplace-Operator durch die gesamte Algebra der invarianten Differentialoperatoren ersetzt. Gegeben moderate Eigenfunktionen φ und ψ auf Y , so liefern ihre Helgason-Randwerte sogenannte Patterson-Sullivan Distributionen $PS_{\varphi, \psi}$ auf S^*Y .

Im Falle kompakter hyperbolischer Flächen $Y = \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$ beobachteten N. Anantharaman und S. Zelditch eine exakte und eine asymptotische Beziehung zwischen diesen Distributionen.

Wir verallgemeinern Teile eines speziellen nicht-euklidischen Kalküls von Pseudodifferentialoperatoren, welcher zuerst von S. Zelditch für hyperbolische Flächen eingeführt wurde, auf symmetrische Räume $X = G/K$ nichtkompakten Typs und ihre kompakten Quotienten $Y = \Gamma \backslash G/K$. Wir werden uns bei einigen Resultaten auf den Fall von Räumen vom Rang eins beschränken. Das nicht-euklidische Setting erweitert die Definitionen der Patterson-Sullivan Distributionen auf natürliche Weise auf symmetrische Räume nichtkompakten Typs. Wir verallgemeinern die exakte Beziehung zwischen diesen und den Wigner-Distributionen und studieren die wichtigen Eigenschaften der Patterson-Sullivan Distributionen. Schließlich beschreiben wir asymptotische Verbindungen zwischen verschiedenen Arten von Distributionen.

Acknowledgements

First of all I wish to express my sincere thanks to my supervisor Joachim Hilgert. I thank him for leaving to me this interesting and challenging topic, and for giving me the chance to be a part of the IRTG, as which I was able to look beyond the scenes of mathematics. I thank him for his enduring help, his patience, and for making me a member of his friendly working group. I express my thanks to Angela Pasquale for her help and for being a kind and helpful advisor, and for a very pleasant stay in Metz. Many thanks go to my longstanding mentor Sönke Hansen for always having sympathetic ear and an open door for me. Special thanks go to Martin Olbrich for his expertise and experience in very difficult questions. In particular, I want to thank Nalini Anantharaman and Steve Zelditch for helpful discussions on further issues, problems, and research projects. I thank my colleagues from the IRTG and the Department of Mathematics of the University of Paderborn and last but not least, I thank my friends in Paderborn for their insight and for their support.

Contents

1	Introduction	8
2	Preliminaries	15
2.1	Symmetric spaces and real semisimple Lie groups	16
2.2	Geodesics, horocycles, and the boundary at infinity	26
2.3	Invariant differential operators	35
2.4	The classical examples	42
3	Component computations	49
3.1	Some integral formulas	49
3.2	Derivatives corresponding to the Iwasawa decomposition	52
3.3	Critical sets and Hessian forms	56
4	Equivariant pseudodifferential operators on symmetric spaces	66
4.1	Non-Euclidean Fourier analysis	67
4.2	Invariance and equivariance properties	69
4.3	Classes of symbols	72
4.4	The Kohn-Nirenberg operator	75
4.5	Conjugation by a wave group-type operator	87
5	Helgason boundary values	91
5.1	Poisson transform and principal series representations	93
5.2	Regularity of distributional boundary values	95
5.3	Tensor products of distributional boundary values	99
6	Patterson-Sullivan distributions	102
6.1	Intermediate values	102
6.2	Definitions and invariance properties	108
6.3	The Knapp-Stein intertwining operators	113
6.4	An integral formula	116
6.5	Eigenfunctions on a compact quotient	118
6.6	The spectral order principle	122
	Bibliography	127
	Index	131

1 Introduction

Quantum ergodicity is a subfield of mathematics combining dynamical systems and microlocal analysis to investigate the global topography of eigenfunctions of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on Riemannian manifolds.

We begin by describing how the questions of quantum ergodicity are integrated in the greater picture of science. Then we give a brief summary of the basic definitions which are important in quantum ergodicity, and we list a couple of simple properties of the objects we want to investigate. It is important to collect these things in this introduction to motivate the concrete results of this work. We use definitions from the overview articles [Zel05], [Zel09a] and [Zel09b], and we also follow the descriptions in [Zel87], [BO05] and [SV].

Background

Let (M, g) denote a (compact) Riemannian manifold with metric g . We denote by $\Delta = \Delta_g$ the corresponding positive Laplace-Beltrami operator

$$\Delta = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\det g_{ij}|}} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(g^{ij} \sqrt{|\det g_{ij}|} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right),$$

where $g_{ij} = g(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j})$ and where g^{ij} is the inverse matrix to g_{ij} . The starting point is the eigenvalue problem

$$\Delta \varphi_\lambda = \lambda^2 \varphi_\lambda, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (1.1)$$

In the compact case, the spectrum of Δ is discrete and we arrange the eigenvalues in non-decreasing order $\lambda_0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \rightarrow \infty$. We denote by φ_{λ_j} an orthonormal basis of real-valued eigenfunctions with respect to the inner product $\langle \varphi_{\lambda_j}, \varphi_{\lambda_k} \rangle = \int_M \varphi_{\lambda_j}(x) \varphi_{\lambda_k}(x) dx$, where dx denotes the volume density. The eigenvalue problem on M is dual under the Fourier transform to the wave equation. We denote the eigenvalues by λ^2 , which saves us from writing a few square root signs. We will later (in the other chapters) often consider the usual definition of the Laplace-Beltrami operator, that is we will consider $-\Delta$ instead of Δ .

Eigenfunctions of Laplace operators arise in physics as modes of periodic vibration of drums and membranes. They can also represent stationary states of a free quantum particle on a Riemannian manifold. More generally, eigenfunctions of Schrödinger operators represent stationary energy states of atoms and molecules in quantum mechanics.

In mathematics, studies of eigenfunctions tend to fall into two categories:

- The analysis of ground states, i.e. φ_0 or φ_1 . An eigenfunction is always the ground state Dirichlet eigenfunction in any of its nodal domains. Other questions in the spectral theory of the Laplacian concern estimates for the least positive eigenvalue (for example, see [U80]).

- The analysis of high frequency limits (semi-classical limits) of eigenfunctions, i.e. the limit as the eigenvalue tends to infinity.

Our emphasis is on the high frequency behavior of eigenfunctions. Studies of high frequency behavior eigenfunctions also fall into two categories:

- Local results, which often hold for any solution of (1.1) on a (small) ball $B_r(x)$, irrespective of whether the eigenfunction extends to a global eigenfunction.
- Global results for eigenfunctions that extend to M . A typical global assumption is that the eigenfunctions are also eigenfunctions of the wave group.

We are interested in global properties of eigenfunctions. These generally reflect the relation of the wave group and geodesic flow, particularly the long time behavior of waves and geodesics on the manifold.

The general approach to understand the global behavior of eigenfunctions is to do a phase space analysis, where the phase space is the co-tangent bundle T^*M or an energy surface S^*M . We often identify T^*M and TM using the metric. For example, one often wishes to construct highly localized eigenfunctions or approximate eigenfunctions (quasi-modes) of Δ or to prove that they do not exist. To obtain global phase space results relating the behavior of eigenfunctions to the behavior of geodesics, it is necessary to use microlocal analysis, i.e. the calculus of pseudo-differential operators. Microlocal analysis is a mathematically precise formulation of the semi-classical limit in quantum mechanics. Pseudo-differential operators are quantizations $Op(a)$ of functions on the phase space T^*M : The classical pseudodifferential operators $Op(a)$ on \mathbb{R}^n are defined by the action on exponentials:

$$Op(a)e^{i\langle x, \xi \rangle} = a(x, \xi)e^{i\langle x, \xi \rangle}.$$

The symbol $a(x, \xi)$ has order $m \in \mathbb{R}$ if $\sup_K (1 + |\xi|)^{j-m} |D_x^\alpha D_\xi^\beta a(x, \xi)| < \infty$ for all compact sets K and all α, β, j . Symbol classes can also be defined locally and the definition of pseudodifferential operators can be extended to manifolds. A symbol is called polyhomogeneous if it admits a classical asymptotic expansion

$$a(x, \xi) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{m-j}(x, \xi),$$

where the a_l are homogeneous in $|\xi| \geq 1$ of order l . We call the leading term $\sigma_{Op(a)} := a_m$ the principal symbol of $Op(a)$. By Ψ^m we denote the space of classical pseudodifferential operators on M of order m . We have the exact sequence of algebras $0 \rightarrow \Psi^{-1} \rightarrow \Psi^0 \xrightarrow{\sigma} C^\infty(SM) \rightarrow 0$, where σ is the principal symbol map. A right-inverse of σ mapping homogeneous symbols of order 0 into

1 Introduction

L^2 -bounded operators is called quantization or operator convention. Functions on S^*M are also called observables.

The cotangent bundle is equipped with the symplectic form $\sum_i dx_i \wedge d\xi_i$. The metric defines the Hamiltonian vector field

$$H(x, \xi) = |\xi|_g = \sqrt{\sum_{i,j=1}^n g^{ij}(x) \xi_i \xi_j}$$

on T^*M . The classical evolution is given by the geodesic flow of (M, g) , i.e. the Hamiltonian flow g^t of H on T^*M : By definition, $g^t(x, \xi) = (x_t, \xi_t)$, where (x_t, ξ_t) is the terminal tangent vector at time t of the unit speed geodesic starting at x in the direction ξ . The Liouville measure μ_L on S^*M is by definition the measure $d\mu_L = \frac{dx d\xi}{dH}$ induced by the Hamiltonian and the symplectic volume element $dx d\xi$ on T^*M . The geodesic flow preserves the Liouville measure. We can thus define a unitary operator V^t on $L^2(S^*M, d\mu_L)$ by

$$V^t(a) := a \circ g^t.$$

The operator V^t is called the translation operator associated to the geodesic flow. The geodesic flow is called ergodic, if V^t has no invariant L^2 -functions besides the constants. Equivalently, the geodesic flow is called ergodic, if any invariant set $E \subseteq S^*M$ has either zero measure or full measure.

The quantization of the Hamiltonian is the square root $\sqrt{\Delta}$ of the positive Laplacian. Quantum evolution is given by the wave group

$$U^t = e^{it\sqrt{\Delta}}.$$

It is generated by the pseudodifferential operator $\sqrt{\Delta}$ as defined by the spectral theorem: It has the same eigenfunctions as Δ , but to the eigenvalues λ .

Evolution of observables is known in physics as the 'Heisenberg picture'. It is defined by

$$\alpha_t(A) = U^t A U^{-t}, \quad A \in \Psi^m.$$

Egorov's theorem yields a correspondence to the classical evolution $V^t(a) = a \circ g^t$. It says that α_t is an order preserving automorphism on the space of pseudodifferential operators, that is $\alpha_t(A) \in \Psi^m$ for all $A \in \Psi^m$ and that

$$\sigma_{\alpha_t(A)}(x, \xi) = \sigma_A(g^t(x, \xi)) = V^t(\sigma_A).$$

This formula is almost universally taken to be the definition of quantization of a flow or map in the physics literature.

In quantum ergodicity, one studies the concentration and oscillation properties of eigenfunctions through the linear functionals

$$\rho_{\lambda_j}(A) = \langle A \varphi_{\lambda_j}, \varphi_{\lambda_j} \rangle$$

on the space of zeroth order pseudo-differential operators A . The possible limits of the family $\{\rho_{\lambda_j}\}$ are called quantum limits or microlocal defect measures. The diagonal elements $\rho_{\lambda_j}(A)$ are interpreted in quantum mechanics as the expected value of the observable $Op(a)$ in the energy state λ_j . The off-diagonal matrix elements

$$\rho_{\lambda_j, \lambda_k}(A) = \langle A\varphi_{\lambda_j}, \varphi_{\lambda_k} \rangle$$

are interpreted as transition amplitudes between states. We fix a quantization $a \rightarrow Op(a)$. The matrix elements are then also called Wigner distributions:

$$W_{\lambda_j, \lambda_k}(a) = \rho_{\lambda_j, \lambda_k}(Op(a)).$$

We first observe that $\rho_{\lambda_j, \lambda_k}(I) = \delta_{j,k}$ (Kronecker-Delta), since the eigenfunctions are orthonormal in $L^2(M)$. In the diagonal case, the functionals ρ_{λ_k} are positive in the sense that for any operator A we have $\rho_{\lambda_k}(A^*A) \geq 0$. This can be seen by moving A^* to the right side in the L^2 -inner product. Writing out $\rho_{\lambda_j, \lambda_k}(U^t A U^{-t})$ and moving U^t to the right side we find

$$\rho_{\lambda_j, \lambda_k}(U^t A U^{-t}) = e^{it(\lambda_k - \lambda_j)} \rho_{\lambda_j, \lambda_k}(A).$$

These properties are summarized by saying that ρ_{λ_j} is an invariant state on (the closure in the operator norm of) the algebra Ψ^0 .

Let Q denote the set of possible quantum limits. Any orthonormal basis such as $\{\varphi_{\lambda_k}\}$ tends to 0 weakly in L^2 . Hence $\{K\varphi_{\lambda_k}\}$ tends to 0 weakly in L^2 for each compact operator K . Then, the diagonal elements $\rho_{\lambda_j}(K)$ tend to 0 for all compact K . Given two pseudodifferential operators on M with the same principal symbol of order zero, their difference is an operator of negative order and thus compact. It follows that Q is independent of the choice of quantization.

Using standard estimates on pseudodifferential operators one shows ([Zel09a], §6) that any weak limit is continuous on $C(S^*M)$. It is a positive functional since each ρ_{λ_k} is and hence any limit is a probability measure.

By the invariance of the ρ_{λ_k} under the automorphisms α_t on Ψ^0 and by Egorov's theorem we find that any limit of $\rho_{\lambda_k}(A)$ is a limit of $\rho_{\lambda_k}(Op(\sigma_A \circ g^t))$, and hence the limit is invariant under the geodesic flow g^t .

It follows from $\rho_{\lambda_j, \lambda_k}(U^t A U^{-t}) = e^{it(\lambda_k - \lambda_j)} \rho_{\lambda_j, \lambda_k}(A)$ that the off-diagonal matrix elements can only have a limit for subsequences $\{\lambda_{j_n}\}$ and $\{\lambda_{k_n}\}$ of eigenvalue-parameters such that the spectral gap $|\lambda_{j_n} - \lambda_{k_n}|$ tends to a limit $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$. In that case, each limit μ is an eigenmeasure for the geodesic flow:

$$\mu(a \circ g^t) = e^{it\tau} \mu(a).$$

A measure is called invariant under time-reversal, if it is invariant under the anti-symplectic involution $(x, \xi) \rightarrow (x, -\xi)$ on T^*M . Since the eigenfunctions are (by our assumption) real-valued and hence complex-conjugation invariant, it follows that any quantum limit is invariant under time-reversal.

From the mathematical point of view, one would like to know the behavior of the diagonal matrix elements and the off diagonal matrix elements, when the eigenvalue tends to infinity. One of the principal problems is:

Problem 1.1. Determine the set Q of quantum limits.

As a motivating example, suppose that for a subsequence k_j the functionals ρ_{k_j} tend to the Liouville measure μ_L . Let $E \subseteq M$ denote a measurable set whose boundary has measure zero. Testing against multiplication operators (with symbols given by smoothed versions of the characteristic function of E) yields ([Zel09b], p. 19)

$$\frac{1}{\text{vol}(M)} \int_E |\varphi_{k_j}(x)|^2 dx \rightarrow \frac{\text{vol}(E)}{\text{vol}(M)}.$$

We interpret $|\varphi_{k_j}(x)|^2 dx$ as the probability density of finding a particle of energy $\lambda_{k_j}^2$ in E . Then this sequence of probabilities tends to uniform measure and the eigenfunctions become uniformly distributed on M . However, the assumption $\rho_{k_j} \rightarrow \mu_L$ is much stronger, since then

$$\langle Op(1_E)\varphi_{k_j}, \varphi_{k_j} \rangle \rightarrow \frac{\mu_L(\pi^{-1}(E))}{\mu_L(S^*M)},$$

where $\pi : S^*M \rightarrow M$ is the natural projection. The Laplacian of (M, g) is said to be QUE (quantum uniquely ergodic) if the only quantum limit for any orthonormal basis of eigenfunctions is the Liouville measure. The following conjecture was first stated by Rudnick-Sarnak ([RS94]):

Conjecture 1.2. *Let (M, g) be a negatively curved manifold. Then Δ is QUE.*

Off-diagonal matrix elements are also important as transition amplitudes between states. As described above, a sequence of such matrix elements cannot have a weak limit unless the spectral gap tends to a limit τ . We denote the corresponding set of limits by Q_τ . Then we can also formulate:

Problem 1.3. Determine the set Q_τ of off-diagonal quantum limits.

For examples of possible quantum limits we refer to the overview articles [Zel09a] and [Zel09b], which also describe recent developments of mathematical quantum chaos such as mixing properties of eigenfunctions, boundary quantum ergodicity, converse quantum ergodicity, and other problems.

Outline and statement of results

Let $X = G/K$ denote a symmetric space of the noncompact type, where G is a connected semisimple Lie group with finite center and K a maximal compact subgroup of G . In Section 2 we recall basic definitions concerning symmetric spaces and we give detailed descriptions of their geometry. Our setting is as follows: Let $G = KAN$ be an Iwasawa decomposition of G and let M denote the centralizer of A in K . The geodesic boundary of X can be identified with the flag manifold $B := K/M$. Let $o := K \in G/K$ denote the *origin* of the symmetric space X . We fix a cocompact and torsion free discrete subgroup Γ of G . Let Δ , resp. Δ_Γ , denote the Laplace operator of X , resp. X_Γ .

In [Zel86], S. Zelditch introduced a natural pseudodifferential operator convention for G/K , when $G = PSU(1,1)$, $K = PSO(2)$. In Section 4 we generalize this calculus to symmetric spaces of the noncompact type. We sometimes restrict our results to rank one spaces. The interesting aspect of this calculus is its G -equivariance: Let SX denote the unit tangent bundle of $X = G/K$. If $a \in C^\infty(SX)$ is Γ -invariant under the natural action of G on SX , then it yields a pseudodifferential operator on the quotient $X_\Gamma := \Gamma \backslash G/K$. We can hence use the G -equivariant non-Euclidean pseudodifferential calculus to define Wigner distributions on the quotient $X_\Gamma = \Gamma \backslash G/K$.

If Y is a manifold, u a distribution or hyperfunction on Y and φ a test function, then we denote the pairing $\langle \varphi, u \rangle_Y$ by $\int_Y \varphi(y)u(dy)$. The starting point of all following observations is Helgason's representation theorem for joint eigenfunctions of the algebra $\mathbb{D}(G/K)$ of translation invariant differential operators: Given a joint eigenfunction $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_\lambda(X)$ (see Section 5), then there is a linear functional T_φ on the space of analytic functions on B such that φ is given by the Poisson-Helgason-transform $\varphi(z) = \langle e^{(i\lambda+\rho)\langle z, b \rangle}, T \rangle_B = \int_B e^{(i\lambda+\rho)\langle z, b \rangle} T(db)$. Here, the function $e_{\lambda, b} := e^{(i\lambda+\rho)\langle z, b \rangle}$ denotes a generalized Poisson kernel (see Section 2.3).

In Section 5 we describe the theory of Helgason boundary values. In particular, we describe their regularity as a function of the spectral parameter $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}$, where \mathfrak{a} is the Lie algebra of A .

Wigner distributions tend to measures with certain invariance properties. The question arises whether there exist distributions constructed from eigenfunctions which are related to the Wigner-distributions and which already possess these invariance properties. For hyperbolic surfaces, such distributions were constructed by N. Anantharaman and S. Zelditch in [AZ07]. These distributions were termed Patterson-Sullivan distributions by analogy with their construction of boundary measures associated to ground states on infinite volume hyperbolic manifolds ([Sul79]): The Patterson-Sullivan distribution associated to a real eigenfunction φ_{ir} corresponding to the eigenvalue $1/4 + r^2$ and with associated boundary values T_{ir} is the distribution on $B^{(2)}$ (the space consisting of distinct

1 Introduction

boundary points $b, b' \in B$) defined by

$$ps_{ir}(db, db') := \frac{T_{ir}(db)T_{ir}(db')}{|b - b'|^{1+2ir}}. \quad (1.2)$$

The interesting aspect of quotients X_Γ lies in the study of Γ -invariant eigenfunctions on the original symmetric space: If the eigenfunction is Γ -invariant, then the corresponding Patterson-Sullivan distribution is Γ -invariant and invariant under time reversal. To obtain a geodesic flow invariant distribution PS_{ir} on SX , Anantharaman and Zelditch tensor with dt . They also define normalized Patterson-Sullivan distributions by dividing by the integral against 1. The result is a geodesic flow invariant distribution \widehat{PS}_{ir} constructed as a quadratic expression in the eigenfunctions. Anantharaman and Zelditch then proved that there is an explicit intertwining operator L_{ir} mapping Patterson-Sullivan distributions into Wigner distributions.

We explain how to generalize these definitions to symmetric spaces of the noncompact type: Following [Eber96] we say that two distinct boundary points $b, b' \in B$ can be joint at infinity if there is a geodesic in X with forward endpoint b and backwards endpoint b' . We describe in Section 2 the open dense subset $B^{(2)}$ of distinct boundary points that can be joint at infinity. It turns out that this space is invariant under the action of G on B and identifies with the homogeneous space G/MA . We introduce functions d_λ on $B^{(2)}$ and a geodesic Radon transform $\mathcal{R} : C_c^\infty(SX) \rightarrow C_c^\infty(B^{(2)})$ such that the expression

$$\langle a, PS_\lambda \rangle_{SX} := \int_{B^{(2)}} d_\lambda(b, b') \mathcal{R}(a)(b, b') T_\lambda(db) T_\lambda(db') \quad (1.3)$$

defines a Γ -invariant distribution on SX , and this is the generalized *Patterson-Sullivan distribution* associated to the eigenfunction $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_\lambda$ (Sec. 2.3). The PS_λ are invariant under the geodesic flow and under time reversal. The weight functions d_λ will be called *intermediate values* because they satisfy a certain equivariance property, which generalizes a so-called intermediate values formula for hyperbolic surfaces (Sec. 6).

As was pointed out in the introduction of [AZ07] it is of interest to also have analogous definitions for off-diagonal matrix entries. We will in fact also consider these off-diagonal elements and off-diagonal Patterson-Sullivan distributions: In Section 6 we use off-diagonal intermediate values $d_{\lambda, \mu}$ on $B^{(2)}$. Given joint eigenfunctions φ and ψ we then introduce general off-diagonal Patterson-Sullivan distributions on SX .

The point is that all Patterson-Sullivan distributions we consider are Γ -invariant. We show how this lets the definitions descend to quotients X_Γ . In order to generalize the above mentioned results for hyperbolic surfaces, we will find an explicit intertwining operator that maps off-diagonal Patterson-Sullivan distributions into non-Euclidean Wigner distributions.

2 Preliminaries

A Riemannian manifold X is called a *homogeneous space* if its group of Riemannian isometries acts transitively on X . We consider a point x of a connected Riemannian manifold X . Let U denote a symmetric neighborhood of x in the tangent space of x such that the exponential map is well-defined on U and a diffeomorphism onto its image V . The symmetry $u \mapsto -u$ of U induces a map s_x on V , which we call the *local geodesic symmetry centered at x* . We say that X is a *Riemannian locally symmetric space* if for any x in X the corresponding local symmetry at x is a local isometry of X . We say that X is *globally symmetric* for any x this isometry may be extended uniquely to X . A complete simply connected locally symmetric space is globally symmetric. In this sense, globally symmetric spaces are complete spaces which possess a very large group of isometries. In particular, their group of isometries acts transitively. We recall material from [DS] and [Eber96] for some background.

A globally symmetric space X is the Cartesian Riemannian product of three globally symmetric spaces $X = \mathbb{R}^n \times D \times T$ (*de Rham decomposition*), where D has nonpositive curvature, where T has nonnegative curvature, and where D and T may not be written as a product of \mathbb{R} with another Riemannian manifold. We say that D is of noncompact type and T is of compact type. We will be interested in symmetric spaces of the noncompact type.

The structure of Riemannian symmetric spaces is intrinsically linked with the theory of Lie groups: Let G denote the isometry group of the connected Riemannian manifold X . For a compact subset C of X and an open subset U of X put $W(C, U) := \{g \in G : g \cdot C \subset U\}$. The compact open topology is defined as the smallest topology on G for which all the sets $W(C, U)$ are open. For this topology, G is Hausdorff, separable, locally compact and second countable. If X is globally symmetric, G can be proved to carry a structure of Lie group compatible with this topology. Let G_0 denote the identity component of G , select a point $p \in X$ and denote by K the subgroup of G_0 which stabilizes p . Then K is a maximal compact subgroup of G_0 and G_0/K is isometric to X . On the other hand, given a connected Lie group G_0 and a closed subgroup K of G_0 , we call (G_0, K) a Riemannian symmetric pair if the group $\text{Ad}_{G_0}(K)$ is compact and if there exists an involutive smooth automorphism σ of G_0 , which is not the identity, such that $(K_\sigma)_0 \subset K \subset K_\sigma$, where K_σ is the set of fixed point of σ in G_0 and where $(K_\sigma)_0$ is its identity component. Then there is a Riemannian metric on G_0/K such that G_0/K is a Riemannian symmetric space. We now explain these constructions for symmetric spaces of the noncompact type.

Call a Lie algebra *semisimple* if it is a direct sum of simple (non-abelian) Lie algebras without proper ideals. A (connected) Lie group is said to be semisimple if its Lie algebra is semisimple, that is it has no non-trivial abelian connected normal closed subgroup. We denote the Lie algebra of G by \mathfrak{g} and let Tr denote the *trace* of a vector space endomorphism. We consider the symmetric bilinear form $B(X, Y) = Tr(\text{ad } X \text{ ad } Y)$ on $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}$ and call $B(\cdot, \cdot)$ the *Killing form* of \mathfrak{g} .

A Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} over a field of characteristic 0 is *semisimple* if and only if its Killing form B of \mathfrak{g} is non-degenerate.

Let X be a symmetric space of the noncompact type. If p is any point of X , its stabilizer is a maximal compact subgroup of G_0 . If K is a maximal compact subgroup of G_0 , then there is a unique point p in X such that K is the stabilizer of p . Any two maximal compact subgroups of G_0 are conjugate by an element of G_0 . If \mathfrak{k} is the Lie algebra of K , the Killing form of \mathfrak{g} is strictly negative definite on \mathfrak{k} . The group G_0 acts transitively on X . It is a semisimple Lie group with finite center. Fix a point $p \in X$ and let K denote its stabilizer in G_0 . Consider the coset space G_0/K and the diffeomorphism $\varphi : G_0/K \rightarrow X$, $\varphi(gK) = g(p)$ for $g \in G_0$. Denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the metric on G_0/K obtained by pulling back the metric of X by φ . Then φ is an isometry and the metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is left G_0 -invariant, that is left translations on G_0/K by elements of G_0 are isometries of the metric space $(G_0/K, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$. Hence each globally symmetric space of the noncompact type can be written in the form G_0/K as above. These observations are summarized by

Theorem 2.1 (E. Cartan). *The Riemannian globally symmetric spaces of the noncompact type are the spaces of the form G/K equipped with a G -invariant metric, where G is a connected semisimple Lie group with finite center and K a maximal compact subgroup of G .*

2.1 Symmetric spaces and real semisimple Lie groups

Definition 2.2. A *Riemannian symmetric space of the noncompact type* is a homogeneous space $X = G/K$, where G is a real connected semisimple Lie group with finite center and K is a maximal compact subgroup of G .

Let G denote a connected Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} and let H be a closed subgroup of G with Lie algebra \mathfrak{h} . By G/H we denote the quotient space consisting of left cosets gH , $g \in G$. Let $\pi : G \rightarrow G/H$ denote the natural projection. Choose a complementary subspace \mathfrak{m} of \mathfrak{g} such that $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$. Let X_1, \dots, X_r and X_{r+1}, \dots, X_n be bases of \mathfrak{m} and \mathfrak{h} , respectively. If $g \in G$, the mapping

$$(x_1, \dots, x_r) \mapsto \pi(g \exp(x_1 X_1 + \dots + x_r X_r)) \quad (2.1)$$

is a diffeomorphism of a neighborhood of $0 \in \mathfrak{m}$ onto a neighborhood of the point $\pi(g) = gH \in G/H$. The inverse of (2.1) is a local coordinate system near gH , turning a neighborhood of each $\pi(g)$ and hence G/H into a manifold.

The Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is naturally identified with the tangent space $T_e G$ of G at the identity $e \in G$. We list basic results and definitions about semisimple Lie groups. Details can be found in standard sources ([DS]).

For each $X \in \mathfrak{g} \cong T_e G$ there is a unique homomorphism $\gamma_X : (\mathbb{R}, +) \rightarrow G$ such that $\gamma'_X(0) = X$. The image of γ_X is called a *one parameter subgroup* of

G . The mapping $\exp : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow G, X \mapsto \exp(X) := \gamma_X(1)$ is called the *exponential map* of G . We have $e^{tX} = \gamma_X(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Each $g \in G$ defines an inner automorphism $C_g : G \rightarrow G$ by $C_g(h) = ghg^{-1}$ of the group G . Taking the derivative we define a Lie algebra automorphism

$$\text{Ad}(g) = dC_g : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}.$$

The map $\text{Ad} : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ is called the *adjoint representation* of G . We will often denote the corresponding group action of G on \mathfrak{g} by $g \cdot X$ ($X \in \mathfrak{g}$). For $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ we define a linear transformation

$$\text{ad } X : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}, \quad (\text{ad } X)(Y) = [X, Y],$$

where $[\cdot, \cdot]$ denotes the Lie bracket of vector fields on G .

If σ is an automorphism of \mathfrak{g} then $\text{ad}(\sigma X) = \sigma \circ \text{ad } X \circ \sigma^{-1}$ so by $\text{Tr}(AB) = \text{Tr}(BA)$ we have $B(\sigma X, \sigma Y) = B(X, Y)$ and $B([X, Y], Z) + B(Y, [X, Z]) = 0$. If \mathfrak{a} is an ideal in \mathfrak{g} , then the Killing form of \mathfrak{a} coincides with the restriction of B to $\mathfrak{a} \times \mathfrak{a}$.

The space G/H is called *reductive*, if \mathfrak{m} as above can be chosen such that

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}, \quad \text{Ad}_G(h)\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathfrak{m} \quad (h \in H). \quad (2.2)$$

If $\text{Ad}(H)$ is compact, then G/H is reductive: In fact, \mathfrak{g} will then admit a positive definite quadratic form invariant under $\text{Ad}_G(H)$ and \mathfrak{m} can be chosen to be the orthogonal complement (w.r.t. this quadratic form) of \mathfrak{h} in \mathfrak{g} ([GGA], p. 284).

2.1.1 Tangent spaces and Cartan decomposition

For the descriptions of the geometric structure of a symmetric space $X = G/K$ in terms of algebraic data given by the semisimple Lie group G we orient ourselves on [Eber96].

We write $o := K \in G/K$ and call o the *origin* of the symmetric space $X = G/K$. Define an involution $\sigma : G \rightarrow G$ by $\sigma(g) = s \circ g \circ s$ ([Eber96], p. 71), where s denotes the geodesic symmetry at o . The differential of σ at e is $\theta = d\sigma : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$, which is also characterized by the equation $\sigma(e^{tX}) = e^{t\theta(X)}$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}$. Since $\theta^2 = \text{id}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ we obtain the *Cartan decomposition*

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p},$$

where $\mathfrak{k} = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} : \theta X = X\}$ and $\mathfrak{p} = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} : \theta X = -X\}$ are the eigenspaces corresponding to the eigenvalues $+1$ and -1 . The Lie algebra automorphism θ preserves Lie brackets, so we have

$$[\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{k}] \subseteq \mathfrak{k}, \quad [\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{p}] \subseteq \mathfrak{p}, \quad [\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] \subseteq \mathfrak{k}. \quad (2.3)$$

We consider the map $\pi : G \rightarrow X$ given by $g \mapsto g \cdot o$. Taking the differential we obtain a linear map $d\pi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$, whose kernel is precisely \mathfrak{k} . Moreover, \mathfrak{k} is

the Lie algebra of the maximal compact subgroup $K = \{g \in G : g \cdot o = o\}$ of G . The restriction $d\pi : \mathfrak{p} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is a monomorphism and we use it to identify $T_o X = \mathfrak{p}$. Although we restricted the above constructions to the particular point o , these results can be obtained at for each $p \in X$.

It also follows that $\text{Ad}(K)$ leaves \mathfrak{p} invariant. Moreover, the elements of $\text{Ad}(K)$ are orthogonal transformations on \mathfrak{p} with respect to the restriction to \mathfrak{p} of the Killing form B of \mathfrak{g} . The spaces \mathfrak{p} and \mathfrak{k} are orthogonal with respect to the Killing form B of \mathfrak{g} .

For $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ we set $B_\theta(X, Y) = -B(\theta X, Y)$. Then B_θ is a positive definite bilinear form on \mathfrak{g} . We can therefore call $|X|^2 = B_\theta(X, X)$ the *norm on \mathfrak{g} induced by the Killing form*. The restriction of B_θ to \mathfrak{p} equals the Killing form of \mathfrak{g} restricted to \mathfrak{p} .

2.1.2 Rank of Symmetric Spaces

A totally geodesic submanifold of a globally symmetric space X is necessarily itself a globally symmetric space. If X is of the noncompact type, totally geodesic submanifolds have nonpositive curvature and thus don't have compact type factors ([Eber96], Ch. 2). We say that X has *rank k* if it contains a flat totally geodesic submanifold of dimension k and if every other flat totally geodesic submanifold has dimension $\leq k$. As X contains geodesics, its rank is ≥ 1 . A symmetric space has rank one if and only if it has negative sectional curvature, that is its sectional curvature (as a function on the Grassmanian bundle of tangent 2-planes of X) is everywhere negative.

As usual let $X = G/K$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p}$ be a Cartan decomposition. The rank of X may also be defined by the dimension of a maximal abelian subspace \mathfrak{a} of \mathfrak{p} . It does neither depend on the choice of \mathfrak{a} nor \mathfrak{p} ([Eber96], p. 76). These definitions of rank are equivalent ([Eber96], 1.12.12 and (2.15.4)).

2.1.3 Root space decompositions

Fix a maximal abelian subspace \mathfrak{a} of \mathfrak{p} . Let \mathfrak{a}^* be the real dual space of \mathfrak{a} and let $\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$ be its complexification. The operators $\text{ad } X$ and $\text{ad } Y$ commute in $\text{End}(\mathfrak{g})$ for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{a}$. Let \mathfrak{a}^* be the real dual of \mathfrak{a} and let $\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}^*$. Then define

$$\mathfrak{g}_\alpha = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} : (\text{ad } H)(X) = \alpha(H)X \text{ for all } H \in \mathfrak{a}\}.$$

An element $0 \neq \alpha \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ is called a *restricted root* if $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha \neq \{0\}$. It also follows that $\text{ad}(\mathfrak{a})$ is a commuting family of linear transformations of \mathfrak{g} . We denote the set of roots determined by \mathfrak{a} by Σ . Then $\Sigma \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$ is a nonempty finite set. We have the B_θ -orthogonal direct sum decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 + \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$$

([DS], p. 263 or [Eber96], p. 78). This is called the *root space decomposition* of \mathfrak{g} determined by \mathfrak{a} . For $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ let $Z(X)$ denote the centralizer of X in \mathfrak{g} . An element $X \in \mathfrak{p}$ is called *regular* if $Z(X) \cap \mathfrak{p}$ is a maximal abelian subspace of \mathfrak{p} . Otherwise X is called *singular*. An element $X \neq 0$ is regular if and only if $\alpha(X) \neq 0$ for every nonzero root $\alpha \in \Sigma$ that occurs in the root space decomposition of \mathfrak{g} determined by \mathfrak{a} . Let $\mathfrak{a}' = R(\mathfrak{a})$ denote the set of regular elements. This set is the complement in \mathfrak{a} of the union of the finite collection of hyperplanes

$$\mathfrak{a}_\alpha = \{X \in \mathfrak{a} : \alpha(X) = 0\}, \quad \alpha \in \Sigma.$$

We write

$$H \sim H' \iff \alpha(H)\alpha(H') > 0 \quad \forall \alpha \in \Sigma.$$

This \sim defines an equivalence relation in $R(\mathfrak{a})$. The corresponding equivalence classes are called *Weyl chambers*. We fix a Weyl chamber \mathfrak{a}^+ and call it the *positive Weyl chamber*. We call a root α *positive* and write $\alpha > 0$ or $\alpha \in \Sigma^+$ if α has positive values on \mathfrak{a}^+ . A root α is called *simple* if it is not the sum of two positive roots. Then \mathfrak{a}^+ is given by

$$\mathfrak{a}^+ = \{H \in \mathfrak{a} : \alpha_1(H), \dots, \alpha_l(H) > 0\},$$

where $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l\}$ is the set of simple roots. The set of simple roots is a basis of \mathfrak{a}^* ([Eber96], p. 81). Let the real dual space \mathfrak{a}^* be ordered lexicographically with respect to this basis ([DS], p 173).

2.1.4 The Weyl group

Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denote the Killing form. The Riesz representation theorem states that for each $\alpha \in \Sigma$ there is a unique *root vector* $H_\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}$ such that $\alpha(H) = \langle H, H_\alpha \rangle$ for all $H \in \mathfrak{a}$. Given a root α , we consider the *reflection* S_α in the hyperplane \mathfrak{a}_α of \mathfrak{a} that is orthogonal to H_α (the kernel of α). This reflection is concretely given by the Householder transformation

$$S_\alpha(H) = H - 2 \frac{\langle H_\alpha, H \rangle}{\langle H_\alpha, H_\alpha \rangle} H_\alpha.$$

The S_α permute the root vectors ([Eber96], p. 81).

The *Weyl group* $W = W(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$ is defined as the group W of isometries of \mathfrak{a} generated by the S_α . We write

$$Z_K(\mathfrak{a}) = \{k \in K : \text{Ad}(k)(H) = H \quad \forall H \in \mathfrak{a}\}$$

for the centralizer of \mathfrak{a} in K and

$$N_K(\mathfrak{a}) = \{k \in K : \text{Ad}(k)\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}\}$$

for the normalizer \mathfrak{a} in K . Then $N_K(\mathfrak{a})$ normalizes $Z_K(\mathfrak{a})$. Both groups are compact and have the same Lie algebra, namely

$$\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \cap \mathfrak{k} = \{X \in \mathfrak{k} : [X, H] = 0 \text{ for all } H \in \mathfrak{a}\}.$$

The restriction of the exponential map of G to \mathfrak{a} is an analytic diffeomorphism onto the abelian subgroup $A := \exp(\mathfrak{a})$. The inverse diffeomorphism is denoted by \log . We can also set $N_K(A)$ and $Z_K(A)$ for the normalizer and the centralizer of A in K , respectively. The Weyl group W is isomorphic to the finite group $N_K(A)/Z_K(A)$ ([Eber96], p. 82). Write

$$M := \{k \in K : ka = ak \ \forall a \in A\}$$

for the centralizer of A in K and

$$M' := \{k \in K : kAk^{-1} = A\}$$

for the normalizer of A in K . Then $W \cong M'/M \cong N(A)/Z(A)$, where $N(A)$ and $Z(A)$ denote the normalizer and the centralizer of A in G , respectively. We always consider W to be the group $W = M'/M$. The Weyl group is acting simply transitively on the collection of Weyl chambers of \mathfrak{a} ([Eber96], p. 83). Its action extends to \mathfrak{a}^* by duality, to A via the exponential map, and to the complexifications of \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{a}^* by complex linearity. The Weyl group permutes the root vectors and it permutes the root spaces.

2.1.5 Decomposition theorems

Let G be a semisimple Lie group and carry over the algebraic data $\mathfrak{g}, \theta, \mathfrak{k}, K, \mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{a}, A, \mathfrak{a}^+$ from the preceding paragraphs. Write $A^+ := \exp \mathfrak{a}^+$ and let $\overline{A^+}$ denote the closure of A^+ in G . The *real rank* of G is the dimension $\dim \mathfrak{a}$ (it is independent on the choice of $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{p}$). We need the following decomposition theorems for G ([DS], Ch. IX).

Theorem 2.3 (*Cartan decomposition*). *Each $g \in G$ can be written $g = k_1 a k_2$, where $k_1, k_2 \in K$. The element $a = a^+(g) \in \overline{A^+}$ is uniquely determined by g . Thus $G = K \overline{A^+} K$.*

Recall that we denote the set of positive roots by Σ^+ . Let

$$\mathfrak{n} = \sum_{\lambda \in \Sigma^+} \mathfrak{g}_\lambda.$$

Then \mathfrak{n} is a subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . Let N be the corresponding connected subgroup of G . Then \mathfrak{n} and N are nilpotent ([DS], Ch. VI, Thm. 3.4, Ch. IX, Lemma 1.6) and $\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{n}$ is a solvable Lie algebra. Each element $a \in A$ normalizes N , that is we have $aN = Na$ for all $a \in A$. In particular, $AN = NA$ is a subgroup of G .

Theorem 2.4 (Iwasawa decomposition). *We have $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{n}$ (direct vector space sum) and $G = KAN$. The mapping $(k, a, n) \rightarrow kan$ is a diffeomorphism of $K \times A \times N$ onto G .*

We fix some notation: If $g \in G$, we will always write

$$g = k(g) \exp H(g) n(g),$$

where $k(g) \in K$, $H(g) \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $n(g) \in N$. The corresponding projections onto the K , \mathfrak{a} and N are called *Iwasawa projections*. We can also decompose

$$g = n(g) \exp A(g) k(g)$$

corresponding to $G = NAK$, where $A(g) \in \mathfrak{a}$. Clearly $A(g) = -H(g^{-1})$.

Remark 2.5. Each point $p \in X$ gives rise to another Cartan involution and another Cartan decomposition. Let θ_p be the Cartan involution and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k}_p + \mathfrak{p}_p$ be the Cartan decomposition determined by $p \in X$. If $q \in X$ determines θ_q and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k}_q + \mathfrak{p}_q$, then $\mathfrak{k}_q = g \cdot \mathfrak{k}_p$ and $\mathfrak{p}_q = g \cdot \mathfrak{p}_p$ whenever $g \cdot p = q$ ([Eber96], §2.3, §2.8, [DS], Ch. III, Thm. 7.2). It follows that all Cartan decompositions of \mathfrak{g} are conjugate in G . By [DS], Ch. V, Lem. 6.3 (or [Eber96], §2.8), any two maximal abelian subspaces \mathfrak{a}_1 and \mathfrak{a}_2 of \mathfrak{p}_p are conjugate by an element $k \in K$. Since the Weyl group acts simply transitively on the Weyl chambers ([DS], Ch. VII, Theorem 2.12) we deduce that for another choice \mathfrak{a}_1 resp. A_1 the corresponding Iwasawa decomposition components AN and A_1N_1 are conjugate by an element of K . It follows that all Iwasawa decompositions are conjugate in G .

Note that $\text{Ad}(m)$ ($m \in M$) leaves \mathfrak{a} pointwise fixed, so it maps a root space α into itself. Hence M normalizes N , so $MN = NM$ is a group. Then $P = MAN$ is a closed subgroup of G . For $s \in W = M'/M$ we fix a representative $m_s \in M'$.

Theorem 2.6 (Bruhat decomposition). *Let G be any noncompact semisimple Lie group. Then G decomposes into double cosets of $P = MAN$, that is*

$$G = \bigcup_{s \in W} P m_s P \quad (\text{disjoint union}).$$

We can also write $S = \exp \mathfrak{p}$. Then (cf. [DS], Ch. VI)

Theorem 2.7. *$G = K \cdot S = S \cdot K$. The indicated decomposition of an element of G is unique. The mapping $(X, k) \mapsto (\exp X)k$ is a diffeomorphism of $\mathfrak{p} \times K$ onto G . Write $\pi : G \rightarrow G/K$. Then the mapping $\pi \circ \exp$ is a diffeomorphism of \mathfrak{p} onto the globally symmetric space $X = G/K$.*

Definition 2.8. For $\alpha \in \Sigma^+$ we call $m_\alpha = \dim \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ the *multiplicity* of α . Once for all we define the parameter $\rho \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ by

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+} m_\alpha \alpha.$$

We finish this subsection with a few remarks on the nilpotent subgroup N . Let \cdot denote the adjoint action of G on \mathfrak{g} .

Remark 2.9. (1) Let $H \in \mathfrak{a}'$ (regular). The mapping $n \mapsto n \cdot H - H$ defines a diffeomorphism of N onto \mathfrak{n} ([DS], p. 403).

(2) Assume $H \in \mathfrak{a}'$ (i.e. H is regular) such that $\alpha(H) > 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Sigma^+$. Then ([DS], p. 278)

$$N = \left\{ g \in G : \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \exp(-tH)g \exp tH = e \right\}.$$

(3) For $X \in \mathfrak{p}$, let $Z_N(X)$ denote the cantralizer of X in N and let $Z_{\mathfrak{n}}(X) = \{X \in \mathfrak{n} : [X, X] = 0\}$ denote the centralizer of X in \mathfrak{n} . Let $H \in \mathfrak{a}$. Then $Z_N(H) = \exp(Z_{\mathfrak{n}}(H))$. Each $X \in \mathfrak{n}$ is of the form $X = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+} X_{\alpha}$, where $X_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$. By definition we thus have $[H, X] = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+} \alpha(H)X_{\alpha}$. Now assume $[H, X] = 0$. Then $\alpha(H)X_{\alpha} = 0$ for all α . Then $X = 0$, hence $Z_{\mathfrak{n}}(H) = \{0\}$ and $Z_N(H) = \{e\}$. In general, for $X \in \mathfrak{p}$ we have $Z_H(X) = \{e\}$ if and only if X is regular.

2.1.6 Measure theoretic preliminaries

We establish some conventions about the normalization of invariant measures on the groups and homogeneous spaces we work with. We follow the standard source [GASS], Ch. II.

If Y is any manifold we denote by $C(Y)$ the space of real- or complex-valued continuous functions on Y . By $C_c(Y)$ we denote the subspace of $C(Y)$ consisting of functions with compact support.

The Killing form induces Euclidean measures on A , its Lie algebra \mathfrak{a} and the dual space \mathfrak{a}^* . If $l = \dim(A)$, we multiply these measures by the factor $(2\pi)^{-l/2}$ and thereby obtain invariant measures da, dH and $d\lambda$ on A, \mathfrak{a} and on \mathfrak{a}^* . This normalization has the advantadge that the Euclidean Fourier transform on A is inverted without a multiplicative constant. We normalize the Haar measures dk and dm on the compact groups K and M such that the total measure is 1.

In general, if U is a Lie group and P a closed subgroup, with left invariant measures du and dp , the U -invariant measure $du_P = d(uP)$ on U/P (when it exists) will be normalized by

$$\int_U f(u)du = \int_{U/P} \left(\int_P f(up)dp \right) du_P. \quad (2.4)$$

This measure exists if U is unimodular and P is a compact subgroup of U ([GGA], Ch. I, Thm. 1.9). In particular, we have a K -invariant measure $dk_M = d(kM)$ on K/M of total measure 1. We also use the notation

$$dx = dg_K = d(gK), \quad d\xi = dg_{MN} = d(gMN) \quad (2.5)$$

for the invariant measures on $X = G/K$ and $\Xi = G/MN$. By uniqueness, dx is a constant multiple of the measure on X induced by the Riemannian structure on X given by the Killing form B .

The involutive automorphism θ of \mathfrak{g} induces a unique ([DS], Ch. IV, Prop. 3.5) analytic involutive automorphism, also denoted by θ , of G whose differential at $e \in G$ is the original θ . ([DS], Ch. VI, Thm. 1.1). It thus makes sense to define $\bar{N} = \theta N$. The mapping $(\bar{n}, m, a, n) \mapsto \bar{n}man$ is a bijection of $\bar{N} \times M \times A \times N$ onto the open submanifold $\bar{N}MAN$ of G , whose complement is a null-set for the Haar measure of G ([DS], Ch. IX, §1). In the Iwasawa decomposition notation, the mapping $\bar{N} \rightarrow K/M$, $\bar{n} \mapsto k(\bar{n})M$, is a diffeomorphism of \bar{N} onto an open subset of K/M whose complement is a null set for the invariant measure $d(kM)$ on K/M .

The Haar measures dn and $d\bar{n}$ on the nilpotent groups N and \bar{N} can be normalized ([GGA], Ch. IV, §6) such that

$$\theta(dn) = d\bar{n}, \quad \int_{\bar{N}} e^{-2\rho(H(\bar{n}))} d\bar{n} = 1.$$

By loc. cit., Ch. I, §5, we can then normalize the Haar measure on G such that for all $f \in C_c(G)$

$$\int_G f(g) dg = \int_{KAN} f(kan) e^{2\rho(\log a)} dk da dn \quad (2.6)$$

$$= \int_{NAK} f(nak) e^{-2\rho(\log a)} dn da dk. \quad (2.7)$$

Recall that each $m \in M$ leaves \mathfrak{a} pointwise fixed, so m maps a root space into and onto itself. Hence $n \mapsto mn m^{-1}$ is an automorphism of N mapping dn into a multiple of dn . Since M is compact, dn is preserved. It follows that the product measure $dmdn$ is a bi-invariant measure on $MN = NM$. Let $m^* \in M'$ denote any representative of the the Weyl group element mapping the positive Weyl chamber \mathfrak{a}^+ onto $-\mathfrak{a}^+$. Then the mapping $n \mapsto (m^*)^{-1}nm^*$ is a diffeomorphism between N and $\bar{N} = \theta(N)$ ([GASS], p. 102).

We will also need the following integral formulas ([GGA], Ch. I).

Lemma 2.10. (1) Let $f \in C_c(AN)$ and $a \in A$. Then

$$\int_N f(na) dn = e^{2\rho(\log(a))} \int_N f(an) dn. \quad (2.8)$$

(2) Let $f \in C_c(G)$. Then

$$\int_G f(g) dg = \int_{KNA} f(kna) dk dn da = \int_{ANK} f(ank) da dn dk. \quad (2.9)$$

(3) Let $f \in C_c(X)$. Then

$$\int_X f(x) dx = \int_{AN} f(an \cdot o) da dn. \quad (2.10)$$

2.1.7 Special functions and the Plancherel density

Recall that we denote by Σ^+ the system of positive roots. The set of all (restricted) roots is the disjoint union of Σ^+ and $-\Sigma^+$. We write $\Sigma^- := -\Sigma^+$. A root $\alpha \in \Sigma$ is called *indivisible* if $\alpha/2 \notin \Sigma$. For the sets of indivisible, respectively positive indivisible roots, we write Σ_0 and Σ_0^+ , respectively. We can then define

$$\Sigma_0^+ := \Sigma^+ \cap \Sigma_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma_0^- := \Sigma^- \cap \Sigma_0. \quad (2.11)$$

Also recall that the Cartan-Killing form $B(\cdot, \cdot)$ is positive definite on $\mathfrak{p} \times \mathfrak{p}$, so $\langle X, Y \rangle := B(X, Y)$ defines a Euclidean structure in \mathfrak{p} and in $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{p}$. Given $\gamma \in \mathfrak{a}^*$, there is a unique $H_\gamma \in \mathfrak{a}$ such that $\gamma(H) = \langle H_\gamma, H \rangle$ for all $H \in \mathfrak{a}$. We can thus extend $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ to \mathfrak{a}^* by duality, that is we set $\langle \lambda, \mu \rangle = \langle H_\lambda, H_\mu \rangle$ for $\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{a}^*$. Finally we denote the \mathbb{C} -bilinear extension of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ to $\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$ by the same symbol. Given $\alpha \in \Sigma$ and $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$ we write

$$\lambda_\alpha := \frac{\langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle}{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle}. \quad (2.12)$$

Let Γ denote the classical Γ -function. Here and in the following we adopt the convention that $m_{2\alpha} = 0$ if 2α is not a root. Harish-Chandra's c -function is the meromorphic function on $\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$ given by the Gindikin-Karpelevich product formula

$$c(\lambda) = c_0 \prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma_0^+} c_\alpha(\lambda) \quad (2.13)$$

where

$$c_\alpha(\lambda) = \frac{2^{-i\lambda_\alpha} \Gamma(i\lambda_\alpha)}{\Gamma(\frac{i\lambda_\alpha}{2} + \frac{m_\alpha}{4} + \frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{i\lambda_\alpha}{2} + \frac{m_\alpha}{4} + \frac{m_{2\alpha}}{2})}, \quad (2.14)$$

and where the constant c_0 is defined by $c(-i\rho) = 1$. Note that the function

$$|c(\lambda)|^{-2} = c(\lambda)c(-\lambda) = c(s\lambda)c(-s\lambda) \quad \forall s \in W \quad (2.15)$$

is Weyl group invariant ([GGA], p. 451). The singularities of the *Plancherel density*

$$\frac{1}{c(\lambda)c(-\lambda)} = \frac{1}{c_0^2} \prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma_0^+} \frac{1}{c_\alpha(\lambda)c_\alpha(-\lambda)} \quad (2.16)$$

can be explicitly written down. We recall some formulas given in [HP09]. Note that if both α and 2α are roots, then m_α is even and $m_{2\alpha}$ is odd ([DS], p. 530). For $\alpha \in \Sigma_0^+$, the singularities of

$$\frac{1}{c_\alpha(\lambda)c_\alpha(-\lambda)} \quad (2.17)$$

are described by distinguishing the following four cases:

- (a) m_α even, $m_{2\alpha} = 0$,
- (b) m_α odd, $m_{2\alpha} = 0$,
- (c) $m_\alpha/2$ even, $m_{2\alpha}$ odd,
- (d) $m_\alpha/2$ odd, $m_{2\alpha}$ odd.

It follows from simple identities for the Γ -function that

$$\frac{1}{c_\alpha(\lambda)c_\alpha(-\lambda)} = C_\alpha \lambda_\alpha p_\alpha(\lambda) q_\alpha(\lambda), \quad (2.18)$$

where C_α is a positive constant depending on α and on the multiplicities, where p_α is a polynomial, and where q_α is a function. We make the convention that a product taken over the empty set is equal to one. Then the explicit expressions for p_α and q_α in the four cases listed above are ([HP09], p. 501)

- (a) $p_\alpha(\lambda) = \lambda_\alpha \prod_{k=1}^{m_\alpha/2-1} (\lambda_\alpha^2 + k^2)$,
 $q_\alpha(\lambda) = 1$,
- (b) $p_\alpha(\lambda) = \prod_{k=0}^{(m_\alpha-3)/2} [\lambda_\alpha^2 + (k + \frac{1}{2})^2]$,
 $q_\alpha(\lambda) = \tanh(\pi \lambda_\alpha)$,
- (c) $p_\alpha(\lambda) = \prod_{k=0}^{m_\alpha/4-1} [(\lambda_\alpha/2)^2 + (k + \frac{1}{2})^2] \cdot \prod_{l=0}^{m_\alpha/4+(m_{2\alpha}-1)/2-1} [(\lambda_\alpha/2)^2 + (l + \frac{1}{2})^2]$,
 $q_\alpha(\lambda) = \tanh(\pi \lambda_\alpha/2)$,
- (d) $p_\alpha(\lambda) = \prod_{k=0}^{(m_\alpha-2)/4} [(\lambda_\alpha/2)^2 + k^2] \cdot \prod_{l=1}^{(m_\alpha+2m_{2\alpha})/4-1} [(\lambda_\alpha/2)^2 + l^2]$,
 $q_\alpha(\lambda) = \coth(\pi \lambda_\alpha/2)$,

Note that in each of the above cases the degree of the polynomial $\lambda_\alpha p_\alpha(\lambda)$ equals m_α , and hence the dimension of the root subspace \mathfrak{g}_α . Given $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_+^*$ we sometimes write $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ and mean that $\lambda(H) \rightarrow \infty$ for all $H \in \mathfrak{a}_+$. Recall that $\tanh \sim 1$ and $\coth \sim 1$ to all orders. Hence if asymptotics $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ are involved, we can replace the factor $q_\alpha(\lambda)$ by 1, and the Plancherel density is asymptotically a polynomial of degree $\dim(N)$.

For any (restricted) root α we can also write $\alpha_0 := \alpha / \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle$. We will later need *Harish-Chandra's e-functions* ([GASS], p. 163)

$$e_s(\lambda) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma_s^+} \Gamma\left(\frac{m_\alpha}{4} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\langle i\lambda, \alpha_0 \rangle}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{m_\alpha}{4} + \frac{m_{2\alpha}}{2} + \frac{\langle i\lambda, \alpha_0 \rangle}{2}\right), \quad (2.19)$$

where $s \in W$ and $\Sigma_s^+ = \Sigma_0^+ \cap s^{-1}\Sigma_0^-$.

2.2 Geodesics, horocycles, and the boundary at infinity

Let X be a symmetric space of the noncompact type, hence $X = G/K$, where G is a noncompact connected semisimple Lie group with finite center and where K is a maximal compact subgroup of G . We carry over the notations from the previous section. The *origin* of X is the identity coset $o := K \in G/K$. A basic remark which follows from Theorem 2.7 is that the geodesics through the origin are ([Eber96], p. 74) the curves

$$\gamma_X : t \mapsto e^{tX} \cdot o, \quad (X \in \mathfrak{p}) \quad (2.20)$$

As X is a simply connected manifold of nonpositive sectional curvature, for each points $p \neq q$ in X there exists a unique unit speed geodesic $\gamma_{p,q} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ with $\gamma_{p,q}(0) = p$ and $\gamma_{p,q}(a) = q$, where $d(p, q) = a$, and where d denotes the distance function on X (loc. cit, p. 20).

Definition 2.11. Two unit speed geodesics γ and σ of X are *asymptotes* or *asymptotically equivalent* if there exists $C \geq 0$ such that the $d(\gamma(t), \sigma(t)) \leq C$ for all $t \geq 0$. Two unit vectors $v, w \in SX$ are said to be *asymptotes* or *asymptotically equivalent* if the corresponding geodesics γ_v resp. γ_w with initial velocity v and w have this property.

The asymptote relation is an equivalence relation on the unit speed geodesics of X and on the unit vectors of SX .

Definition 2.12. A *point at infinity* for X is an equivalence class of asymptotic geodesics of X ([Eber96], p. 27). The set of all points at infinity for X is denoted by $X(\infty)$. The equivalence class represented by a geodesic γ is denoted by $\gamma(\infty)$ and the equivalence class represented by the oppositely oriented geodesic $\gamma^{-1} : t \mapsto \gamma(-t)$ is denoted by $\gamma(-\infty)$.

If γ is any geodesic of the complete, simply connected space X with nonpositive curvature, then for each $p \in X$ there exists a unique geodesic σ of X such that $\sigma(0) = p$ and σ is asymptotic to γ ([Eber96], p. 28).

Definition 2.13. We say that points $x \neq y$ in $X(\infty)$ can be *joined by a geodesic* of X if there exists a geodesic γ of X with $\gamma(\infty) = x$ and $\gamma(-\infty) = y$. The geodesic γ is said to *join* x and y .

Throughout this work we will mainly be interested in points at infinity that can be joined by a geodesic. We first recall a basis result ([EO], Proposition 4.4):

Theorem 2.14. *Let X have rank one. The sectional curvature of X is strictly negative. Any two distinct points $x, y \in X(\infty)$ can be joined by a geodesic of X .*

To motivate this setting, we will now describe the geometry of a rank one space in detail. The group theoretical aspects can then be generalized to higher rank spaces.

2.2.1 The boundary at infinity

Let $X = G/K$ have rank one. We call $B = X(\infty)$ the *boundary at infinity*. For $X \in \mathfrak{p}$ let $\gamma_X = e^{tX} \cdot o$ denote the geodesic through the origin $o \in X$ with initial direction X . We introduce an action of G on B . For $b = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_X(t)$ and $g \in G$, define

$$g \cdot b := g \cdot \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_X(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{g \cdot X}(t) \in B.$$

(Here, $g \cdot X$ denotes the adjoint action.) Since G/K has rank one, we define once and for all H to be the unique unit vector (w.r.t. the norm induced by the Killing form) in the positive Weyl chamber \mathfrak{a}^+ . We write $S(\mathfrak{p})$ for the unit sphere of \mathfrak{p} . Let $b_\infty \in B$ denote the boundary point $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_H(t)$. Let $b_{-\infty} \in B$ denote the boundary point $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \gamma_H(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{-H}(t)$.

The only orthogonal transformations of the one-dimensional space \mathfrak{a} are $\pm \text{id}$. It follows that (in the rank one case) the Weyl group has exactly two elements. Let $w \in M'$ denote any representative of the nontrivial Weyl group element. The adjoint action of w on \mathfrak{a} is $-id$, so $w \cdot H = -H$. It follows that $w \cdot b_\infty = b_{-\infty}$ and vice versa.

For $b \in B$ there exists $X \in S(\mathfrak{p})$ such that $b = \gamma_X(\infty)$ for $\gamma_X(t) = e^{tX} \cdot o$. Since K acts transitively on $S(\mathfrak{p})$, there is $k \in K$ such that $k \cdot H = X$. Hence $k \cdot b = b_\infty$. In particular K acts transitively on B . The stabilizer of b_∞ is by definition the stabilizer M of H . The action of K on B is continuous ([Eber96], Ch. 3) and since it is transitive, B is compact. Hence under the mapping

$$A_H : K/M \rightarrow B, \quad kM \mapsto \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{k \cdot H}(t), \quad (2.21)$$

B is in a natural way homeomorphic to the compact space K/M . We make B a smooth manifold by giving it the differentiable structure that makes A_H a diffeomorphism ([Eber96], Ch. 3.8). The natural Lie topology of K/M agrees with the compact open topology of the homeomorphism group of B , so $B = K/M$ as homogeneous spaces.

2.2.2 The real flag manifold

We drop the rank one assumption and let $X = G/K$ be a general symmetric space of the noncompact type. Each $g \in G$ can be written $g = k(g)a(g)n(g)$ corresponding to $G = KAN$. We introduce the map

$$G \times K \rightarrow K, \quad (g, k) \mapsto g \cdot k := T_g(k) := k(gk) \quad (2.22)$$

Then T_g is a group action of G on K . In particular, T_g is inverted by $T_{g^{-1}}$ and defines a diffeomorphism of K onto itself. This can easily be verified using the Iwasawa decomposition. For $g \in G$, $k \in K$ and $m \in M$ we clearly have $k(gkm) = k(gk)m$, since m normalizes N and centralizes A . Hence $k \mapsto k(gk)$ is

right- M -equivariant, so (2.22) descends to an action of G on the quotient K/M . We write $\bar{T}_g : K/M \rightarrow K/M$, $kM \mapsto k(gk)M$ for this action.

Let $man \in P = MAN$. Then $man \cdot M = k(man)M = M$. Thus MAN is the centralizer in G of $M \in K/M$. The group G acts naturally (by left-translations) on G/P . The mapping $\varphi : K/M \rightarrow G/P$, $kM \mapsto kP$, is a bijection of K/M onto G/P which is regular at the origin, hence everywhere, so it is a diffeomorphism ([DS], p. 407). The identification $\varphi : K/M \rightarrow G/P$ intertwines the actions of G on K/M and the natural group action of G on G/P :

$$\varphi(g \cdot kM) = \varphi(k(gk)M) = k(gk)MAN = gkMAN = g \cdot \varphi(kM).$$

The spaces K/M and G/P are thus equivalent from this group theoretical point of view. We will write $B := K/M = G/P$. We also recall the following useful lemma ([DS], p. 407):

Lemma 2.15. *The mapping $\bar{n} \mapsto k(\bar{n})M$ is a diffeomorphism of \bar{N} onto an open submanifold of K/M whose complement consists of finitely many disjoint manifolds of lower dimension.*

Remark 2.16. A *Hadamard manifold* is a simply connected complete Riemannian manifold of nonpositive curvature and arbitrary dimension. We say that a Hadamard manifold X satisfies the *visibility axiom*, if any two points of the geodesic boundary ([EO]) can be joined by a geodesic X . A Hadamard manifold may or may not satisfy the visibility axiom. The extreme cases are as follows:

- (a) The sectional curvature is zero. Then asymptoticity of geodesics coincides with ordinary parallelism, hence the visibility axiom is not satisfied.
- (b) The sectional curvature is negative and bounded away from zero. In this case the behaviour of geodesic rays is qualitatively the same as in hyperbolic geometry, the visibility axiom is satisfied, and the geodesic joining two given boundary points is unique ([EO], Cor. 5.2).

A special class of Hadamard manifolds consists of Riemannian symmetric spaces of the noncompact type. If the symmetric space has rank one, then its sectional curvature is bounded between two negative constants (and thus the space falls into category (b) from above), so the visibility axiom is satisfied. On the other hand, higher rank spaces are characterized by the existence of totally geodesic flat subspaces, in which the visibility axiom fails, and hence it fails the ambient space as well ([Hof81]).

The description of the geodesic boundary of a higher rank space $X = G/K$ differs from the rank one case. For details we refer to [Eber96]. If $X \cup X(\infty)$ is given the so-called *cone topology* (loc. cit., p. 28), then isometries and geodesic symmetries of X extend to the boundary $X(\infty)$ (loc. cit. p. 30).

Remark 2.17. Given a boundary point $x \in X(\infty)$, let $G_x \subset G$ denote its stabilizer. Then G_x acts transitively on $X = G/K$ (loc. cit., p.101). Suppose that another point y at infinity can be joined with x by a geodesic. Then the set of points to which x can be joined is the orbit $G_x(y)$ (loc. cit., p. 151). If X has rank one, then G_x acts transitively on $X(\infty) \setminus \{x\}$. This fails whenever the rank of X is ≥ 2 .

Irrespective from the geometric point of view, many group theoretical aspects generalize to the higher rank case. We take the preceding remark as a motivation.

Definition 2.18. A subgroup of P^* of G is *parabolic* if there exists a point $b \in B$ such that $P^* = G_b = \{g \in G : gb = b\}$ is the stabilizer of b in G .

Remark 2.19. (1) Our definition of a parabolic subgroup follows [Eber96] and does only consider the *minimal parabolic subgroups* of G .

- (2) Unlike the subgroups of G that fix a point in X , the parabolic subgroups are noncompact.
- (3) The parabolic subgroup fixing $b = M \ni K/M$ is $P = MAN$ ($M \in K/M$ corresponds to $P \in G/P$).
- (4) Let $b = hP \in G/P$ ($h \in G$). Then $g \cdot b = b \Leftrightarrow g \in hPh^{-1}$, so all parabolic subgroups of G are conjugate to each other.
- (5) AN acts transitively on X , so the same holds for $P = MAN$. It follows that all parabolic subgroups act transitively on X .

2.2.3 The rank one case

Let $X = G/K$ have rank one. The Weyl group $W = M'/M$ has exactly two elements. Let $w \in M'$ denote any representative of the nontrivial Weyl group element. As before, let H denote the unit vector in \mathfrak{a}^+ . We also write

$$a_t := \exp(tH) \in A. \tag{2.23}$$

We consider the geodesic $t \mapsto a_t \cdot o$. Its forward limit point is b_∞ and it identifies with $M \in K/M$ (that is $P \in G/P$). Its backward limit point $b_{-\infty}$ identifies with $wM \in K/M$ (that is $wP \in G/P$).

Since $wM \neq M$ in K/M , the geodesic $t \mapsto a_t \cdot o$ is the unique (up to parameter translation and time reversal) geodesic of X that joins the boundary points $M \in K/M$ and $wM \in K/M$ at infinity ([Quint06]).

We consider the homogeneous space G/M . The group M is the stabilizer in K of the unit vector tangent at o to the geodesic $t \mapsto a_t \cdot o$. As K acts transitively on the set of unit vectors in $T_o X \cong \mathfrak{p}$, the unit tangent bundle of X identifies G -equivariantly with G/M and the geodesic flow reads as the action of A by right translations on G/M .

Lemma 2.20. *Let $b \in B$. Then G_b acts transitively on $B \setminus \{b\}$. In particular, P acts transitively on $B \setminus \{b_\infty\}$.*

Proof. Since all parabolic subgroups are conjugate, it suffices to prove the assertion for $G_{b_\infty} = P$. Recall the Bruhat decomposition

$$G = P \cup PwP \quad (\text{disjoint union}),$$

Let $b \in B \setminus \{b_\infty\}$ and select $g \in G$ such that $b = g \cdot b_\infty$. Note that $p \cdot b_\infty = b_\infty$ for each $p \in P$. Thus $g = p_1 w p_2$ ($p_1, p_2 \in P$). Hence $b = p_1 w P = p_1 \cdot b_\infty$, which shows that $b \in P \cdot b_\infty$, as desired. \square

Definition 2.21. Let $\Delta = \{(b, b) \in B \times B\}$ denote the diagonal of $B \times B$. Let $B^{(2)} := (B \times B) \setminus \Delta$ denote the set of distinct boundary points.

We study the space of geodesics and the geodesic connections in the rank one case and describe the map that assigns to a geodesic its forward and backward limit points. We consider the diagonal action of G on $B^{(2)}$ given by

$$G \times B^{(2)} \rightarrow B^{(2)}, \quad g \cdot (b_1, b_2) = (g \cdot b_1, g \cdot b_2). \quad (2.24)$$

Note that $g \cdot b_1 = g \cdot b_2$ implies $b_1 = b_2$, so (2.24) is well-defined.

Lemma 2.22. *G acts transitively on $B^{(2)}$. The stabilizer of $(b_\infty, b_{-\infty})$ is MA . In particular, $B^{(2)} = G/MA$ as a homogeneous space.*

Proof. Let $b_1 \neq b_2$ be points in B . Since K acts transitively on B , we find $k \in K$ such that $k \cdot b_1 = b_\infty$. Since P acts transitively on $B \setminus \{b_\infty\}$, we find $p \in P$ such that $p \cdot k \cdot b_2 = b_{-\infty}$. Let $g = pk$. Then $g \cdot (b_1, b_2) = (b_\infty, b_{-\infty})$, so the group action is transitive.

It remains to show that $g \cdot (b_\infty, b_{-\infty}) = (b_\infty, b_{-\infty}) \Leftrightarrow g \in MA$. Clearly an element $ma \in MA$ fixes both $M \in K/M$ and $wM \in K/M$, since M' normalizes both A and M .

Conversely assume that $g \cdot (b_\infty, b_{-\infty}) = (b_\infty, b_{-\infty})$. Then $g \cdot b_\infty = b_\infty$, hence $g = man \in MAN$. It suffices to prove that $n = e$. By the assumption we have $n \in G_{b_\infty} \cap G_{b_{-\infty}} = MAN \cap wMANw^{-1} \subset \theta(N)$. Hence $n \in N \cap \theta N = \{e\}$. (Recall that \mathfrak{g} is the direct vector space sum of the root-subspaces \mathfrak{g}_α .) \square

Remark 2.23. (1) The unit tangent bundle $SX \cong G/M$ identifies with the set of pointed oriented complete geodesics of X .

(2) $B^{(2)} \cong G/MA$ is the set of oriented geodesics up to parameter translation. We can also write $SX \cong B^{(2)} \times \mathbb{R}$.

(3) One could also prove Lemma 2.22 by using that the flats $nA \cdot o$ and $A \cdot o$ ([GASS]) coincide if and only if $n = e$.

- (4) Lemma 2.22 is false for G/K of rank ≥ 2 . This follows from the Bruhat decomposition, too. We will later see which subspace of $B \times B$ identifies with the homogeneous space G/MA .

We can now give group-theoretical proof of Theorem 2.14. See also [Quint06].

Theorem 2.24. *Each geodesic σ of G/K has two distinct limit points in B . For $(b_1, b_2) \in B^{(2)}$ there exists up to parameter translation a unique geodesic σ with limit points b_1 and b_2 . For $(x, b) \in X \times B$ there is a unique geodesic through x with limit point b .*

Proof. The first point is true for the geodesic $t \mapsto a_t \cdot o$ and therefore for a general geodesic as G acts transitively on the set of geodesics, since it acts transitively on X and K acts transitively on the unit sphere of $T_o X$. The third point is true for $x = o$ as K acts transitively on B and therefore for any x , as for every $b \in B$ its stabilizer G_b in G acts transitively on X . The second point is true for b_∞ and $b_{-\infty}$, hence by transitivity of G on $B^{(2)}$ for all pairs of limit points. \square

Remark 2.25. $B \setminus \{b_\infty\} \cong N$ as homogeneous spaces. In fact, the action of N on $B \setminus \{b_\infty\}$ is already transitive, since the action of $P = MAN$ is and MA fixes $b_{-\infty}$. It follows from 2.9 that the stabilizer in N of $b_{-\infty}$ is $\{e\}$.

2.2.4 The general case

We drop the rank one assumption and let $X = G/K$ be a general symmetric space of the noncompact type. Consider the diagonal action of G on $G/K \times G/P$ given by

$$\gamma \cdot (gK, hP) := (\gamma gK, \gamma hP), \quad \gamma, g, h \in G. \quad (2.25)$$

Note that in the customary sense, $P = MAN$ is still a *minimal parabolic subgroup* of G (we do not describe this concept here). The action (2.25) yields the useful identification $G/M \cong X \times B$ (as homogeneous spaces). To describe this identification, we use simple Iwasawa decomposition arguments. First, let b_0 denote the identity coset of $K/M \cong G/P$. For $\gamma \in G$ we observe $\gamma \cdot (o, b_0) = (o, b_0) \Leftrightarrow \gamma \in K \cap P = M$. It follows that $M \subset G$ is the stabilizer of $(o, b_0) \in X \times B$. For a proof of $X \times B \cong G/M$ it remains to show that the diagonal action of G on $X \times B$ is transitive. We say that cosets $\gamma P \in G/P$ and $hK \in G/K$ are *incident*, if as subsets of G they are not disjoint.

Lemma 2.26. *Let $g \in G$. Then $gK \in G/K$ and $P \in G/P$ are incident. Let $h \in G$. Then gK and $hP \in G/P$ are incident.*

Proof. Write $g = nak$. Then $gK = naK \subset G$ contains $p = na \in MAN = P$. For general $hP \in G/P$ select $p \in h^{-1}gK \cap P$. Then $p = h^{-1}gk$ for some $k \in K$, so $hP \ni hp = gk \in gK$. \square

Corollary 2.27. G acts transitively on $G/K \times G/P$.

Proof. First, given $(gK, hP) \in G/K \times G/P$, we apply Lemma 2.26 and write $gk = hp$, where $k \in K$ and $p \in P$. Then $gk \cdot (o, b_0) = (gk \cdot o, gk \cdot b_0) = (g \cdot o, h \cdot b_0)$. \square

Corollary 2.28. Each element $(gK, kM) \in G/K \times K/M$ can be written in the form $(kanK, kM)$. If $(z, b) \in X \times B$, then there is $g \in G$ such that $g \cdot (o, b_0) = (z, b)$. The element $g \in G$ is uniquely determined modulo M .

If $H \in \mathfrak{a}$, the geodesic $t \mapsto \exp(tH) \cdot o$ in X is said to be *regular* if the vector H is regular. A general geodesic γ in X is said to be *regular* if its stabilizer $\{g \in G : g \cdot \gamma = \gamma\}$ in G has minimum dimension ([GASS], p.82). A *flat* in X is a totally geodesic submanifold of X whose curvature tensor vanishes identically. The *maximal flats* in X are of the form $gA \cdot o$ ($g \in G$) ([DS], Ch. V, §6).

Recall the Bruhat decomposition

$$G = \bigcup_{s \in W} Pm_sP \quad (\text{disjoint union}),$$

where for $s \in W$ (Weyl group) we picked a representative $m_s \in M'$. Exactly one of the above sets Pm_sP is open and dense in G , namely PwP , where w is the longest Weyl group element. The other summands have lower dimension. Recall $\bar{N} = wNw^{-1}$ (conjugation by w is not necessarily $\theta|_N$, the restriction of θ to N). It follows that the manifold $\bar{N}MAN$ is open and dense in G . Thus the space of flats can be naturally identified with G/MA , or a dense open subset of $G/P \times G/\bar{P}$, where $\bar{P} := MAN$, via the G -equivariant map

$$G/MA \ni gMA \mapsto (gP, gwPw^{-1}) \in G/P \times G/\bar{P}.$$

We also consider the G -equivariant map

$$G/MA \ni gMA \mapsto (gP, gwP) \in G/P \times G/P = B \times B.$$

It follows from the Bruhat decomposition that its image is an open and dense subset of $G/P \times G/P = B \times B$, namely $\{(gP, hP) \in G/P \times G/P : h^{-1}g \in PwP\}$. This open and dense subset of $B \times B$ is the G -orbit of (P, wP) in $B \times B$. We will from now on write $B^{(2)} := G/MA$ for this G -orbit. If X has rank one, then $B^{(2)} = (B \times B) \setminus \Delta$, where Δ denotes the diagonal of $B \times B$.

2.2.5 The space of horocycles

Definition 2.29. A *horocycle* ξ in X is any orbit $\xi = N' \cdot x$, where $x \in X$ and $N' = g^{-1}Ng$ is a subgroup of G conjugate to N . In particular, we define ξ_0 to be the horocycle $N \cdot o$.

The choice of Iwasawa-decomposition is immaterial since all such decompositions are conjugate ([Eber96], p.105). We note that each horocycle is a closed submanifold of X . The group G acts transitively on the set of horocycles. The subgroup of G which maps the horocycle ξ_0 into itself equals MN ([GASS], Ch. II, §1).

The set of horocycles in X with the differentiable structure of G/MN is called the *dual space* of X and will be denoted by Ξ . We write $\Xi = G/MN$. Then each $\xi \in \Xi$ can be written in the form $\xi = gMN$, where $g \in G$. Decompose $g = kan$ corresponding to the Iwasawa decomposition. Then $\xi = kanMN = kaMN$, since M normalizes N . Let h be another representative of ξ , that is $hMN = gMN$, so $h = gmn'$, since M normalizes N . Then $\xi = hMN = kanmn'MN = kaMN = kmaMN$. It follows that each horocycle $\xi \in \Xi$ can be written in the form $kaMN$, where $kM \in K/M$ and $a \in A$ are unique.

Definition 2.30. If $\xi = kaMN$ is any horocycle, then $b = kM \in B = K/M$ is said to be *normal* to ξ .

Lemma 2.31. Each horocycle $\xi = gNg^{-1} \cdot x$ ($g \in G, x \in X$) can be written in the form $\xi = ka \cdot \xi_0$, where $kM \in K/M$ and $a \in A$ are unique.

Proof. Write $g = kan$ and $g^{-1} \cdot x = \tilde{n}\tilde{a} \cdot o$ corresponding to the Iwasawa decomposition. Since A normalizes N we obtain $\xi = gNg^{-1} \cdot x = kaN\tilde{n}\tilde{a}K = ka_1NK = ka_1 \cdot \xi_0$. The uniqueness follows from the fact that MN is the stabilizer of the horocycle ξ_0 . \square

Definition 2.32. Let $\xi = kaMN \in \Xi$ be any horocycle. We call $\log(a)$ the *composite distance* from o to ξ . In general, for $x = g_1K \in X$ and $\xi = g_2MN \in \Xi$ we call $\langle x, \xi \rangle = H(g_1^{-1}g_2)$ the *composite distance* from x to ξ .

Recall that $H : G \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$ is left- K -invariant and right- MN -invariant, so $\langle x, \xi \rangle$ is well-defined and invariant under the natural diagonal action of G on the product space $X \times \Xi \cong G/K \times G/MN$. We also state the following uniqueness result ([GASS], p. 81).

Lemma 2.33. Given $x \in X$, $b \in B$, there exists a unique horocycle passing through x with normal b . For $x = gK \in G/K$ and $b = kM \in K/M$,

$$\xi = \xi(x, b) = k \exp(-H(g^{-1}k))\xi_0 \quad (2.26)$$

is the unique horocycle in question.

2.2.6 Horocycles brackets and the Iwasawa-projection

For $x \in X$ and $b \in B$ let $\xi(x, b)$ denote the unique horocycle passing through the point $x \in X$ with normal $b \in B = K/M$. We denote by $\langle x, \xi \rangle \in \mathfrak{a}$ the *composite distance* from the origin o to the horocycle $\xi(x, b)$. This vector-valued inner product has a simple expression in terms of the Iwasawa decomposition

$G = KAN = NAK$. Therefore recall the projections $H : KAN \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$ and $A : G = NAK \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$. In view of (2.26) we define $A : X \times B \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$ via

$$(x, b) \mapsto A(x, b) = \langle x, b \rangle = \langle gK, kM \rangle := A(k^{-1}g) = -H(g^{-1}k).$$

We will mostly use the notation $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ for this inner product and call it the *horocycle bracket*. Sometimes, when this horocycle bracket is needed in one equation with the Killing form, we use the notation $A(x, b)$, which is also used in [GASS]. We clearly have

Lemma 2.34. $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is invariant under the diagonal action of K on $X \times B$.

Recall that $g \in G$ acts on K by $g \cdot k = k(gk)$, where $k : G = KAN \rightarrow K$ denotes the Iwasawa projection. By the right- M -equivariance of this projection the action descends to an action of G on K/M .

Lemma 2.35. Let $g_1, g_2 \in G$, $k \in K$. Then $H(g_1g_2k) = H(g_1k(g_2k)) + H(g_2k)$.

Proof. Decompose $g_2k = \tilde{k}\tilde{a}\tilde{n}$ and $g_1\tilde{k} = k'a'n'$. Then

$$H(g_1g_2k) = H(k'a'n'\tilde{a}\tilde{n}) = H(a'n'\tilde{a}).$$

Since A normalizes N this equals $\log(a') + \log(\tilde{a})$. \square

Lemma 2.36. Let $x = hK \in G/K$, $b = kM \in K/M$, $g \in G$. Then

$$\langle g \cdot x, g \cdot b \rangle = \langle x, b \rangle + \langle g \cdot o, g \cdot b \rangle. \quad (2.27)$$

Proof. By definition, $\langle g \cdot x, g \cdot b \rangle = -H(h^{-1}g^{-1}k(gk))$. Then by Lemma 2.35 with $g_1 = h^{-1}g^{-1}$ and $g_2 = g$ this equals

$$-H(h^{-1}g^{-1}gk) + H(gk) = -H(h^{-1}k) + H(gk).$$

Also $\langle g \cdot o, g \cdot b \rangle = -H(k) + H(gk) = H(gk)$ as above for $h = e$. Hence

$$\langle g \cdot x, g \cdot b \rangle - \langle g \cdot o, g \cdot b \rangle = [-H(h^{-1}k) + H(gk)] - [-H(k) + H(gk)],$$

and the right hand side equals $-H(h^{-1}k) = \langle hK, kM \rangle = \langle x, b \rangle$. \square

Corollary 2.37. $\langle g^{-1} \cdot o, b \rangle = -\langle g \cdot o, g \cdot b \rangle$.

Proof. $0 = \langle o, g \cdot b \rangle = \langle g \cdot g^{-1} \cdot o, g \cdot b \rangle = \langle g^{-1} \cdot o, b \rangle + \langle g \cdot o, g \cdot b \rangle$, since the distance to the origin of a horocycle passing through the origin is 0. \square

We go on using the Iwasawa decomposition and easily derive

Lemma 2.38. (i) $\langle g^{-1}z, M \rangle = \langle z, g \cdot M \rangle - \langle g \cdot o, g \cdot M \rangle$,

$$(ii) \langle g^{-1}z, g^{-1}b \rangle = \langle z, b \rangle - \langle g \cdot o, b \rangle.$$

Lemma 2.39. *Let $g \in G$. Then $\langle g \cdot o, g \cdot M \rangle = H(g)$.*

Proof. Write $g = kan$ corresponding to the Iwasawa decomposition. Then $g^{-1}k = n^{-1}a^{-1} = a^{-1}\tilde{n}$, so $\langle kan \cdot o, kan \cdot M \rangle = -H(g^{-1}k) = \log(a) = H(g)$. \square

Note that one could also prove (2.27) using Lemma 2.39. We will need some more component computations for later reference. Under $X \times B \cong G/M$, each $(z, b) \in X \times B$ can be written $(g \cdot o, g \cdot M)$. Then $\langle z, b \rangle = H(g)$ follows from Lemma (2.39). We go on using the Iwasawa decomposition and easily derive

Corollary 2.40. *Given $z, w \in X$, $b, b' \in B$, let $(z, b) \in X \times B$ correspond to $gM \in G/M$ and let $(w, b') = (hK, h \cdot M) \in X \times B$ correspond to $hM \in G/M$, respectively. Then*

- (1) $\langle z, b \rangle = H(g)$,
- (2) $\langle z, b' \rangle = -H(g^{-1}k(h)) = -H(g^{-1}h) + H(h)$,
- (3) $\langle w, b \rangle = -H(h^{-1}k(g)) = -H(h^{-1}g) + H(g)$,
- (4) $\langle w, b' \rangle = H(h)$.

2.3 Invariant differential operators

We recall the theory of invariant differential operators to put results concerning the Laplacian of a symmetric space into a general context. We will need to recall relations between invariant differential operators and invariant polynomials for the Weyl group. We recall the definition of the Laplace-Beltrami operator and give the explicit and important formula (2.57) for the so-called complete symbol of this invariant differential operator. The material is taken mostly from [GGA].

If V is an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n we let $\mathcal{E}(V) = C^\infty(V)$ denote the set of smooth functions on V and $\mathcal{D}(V)$ denote the space of functions in $\mathcal{E}(V)$ with compact support contained in V . Let ∂_j denote partial differentiation with respect to x_j , where $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. If $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, put

$$D^\alpha = \partial_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial_n^{\alpha_n}, \quad x^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}, \quad (2.28)$$

$$|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n, \quad \alpha! = \alpha_1! \cdots \alpha_n!. \quad (2.29)$$

If S is any subset of the open set V and $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we put

$$\|f\|_m^S = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \sup_{x \in S} |D^\alpha f(x)|. \quad (2.30)$$

A *differential operator* on V is a linear mapping $D : \mathcal{D}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(V)$ such that for each relatively compact open set $U \subset V$ such that $\bar{U} \subset V$ (closure in \mathbb{R}^n), there exists a finite family of functions $a_\alpha \in \mathcal{E}(U)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, such that

$$D\varphi = \sum_{\alpha} a_\alpha D^\alpha \varphi, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(U). \quad (2.31)$$

Differential operators decrease supports:

$$\text{supp}(D\varphi) \subset \text{supp}(\varphi). \quad (2.32)$$

Conversely, Peetre's theorem states that any linear mapping $D : \mathcal{D}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(V)$ decreasing supports is a differential operator ([GGA], p. 236).

Let M be a manifold. A *differential operator* D on M is a linear mapping of $C_c^\infty(M)$ into itself which decreases supports:

$$\text{supp}(Df) \subset \text{supp}(f), \quad f \in C_c^\infty(M).$$

The definition of a differential operator extends naturally to $C^\infty(M)$ if one puts $(Df)(x) = (D\varphi)(x)$, where $\varphi \in C_c^\infty$ equals $f \in C^\infty$ in a neighborhood of $x \in M$.

To describe the function and distribution spaces we work with, we follow [GGA], Ch. II. Let M satisfy the second axiom of countability, that is the topology of M admits a countable base for the open sets. If (U, φ) is a local coordinate system on M , the mapping

$$D^\varphi : F \mapsto (D(F \circ \varphi)) \circ \varphi^{-1}, \quad F \in C_c^\infty(\varphi(U)),$$

is support-decreasing. It follows that for each open relatively compact set W such that $\overline{W} \subset U$ there are finitely many $a_\alpha \in C^\infty(W)$ such that

$$Df = \sum_{\alpha} a_\alpha (D^\alpha(f \circ \varphi^{-1})) \circ \varphi, \quad f \in C_c^\infty(W).$$

Just as for open sets in \mathbb{R}^n the definition of differential operators extends to $C^\infty(M)$. We write

$$\mathcal{D}(M) = C_c^\infty(M) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{E}(M) = C^\infty(M).$$

If K is a compact subset of M , we denote by $\mathcal{D}_K(M)$ the subset of functions in $\mathcal{D}(M)$ with support in K .

For an open set V of \mathbb{R}^n the spaces $\mathcal{E}(V)$ are topologized by the seminorms $\|f\|_m^C$, as C runs through the compact subsets of V and k runs through \mathbb{N}_0 . If (U, φ) runs through all local coordinate systems on M , this gives a topology of $\mathcal{E}(U)$ with the property that a sequence f_n in $\mathcal{E}(U)$ converges to 0 if and only if for each differential operator D on U , the sequence $Df_n \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on each compact subset of U . It follows that the topology of $\mathcal{E}(U)$ is independent of the coordinate system.

The space $\mathcal{E}(M)$ is provided with the weakest topology for which the restrictions $f \mapsto f|_U$, when (U, φ) runs through the local coordinate systems of M , are continuous. By the countability assumption, we may restrict the (U, φ) to a countable family of charts (U_j, φ_j) . It follows that $\mathcal{E}(M)$ is a Fréchet space and again the topology is described by uniform convergence (of all derivatives)

on compact subsets. Since M is the union of an increasing sequence of compact subsets, this implies that $\mathcal{D}(M)$ is dense in $\mathcal{E}(M)$.

When K is a compact subset of M , the space \mathcal{D}_K is given the topology induced by $\mathcal{E}(M)$. As a closed subspace of $\mathcal{E}(M)$ it is a Frechet space.

A linear functional T on $\mathcal{D}(M)$ is called a *distribution* if for any compact subset $K \subset M$ the restriction of T on $\mathcal{D}_K(M)$ is continuous. The set of distributions is denoted by $\mathcal{D}'(M)$. We often write $\int_M f(m)dT(m)$ instead of $T(f)$.

The space $\mathcal{D}(M)$ is given the *inductive limit topology* of the spaces $\mathcal{D}_K(M)$ by taking as a fundamental system of neighborhoods of 0 the convex sets W such that for each compact subset $K \subset M$ the space set $W \cap \mathcal{D}_K(M)$ is a neighborhood of 0 in $\mathcal{D}_K(M)$. It follows that $\mathcal{D}'(M)$ is the dual space of $\mathcal{D}(M)$.

A distribution T is said to *vanish* on an open set $V \subset M$ if $T(f) = 0$ for all $f \in \mathcal{D}(V)$. The *support* of T is the complement of the largest open subset of M on which T vanishes. Let $\mathcal{E}'(M)$ denote the set of distributions with compact support. The restriction of a functional from $\mathcal{E}(M)$ to $\mathcal{D}(M)$ identifies the dual of $\mathcal{E}(M)$ with $\mathcal{E}'(M)$ (cf. [GGA], p. 240).

If N is another manifold and φ is a diffeomorphism of M and N and if $f \in \mathcal{D}(N)$, $g \in \mathcal{E}(N)$, $T \in \mathcal{D}'(M)$, $D \in E(M)$, we write

$$g^{\varphi^{-1}} = g \circ \varphi, \quad T^\varphi = T(f^{\varphi^{-1}}), \quad D^\varphi(g) = (D(g^{\varphi^{-1}}))^\varphi.$$

If φ is a diffeomorphism of M onto itself, then D is said to be *invariant under* φ , if $D^\varphi = D$, that is

$$Dg = (D(g \circ \varphi)) \circ \varphi^{-1} \text{ for all } g \in \mathcal{E}(M).$$

Given a measure μ on M , the space $\mathcal{E}(M)$ is imbedded in $\mathcal{D}'(M)$ associating with $f \in \mathcal{D}(M)$ the distribution

$$f \mapsto I_f := \left(g \mapsto \int_M f g d\mu \right) \quad (2.33)$$

on M . We call this the *canonical imbedding* of functions into distributions.

2.3.1 The Laplace-Beltrami operator

Let M be a pseudo-Riemannian manifold with pseudo-Riemannian structure g and let $\varphi : q \mapsto (x_1(q), \dots, x_n(q))$ be a coordinate system valid on an open subset $U \subset M$. As customary we define the functions g_{ij} , g^{ij} and \bar{g} on U by

$$g_{ij} = g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\right), \quad \sum_j g_{ij} g^{jk} = \delta_{ik}, \quad \bar{g} = |\det(g_{ij})|. \quad (2.34)$$

In this section we write $\langle | \rangle$ in place of g and extend it \mathbb{C} -bilinearly to complex vector fields. Each $f \in C^\infty(M)$ gives rise to the vector field $\text{grad } f$ (gradient of f) defined by

$$\langle \text{grad } f | X \rangle = Xf \quad (2.35)$$

for each vector field X .

On the other hand, if X is a vector field on M , the *divergence* of X is the function on M which on U is given by

$$\operatorname{div}(X) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \sum_i \partial_i (\sqrt{g} X_i), \quad (2.36)$$

if $X = \sum_i X_i (\partial/\partial x_i)$ on U . Then $\operatorname{div}(X)$ is well-defined ([GGA], p.243) and independent of the coordinate system.

The *Laplace-Beltrami operator* on M is defined by

$$Lf = \operatorname{div} \operatorname{grad} f, \quad f \in \mathcal{E}(M). \quad (2.37)$$

In terms of local coordinates one has (loc. cit., p.245)

$$Lf = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \sum_k \partial_k \left(\sum_i g^{ik} \sqrt{g} \partial_i f \right), \quad (2.38)$$

so L is a differential operator on M . The Laplace-Beltrami operator L of a pseudo-Riemannian manifold M is symmetric:

$$\int_M u(x) (Lv)(x) dx = \int_M (Lu)(x) v(x) dx, \quad u \in \mathcal{D}(M), \quad v \in \mathcal{E}(M), \quad (2.39)$$

where dx is the Riemannian measure on M . If Φ is a diffeomorphism of M , then Φ leaves the Laplace-Beltrami operator invariant if and only if it is an isometry.

Let M be an m -dimensional Riemannian manifold and p a point in M . Given normal coordinates (x_1, \dots, x_m) around p such that $(\partial/\partial x_i)_p$ ($1 \leq i \leq m$) is an orthonormal basis of the tangent space at p , then the Laplace-Beltrami operator L of M is given at p by ([DS], p. 330)

$$(Lf)(p) = \sum_i \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i^2}(p), \quad f \in \mathcal{E}(M). \quad (2.40)$$

Suppose that M is a compact Riemannian manifold of dimension $m \geq 2$. Let d denote the *distance function* on M and write

$$(f_1|f_2) = \int_M f_1(x) \overline{f_2(x)} dx, \quad f_1, f_2 \in L^2(M), \quad (2.41)$$

for the customary L^2 -product of M . Given $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, define the *eigenspace* \mathcal{E}_λ by

$$\mathcal{E}_\lambda = \{u \in \mathcal{E}(M) : Lu = \lambda u\} \quad (2.42)$$

and Λ the *spectrum*

$$\Lambda = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \mathcal{E}_\lambda \neq 0\}. \quad (2.43)$$

Then ([War70], Chapter 6)

- (a) Λ is a discrete subset of \mathbb{C} and $\lambda \leq 0$ for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$.
- (b) Each eigenspace \mathcal{E}_λ is finite-dimensional: $\dim \mathcal{E}_\lambda < \infty$ for each λ .
- (c) In accordance with (a) and (b), let $\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots$ be an orthonormal system in $L^2(M)$ such that each \mathcal{E}_λ is spanned by some of the φ_i . Then, if $f \in L^2(M)$,

$$f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle f, \varphi_n \rangle \varphi_n, \quad (2.44)$$

where the sum converges in $L^2(M)$.

- (d) If $f \in \mathcal{E}(M)$, the expansion in (c) converges absolutely and uniformly.

2.3.2 Harish-Chandra's isomorphism and radial parts

Suppose H is a closed subgroup of G with Lie algebra \mathfrak{h} . Let $\mathbb{D}(G/H)$ be the algebra of differential operators on G/H which are invariant under the translations $\tau(g) : xH \mapsto gxH$ ($g \in G$) of G/H onto itself. We write $\mathbb{D}(G)$ instead of $\mathbb{D}(G/\{e\})$. For $g \in G$, let ρ_g denote the *right-translation* by g in G . Then define

$$\mathbb{D}_H(G) = \{D \in \mathbb{D}(G) : D^{\rho_h} = D \text{ for all } h \in H\}. \quad (2.45)$$

Write $\pi : G \rightarrow G/H$. If f is a function on G/H , we put $\tilde{f} = f \circ \pi$, so that \tilde{f} is a function on G . Given $u \in \mathbb{D}_K(G)$ and $f \in \mathcal{E}(G/K)$, let $D_u \in \mathbb{D}(G/K)$ denote the operator defined by $(D_u f)^\sim = u \tilde{f}$. Then we have ([GGA], p. 285):

Theorem 2.41. *The mapping $\mu : u \mapsto D_u$ is a homomorphism of $\mathbb{D}_K(G)$ onto $\mathbb{D}(G/K)$. The kernel of μ is $\mathbb{D}_K(G) \cap \mathbb{D}(G)\mathfrak{k}$.*

Recall the Iwasawa decomposition $G = KAN$. Let $\mathbb{D}(A)$ denote the algebra of translation-invariant differential operators (with constant coefficients) on A and let $\mathbb{D}_W(A) \subset \mathbb{D}(A)$ denote the subalgebra consisting of W -invariant differential operators on A . If $D \in \mathbb{D}(G)$, there is ([GGA], p. 302) a unique element $D_{\mathfrak{a}} \in \mathbb{D}(A)$ such that

$$D - D_{\mathfrak{a}} \in \mathfrak{n}\mathbb{D}(G) + \mathbb{D}(G)\mathfrak{k}. \quad (2.46)$$

If ν is a linear function on \mathfrak{a} we denote by $e^\nu : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ the function $a \mapsto e^{\nu(\log(a))}$. Let \circ denote the composition of differential operators. The mapping

$$\gamma : D \mapsto e^{-\rho} D_{\mathfrak{a}} \circ e^\rho$$

is a surjective homomorphism of $\mathbb{D}_K(G)$ onto $\mathbb{D}_W(A)$ with kernel $\mathbb{D}_K(G) \cap \mathbb{D}(G)\mathfrak{k}$ ([GGA], 304). The next theorem ([GGA], 306) involves *Harish-Chandra's isomorphism* Γ :

Theorem 2.42. Let μ denote the isomorphism from Theorem 2.41. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathbb{D}_K(G) & \\ \mu \swarrow & & \searrow \gamma \\ \mathbb{D}(G/K) & \xrightarrow{\Gamma} & \mathbb{D}_W(A). \end{array}$$

Then γ factors through μ to yield an isomorphism Γ of algebras

$$\Gamma : \mathbb{D}(G/K) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_W(A), \quad (2.47)$$

given by $\Gamma(\mu(D)) = \gamma(D)$ for $D \in \mathbb{D}_K(G)$.

When the nilpotent subgroup N of G acts on the symmetric space G/K , the orbits are transversal (in the sense of [GGA], Ch. II, §3 (29)) to the submanifold $A \cdot o$ ([GGA], p. 266). Thus if D is a differential operator on X , it follows from [DS], Ch. II, Theorem 3.6 that there is a uniquely determined differential operator $\Delta_N(D)$ on $A \cdot o$ such that for each N -invariant function on G/K

$$(Df)(a \cdot o) = (\Delta_N(D)f|_{A \cdot o})(a \cdot o), \quad (2.48)$$

where $f|_{A \cdot o}$ denotes the restriction of f to $A \cdot o$. The operator $\Delta_N(D)$ is called the *radial part* of D . The isomorphism (2.47) is then given by ([GGA], p. 306)

$$\Gamma(D) = e^{-\rho} \Delta_N(D) \circ e^\rho. \quad (2.49)$$

In particular (loc. cit.), for the Laplacian L_X on $X = G/K$ we have

$$\Gamma(L_X) = L_A - \langle \rho, \rho \rangle, \quad (2.50)$$

where L_A denotes the Laplace operator of the submanifold $A \cdot o$ of G/K .

2.3.3 Joint eigenfunctions and joint eigenspaces

If V is a finite-dimensional vector space over \mathbb{R} , the *symmetric algebra* $S(V)$ over V is defined as the algebra of complex-valued polynomial functions on the dual space V^* ([GGA], p. 280). If X_1, \dots, X_n is a basis of V , then $S(V)$ can be identified with the commutative algebra of polynomials

$$\sum_k a_{k_1 \dots k_n} X_1^{k_1} \dots X_n^{k_n}. \quad (2.51)$$

Let U be any Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{u} . Consider the exponential mapping $\exp : \mathfrak{u} \rightarrow U$, which maps a line $\mathbb{R}X$ through 0 in \mathfrak{u} onto the one-parameter subgroup $t \mapsto \exp(tX)$ of U . As usual, if $X \in \mathfrak{u}$, let $\tilde{X} \in \mathbb{D}(U)$ ([GGA], p.280) denote the vector field of U given by

$$(\tilde{X}f)(g) = X(f \circ \lambda_g) = \left(\frac{d}{dt} f(g \exp tX) \right)_{t=0}, \quad f \in \mathcal{E}(G). \quad (2.52)$$

The relation between $S(\mathfrak{u})$ and $\mathbb{D}(U)$ is as follows ([DS], p. 281):

Theorem 2.43. *There exists a unique linear bijection $\lambda : S(\mathfrak{u}) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(U)$ such that $\lambda(X^m) = \tilde{X}^m$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{u}$ and all $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$.*

Theorem 2.43 states that the algebra $\mathbb{D}(U)$ of translation invariant differential operators on U is generated by the \tilde{X} ($X \in \mathfrak{u}$). The mapping λ is called *symmetrization* and identifies the commutative algebras $S(\mathfrak{a})$ and $\mathbb{D}(A)$. Further, it identifies the set $S(\mathfrak{a})^W$ of W -invariants in $S(\mathfrak{a})$ with the set $\mathbb{D}_W(A)$ of W -invariant differential operators on $A \cdot o$ with constant coefficients.

Given a homomorphism $\chi : \mathbb{D}(G/K) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ we introduce the *joint eigenspace*

$$\mathcal{E}_\chi(X) = \{f \in \mathcal{E}(G/K) : Df = \chi(D)f \text{ for all } D \in \mathbb{D}(G/K)\}.$$

We know from (2.47) that $\mathbb{D}(G/K) \cong S(\mathfrak{a})^W$. Since $\mathbb{D}(A)$ is a commutative polynomial ring, each $\nu \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$ extends uniquely to a homomorphism of $\mathbb{D}(A)$ into \mathbb{C} , denoted by $D \mapsto D(\nu)$. We then have ([DS], Chapter III, Lemma 3.11):

Lemma 2.44. *The homomorphisms of $S(\mathfrak{a})^W$ into \mathbb{C} are precisely*

$$\chi_\mu : P \mapsto P(\mu),$$

where μ is an element of $\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$,

It follows that the characters of $\mathbb{D}(G/K)$ (and hence the joint eigenspaces) are parameterized by the orbits of W in $\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$: Given $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$ we define

$$\mathcal{E}_\lambda(X) = \{f \in \mathcal{E}(X) : Df = \Gamma(D)(i\lambda)f \text{ for all } D \in \mathbb{D}(X)\}. \quad (2.53)$$

Lemma 2.44 implies that each $\mathcal{E}_\chi(X)$ is given by a suitably chosen $\mathcal{E}_\lambda(X)$.

Definition 2.45. Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$ and $b \in B$. We define

$$e_{\lambda,b} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad z \mapsto e^{(i\lambda+\rho)\langle z,b \rangle}. \quad (2.54)$$

The exponential functions $e_{\lambda,b}$ are called *non-Euclidean plane waves*.

Recall our notation $b_\infty = eM \in K/M$. Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$. The function

$$e_{\lambda,b_\infty} : G/K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad gK \mapsto e^{-(i\lambda+\rho)H(g^{-1})} \quad (2.55)$$

is N -invariant and its restriction to $A \cdot o$ is given by $e_{\lambda,b_\infty}|_{A \cdot o}(a \cdot o) = e^{(i\lambda+\rho)(\log a)}$. By (2.48) and (2.49), if $D \in \mathbb{D}(G/K)$,

$$\begin{aligned} (De_{\lambda,b_\infty})|_{A \cdot o} &= \Delta_N(D)(e_{\lambda,b_\infty})|_{A \cdot o} \\ &= (e^\rho \Gamma(D) \circ e^{-\rho})(e_{\lambda,b_\infty})|_{A \cdot o} \\ &= \Gamma(D)(i\lambda)(e_{\lambda,b_\infty})|_{A \cdot o}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $De_{\lambda,b_\infty} = \Gamma(D)(i\lambda)e_{\lambda,b_\infty}$, since both sides are N -invariant. In general, when $b = kM \in K/M$ is arbitrary, then $e_{\lambda,b}(x) = e_{\lambda,b_\infty}(k^{-1} \cdot x)$, so the K -invariance of D implies

$$De_{\lambda,b} = \Gamma(D)(i\lambda)e_{\lambda,b} \quad (2.56)$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$, $b \in B$ and $D \in \mathbb{D}(G/K)$. It follows that each $e_{\lambda,b}$ is a joint eigenfunction and belongs to $\mathcal{E}_\lambda(X)$. Moreover, (2.56) explains why one takes $i\lambda$ instead of λ in the definition (2.53) of the $\mathcal{E}_\lambda(X)$. Finally, (2.50) implies

$$L_X e_{\lambda,b} = \Gamma(L_X)(i\lambda) e_{\lambda,b} = -(\langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle + \langle \rho, \rho \rangle) e_{\lambda,b}. \quad (2.57)$$

This explicit formula for the eigenvalues of the Laplacian is of particular importance and will be applied a couple of times in the following sections.

Remark 2.46. A Riemannian manifold X with distance function d is called *two-point homogeneous* if whenever $d(p, q) = d(p', q')$, then there is an isometry g of X such that $g(p) = p'$ and $g(q) = q'$. A Riemannian symmetric space of the noncompact type is two-point homogeneous if and only if its real rank is one. If $X \cong G/K$ is a two-point homogeneous space, then $\mathbb{D}(G/K)$ is generated by the Laplacian, that is the algebra of invariant differential operators consists of the polynomials in the Laplace-Beltrami operator ([DS], p. 288).

2.4 The classical examples

It is always useful to have concrete examples in mind. The classification of globally symmetric spaces of noncompact type is the same as the classification of semisimple Lie groups. As often in Lie group theory, the classification contains a finite number of infinite lists (as the one of special linear groups $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ for $n \geq 2$), the so-called classical groups, and a finite set of “exceptional” examples.

2.4.1 Hyperbolic spaces and their realizations

For rank one symmetric spaces, there are three lists of classical spaces: Real, complex, and quaternionic hyperbolic spaces. There is only one exceptional one, the Cayley hyperbolic plane. For the latter we refer to the standard literature on exceptional Lie groups and Lie algebras, for example [D78]. In this Section we describe the realizations of the classical hyperbolic spaces. We follow [DH97].

Let $\mathbb{F} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}\}$ denote the field of real numbers, complex numbers, or the quaternions. On \mathbb{F}^{n+1} , regarded as a right-vector space over \mathbb{F} , we consider the Hermitian form

$$[x, y] = \overline{y_0}x_0 - \overline{y_1}x_1 - \cdots - \overline{y_n}x_n.$$

Let $G = U(1, n; \mathbb{F})$ be the group of $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ matrices with coefficients in \mathbb{F} which preserve this Hermitian form. The group G acts on the projective

space $P_n(\mathbb{F})$ and the stabilizer of the line generated by the vector $(1, 0, \dots, 0)$ is the group $K = U(1; \mathbb{F}) \times U(n; \mathbb{F})$, which is compact. We call $X = G/K$ a hyperbolic space. X is a Riemannian symmetric space of the noncompact type of rank one. By π we denote the natural projection map

$$\pi : \mathbb{F}^{n+1} \setminus \{o\} \rightarrow P_n(\mathbb{F}).$$

The hyperbolic space X is then the image under π of the open set

$$\{x \in \mathbb{F}^{n+1} : [x, x] > 0\}.$$

On \mathbb{F}^n we have the inner product $(x, y) = \sum_j \overline{y_j} x_j$ with norm $\|x\| = (x, x)^{1/2}$. Let $B(\mathbb{F}^n)$ denote the unit ball in \mathbb{F}^n . Then the space X can also be realized as the unit ball in \mathbb{F}^n . In fact, the map

$$\{x \in \mathbb{F}^{n+1} : [x, x] > 0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^n$$

given by $x \mapsto y$, where $y_p = x_p x_0^{-1}$, defines, after going to the quotient space, a real analytic bijection of X onto $B(\mathbb{F}^n)$ and G acts transitively by fractional linear transformations ([DH97]).

Let d denote the dimension of \mathbb{F} over \mathbb{R} , so $d = 1, 2$ or 4 respectively. On $\{x \in \mathbb{F}^n : [x, x] > 0\}$ we consider the Riemannian metric

$$ds^2 = -\frac{[dx, dx]}{[x, x]}.$$

This metric is invariant under $x \mapsto x\lambda$ ($\lambda \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}$) and thus defines a Riemannian metric on X , which is invariant under G , of signature $(dn, 0)$.

We can now describe the group theoretical decompositions of G . Let J be the $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ diagonal-matrix

$$J := \begin{pmatrix} -1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

it will turn out that this matrix is a representative in M' for longest Weyl group element $w \in W$. For any $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ -matrix X with coefficients in \mathbb{F} we set $X^* := J\overline{X}^{\text{tr}}J$. The Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of G consists of matrices X which satisfy $X + X^* = 0$. These are the matrices of the form

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} Z_1 & Z_2 \\ \overline{Z_2}^{\text{tr}} & Z_3 \end{pmatrix},$$

where Z_1 and Z_3 are anti-Hermitian and Z_2 is arbitrary. The involutive automorphism θ of \mathfrak{g} is given by

$$\theta(X) := JXJ.$$

2 Preliminaries

This θ is the Cartan involution with the usual decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p}$ into eigenspaces to the eigenvalues $+1$ and -1 . The space \mathfrak{k} is the Lie algebra of the subgroup $K = U(1; \mathbb{F}) \times U(n; \mathbb{F})$.

Let L be the element

$$L := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0_{n-1} & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Then $L \in \mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{a} := \mathbb{R}L$ is a maximal abelian subspace of \mathfrak{p} . The centralizer of L in \mathfrak{k} is

$$\mathfrak{m} := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & v & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & u \end{pmatrix} : u \in \mathbb{F}, u + \bar{u} = 0, v \in \mathfrak{u}(n-1; \mathbb{F}) \right\},$$

where $\mathfrak{u}(n-1; \mathbb{F})$ denotes the Lie algebra of $U(n-1, \mathbb{F})$. Let $\alpha := 1$. The nonzero eigenvalues of L are $\pm\alpha$ if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ and $\pm\alpha, \pm\alpha$ if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{H}$. The root-space \mathfrak{g}_α consists of the matrices

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & z^* & 0 \\ z & 0_{n-1} & -z \\ 0 & z^* & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where z is an $(n-1) \times 1$ -matrix with coefficients in \mathbb{F} , and where $z^* = -\bar{z}^{\text{tr}}$. We have $m_\alpha := \dim(\mathfrak{g}_\alpha) = d(n-1)$. The space $\mathfrak{g}_{2\alpha}$ consists of matrices of the form

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} w & 0 & -w \\ 0 & 0_{n-1} & 0 \\ w & 0 & -w \end{pmatrix},$$

where $w \in \mathbb{F}$ with $w + \bar{w} = 0$. Then $m_{2\alpha} := \dim(\mathfrak{g}_{2\alpha}) = d-1$. We have

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{-2\alpha} + \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha} + \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{g}_\alpha + \mathfrak{g}_{2\alpha}.$$

The subgroup $A = \exp(\mathfrak{a})$ of G is given by the matrices

$$a_t := \begin{pmatrix} \cosh t & 0 & \sinh t \\ 0 & \text{id}_{n-1} & 0 \\ \sinh t & 0 & \cosh t \end{pmatrix},$$

where $t \in \mathbb{R}$. The centralizer of A in K is the subgroup M of matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} u & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & v & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & u \end{pmatrix},$$

where $u \in \mathbb{F}$, $|u| = 1$, $v \in U(n-1; \mathbb{F})$. The Lie algebra of M is \mathfrak{m} . The subspace $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{g}_\alpha + \mathfrak{g}_{2\alpha}$ is a nilpotent subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} and the Lie algebra of the analytic subgroup N of G given by the matrices

$$n(w, z) := \begin{pmatrix} 1 + w - \frac{1}{2}[z, z] & z^* & -w + \frac{1}{2}[z, z] \\ z & \text{id}_{n-1} & -z \\ w - \frac{1}{2}[z, z] & z^* & 1 - w + \frac{1}{2}[z, z] \end{pmatrix},$$

where $w \in \mathbb{F}$, $w + \bar{w} = 0$, where z is an $(n-1) \times 1$ -matrix with coefficients in \mathbb{F} and with $z^* = -z^{\text{tr}}$. If

$$z = \begin{pmatrix} z_2 \\ \vdots \\ z_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad z' = \begin{pmatrix} z'_2 \\ \vdots \\ z'_n \end{pmatrix},$$

then $[z, z'] = -\bar{z}'_2 z_2 - \cdots - \bar{z}'_n z_n$. The composition law in N is

$$n(w, z) \cdot n(w', z') = n(w + w' + \text{Im}[z, z'], z + z').$$

In particular, since $[z, z]$ is real, the inverse of $n(w, z)$ is $n(-w, -z)$. The subgroup A normalizes N :

$$a_t n(w, z) a_{-t} = n(e^{2t}w, e^t z).$$

The parameter ρ is given by $\rho = \frac{1}{2}(m_\alpha + m_{2\alpha})$. The Iwasawa decomposition reads $G = KAN = NAK$. Each $g \in G$ can be written $g = k \exp H(g)n$, where $k \in K$, $n \in N$, and $H(g) \in \mathfrak{a}$. Let $|\cdot|$ denote the norm in \mathbb{F} .

Lemma 2.47. *Let $g = (g_{i,j})$ with $i, j = 0, 1, \dots, n$ be an element in $G = U(1, n; \mathbb{F})$. Then $H(g) = tL$, and $t = \ln |g_{0,0} + g_{0,n}|$.*

Proof. Set $f(g) := \ln |g_{0,0} + g_{0,n}|$. Let $b_t := \exp(tL) \in A$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \ln |(b_t)_{00} + (b_t)_{0n}| &= \log |\cosh t + \sinh t| \\ &= \ln(e^t) \\ &= t. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $H(g) = f(g)$. Moreover, $f(g)$ is left- K -invariant, since $k \in K = U(1; \mathbb{F}) \times U(n; \mathbb{F})$ is unitary, and right- N -invariant (this follows from the explicit expression of $n(w, z)$). Hence $f(g) = H(g)$ for all $g \in G$. \square

An explicit computation shows the following: If $g = n(w, z)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |(gw)_{00} + (gw)_{0n}|^2 &= |1 - 2w + [z, z]|^2 \\ &= (1 + [z, z]^2)^2 + 4w^2, \end{aligned}$$

since $[z, z]$ is real and w is purely imaginary. This formula is even in z and w , so considering $n(-w, -z) = n(w, z)^{-1}$ instead of $n(z, w)$ gives the same result.

Corollary 2.48. *Let $X = G/K$ be a hyperbolic space. Then $H(nw) = H(n^{-1}w)$, where $n \in N$, $G = KAN$, and where $w \in W$ denotes the longest Weyl group element.*

Remark 2.49. The formula $H(nw) = H(n^{-1}w)$ can alternatively be shown as follows: The set of positive roots \sum^+ consists of α and possibly 2α . Recall that m_α and $m_{2\alpha}$ denote the multiplicities of these roots. We write $B(\cdot, \cdot)$ for the Killing form and put $|Z|^2 = -B(Z, \theta Z)$ for $Z \in \mathfrak{g}$. If $\bar{n} \in \bar{N}$ we write $\bar{n} = \exp(X + Y)$, where $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$ and $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_{-2\alpha}$. Set

$$c^{-1} := 4(m_\alpha + 4m_{2\alpha}).$$

Then by [GASS], p. 180, we have

$$e^{\rho H(\bar{n})} = [(1 + c|X|^2)^2 + 4c|Y|^2]^{\frac{1}{4}(m_\alpha + 2m_{2\alpha})}.$$

We always have $wNw^{-1} = \bar{N}$, although conjugation with w does not have to coincide with the involution θ in all cases (it is true for the classical hyperbolic spaces). It follows that the inverse of $\bar{n} = \exp(X + Y)$ is given by $\bar{n} = \exp(-X - Y)$. In particular, the formula for $e^{\rho H(\bar{n})}$ is even in X and Y , so $H(\bar{n}) = H(\bar{n}^{-1})$ for all $\bar{n} \in \bar{N}$. This implies $H(nw) = H(n^{-1}w)$ for all $n \in N$, since $H(\cdot)$ is left- K -invariant.

2.4.2 The special linear groups

The groups $G = \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ are generic examples for higher rank spaces. In particular, if $K = \mathrm{SO}_n(\mathbb{R})$, then G/K is a Riemannian symmetric space of the non-compact type of rank $n - 1$. We will briefly recall the Iwasawa-decomposition components of this group and give a counterexample for the formula $H(nw) = H(n^{-1}w)$ we already analyzed in the case of rank one spaces. The interest of the function $n \mapsto H(nw)$ arises in the fact that it is the phase function of several integrals, such as the Harish-Chandra's c -function, and another family of operators we will consider in Section 6.

Let $G = \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$. The subgroup A arising in the Iwasawa decomposition consists of the $n \times n$ -diagonal matrices

$$a := \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & a_n \end{pmatrix},$$

where $a_1 \cdots a_n = 1$ and $a_j > 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$. The nilpotent subgroups N and \bar{N} are given by upper, respectively lower, triangular matrices with 1's in the main diagonal. The subgroup M' of K is generated by the subgroup M and

by the diagonal-matrices

$$s_i := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & \\ & & 1 & & & \\ & & & s & & \\ & & & & 1 & \\ & & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where the matrix

$$s := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is placed in the i -th and $(i+1)$ -th rows. The Weyl group W (imbedded into the subgroup M') is generated by the matrices s_i . The action of W on A is defined by the formula $w' \cdot a := w'aw'^{-1}$ ($w' \in W$, $a \in A$). The group W coincides with the symmetric group S_n and therefore has $n!$ elements. The matrix w with all zero entries, except for the entries $(w)_{k,n-k+1} = \pm 1$, is the longest element in W . It permutes the entries a_k and a_{n-k+1} ($k = 1, 2, \dots, n$) of the matrices $a = \text{diag}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in A$. Moreover, we have $\overline{N} = wNw^{-1}$.

Let $G = \text{SL}_3(\mathbb{R})$. We will now find an $n \in N$ such that $H(nw) \neq H(n^{-1}w)$. An element $a \in A$ has the form

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} e^s & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{-s-t} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$. The longest Weyl group element $w \in W$ is

$$w = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We fix an element $n \in N$. Then there are $d, e, f \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & d & e \\ 0 & 1 & f \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Multiplying out we find

$$nw = \begin{pmatrix} e & -d & 1 \\ f & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad n^{-1}w = \begin{pmatrix} df - e & d & 1 \\ -f & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

2 Preliminaries

Now suppose that $nw = \tilde{k}\tilde{a}\tilde{n}$ is written corresponding to the Iwasawa decomposition, where $\tilde{a} = a(s, t)$ as above. Then $\tilde{k} = nw\tilde{n}^{-1}\tilde{a}^{-1} \in \mathrm{SO}_3(\mathbb{R})$ yields

$$e^2 + f^2 + 1 = e^{2s}. \quad (2.58)$$

Similarly, if $n^{-1}w$ is Iwasawa decomposed with A -part $a(s', t')$, then

$$(df - e)^2 + f^2 + 1 = e^{2s'}. \quad (2.59)$$

If we now assume that $H(nw) = H(n^{-1}w)$ then in particular $s = s'$. The equations (2.58) and (2.59) have solutions for suitable chosen d, e, f and s , but surely not for all choices. For example, the equations contradict if $d = e = f = 1$, which shows that $H(nw) = H(n^{-1}w)$ is not a general property in $\mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbb{R})$. The method used here can be extended to all special linear groups $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ for $n \geq 3$. We always have $H(nw) = H(n^{-1}w)$ in the group $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ (see Section 6.4).

3 Component computations

For later reference, we outhouse long and technical computations.

3.1 Some integral formulas

If U is a Lie group with closed subgroup V and with a left-invariant positive measure on V we put

$$\tilde{F}(uV) := \int_V F(uv) dv, \quad F \in C_c(U). \quad (3.1)$$

Note that this factorization \tilde{F} is not the same as the lift $F \circ \pi$ from the preceding sections. The mapping $F \mapsto \tilde{F}$ is a linear and surjective mapping of $C_c(U)$ onto $C_c(U/V)$ ([DS], p. 91). In what follows, we will often use the following integral formula due to Harish-Chandra ([DS], p. 197).

Lemma 3.1. *Let $g \in G$. Then*

$$\int_K f(k(g^{-1}k)) dk = \int_K f(k) e^{-2\rho H(gk)} dk, \quad f \in C(K). \quad (3.2)$$

Hence $(T_g)^*(dk) = e^{-2\rho(H(gk))} dk$, where $(T_g)^*(dk)$ denotes the pull-back measure corresponding to the G -action on K . We write $\frac{dk(gk)}{dk} = e^{-2\rho(H(gk))}$ to express the Jacobian $|\det dT_g(k)|$. We will need a similar formula for the quotient K/M . Therefore first observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M (F \circ T_g^{-1})(km) dm &= \tilde{F}(k(g^{-1}k)M) \\ &= \tilde{F}(\overline{T}_g^{-1}(kM)) \\ &= \tilde{F} \circ \overline{T}_g^{-1}(kM). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(F \circ T_g^{-1})^\sim(kM) = \tilde{F} \circ \overline{T}_g^{-1}(kM). \quad (3.3)$$

Recall that the Iwasawa projection $g \mapsto H(g)$ is M -bi-invariant. It follows that the Jacobian $e^{-2\rho H(gk)}$ of the action of g on K is a function on K/M .

Corollary 3.2. *The Jacobian of $\overline{T}_g : K/M \rightarrow K/M, kM \mapsto k(gk)M$, is $|\det d\overline{T}_g(kM)| = e^{-2\rho H(gk)}$.*

Proof. We need to show that for each $f \in C(K/M)$

$$\int_{K/M} (f \circ \overline{T}_g^{-1})(kM) dkM = \int_{K/M} f(kM) e^{-2\rho H(gk)} d(kM). \quad (3.4)$$

3 Component computations

Select $F \in C(K)$ such that $f = \tilde{F}$. Then by 2.4 and the M -equivariance of T_g

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{K/M} |\det dT_g(k)| f(kM) d(kM) &= \int_{K/M} |\det dT_g(k)| \tilde{F}(kM) d(kM) \\ &= \int_{K/M} |\det dT_g(k)| \left(\int_M F(km) dm \right) d(kM). \end{aligned}$$

(Recall $\int_M dm = 1$.) Then the last expression equals

$$\begin{aligned} \int_K F(k) |\det dT_g(k)| dk &= \int_K (F \circ T_g^{-1})(k) dk \\ &= \int_{K/M} \left(\int_M F \circ T_g^{-1}(km) dm \right) d(kM), \end{aligned}$$

and by (3.3) the last term equals $\int_{K/M} (\tilde{F} \circ \overline{T}_g^{-1})(kM) d(kM)$, as desired. \square

Remark 3.3. The measure $dp = dmdadn$ (in the notation of 2.1.6) is a left-invariant measure on $P = MAN$. Let db denote the normalized K -invariant measure on $K/M = G/P$. Using (2.6) we get ([GASS], p. 512) for $f \in C_c(G)$

$$\int_G f(g) e^{-2\rho(H(g))} dg = \int_{G/P} db(gP) \int_P f(gp) dp. \quad (3.5)$$

Corollary 3.2 states that $\frac{dk(gk)M}{d(kM)} = e^{-2\rho(H(gk))}$. Given $b = kM$ we use 2.37 to find

$$\frac{d(g \cdot b)}{db} = e^{-2\rho(H(gk))} = e^{+2\rho(\langle g^{-1}K, kM \rangle)} \quad (3.6)$$

$$= e^{-2\rho(\langle gK, g \cdot kM \rangle)} = e^{-2\rho(\langle g \cdot o, g \cdot b \rangle)}. \quad (3.7)$$

It follows for $f \in C(B)$ that

$$\int_B f(g \cdot b) e^{2\rho(\langle g \cdot o, g \cdot b \rangle)} d(gb) = \int_B f(b) db = \int_K f(kM) dk. \quad (3.8)$$

Remark 3.4. Let $C_c(G)^M$ denote the right- M -invariant functions in $C_c(G)$. Then $C_c(G/M) \cong C_c(G)^M$ via (3.1), so M -invariant functions on G are functions on G/M and vice versa. Under $G/K \times K/M \cong G/M$ a function $g \mapsto f(gM)$ on G/M becomes a function $(gK, g \cdot B) \mapsto f(gM)$ on $X \times B$.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $g \in G$ and $z \in X$. Then*

$$\int_K e^{-2\rho H(gk)} dk = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_B e^{2\rho \langle z, b \rangle} db = 1. \quad (3.9)$$

Proof. Apply Harish-Chandra's formula (3.2) to $f(k) = 1$:

$$1 = \int_K f(k) dk = \int_K f(k(g^{-1}k)) dk = \int_K f(k) \cdot e^{-2\rho H(gk)} dk.$$

Given $z = g \cdot o$, where $g \in G$, we then find

$$\int_B e^{2\rho\langle z, b \rangle} db = \int_K e^{-2\rho H(g^{-1}k)} dk = 1,$$

as desired. \square

Recall the formulas $\frac{d(g \cdot b)}{db} = e^{-2\rho\langle g \cdot o, g \cdot b \rangle}$ and $\langle g \cdot z, g \cdot b \rangle = \langle z, b \rangle + \langle g \cdot o, g \cdot b \rangle$. Let $f \in C_c(X \times B)$. The G -invariance of dz then yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{X \times B} f(z, b) e^{2\rho\langle z, b \rangle} dz db &= \int_{X \times B} f(g \cdot z, g \cdot b) e^{2\rho\langle g \cdot z, g \cdot b \rangle} e^{-2\rho\langle g \cdot o, g \cdot b \rangle} dz db \\ &= \int_{X \times B} f(g \cdot z, g \cdot b) e^{2\rho\langle z, b \rangle} dz db. \end{aligned}$$

This proves:

Proposition 3.6. $e^{2\rho\langle z, b \rangle} dz db$ is a G -invariant measure on $X \times B$.

Hence by uniqueness, under the inverse of the G -equivariant diffeomorphism $G/M \rightarrow X \times B$, $gM \mapsto (g \cdot o, g \cdot M)$, the measure $e^{2\rho\langle z, b \rangle} dz db$ is mapped into a scalar multiple of $d(gM)$, the G -invariant measure on G/M . To compute this scalar c , select $f(z) \in C_c^\infty(X)$ such that $\int_X f(z) dz = 1$. Lift $f(g) := f(g \cdot o)$ to a K -invariant function on G . Then $\int_G f(g) dg = 1$. Also lift $f(z, b) := f(z)$ to a function on $X \times B$, which is independent of b . Then $f(g) = f(g \cdot o, g \cdot M)$, so

$$\begin{aligned} c &= c \int_G f(g \cdot o, g \cdot M) dg \\ &= \int_{X \times B} f(z, b) e^{2\rho\langle z, b \rangle} dz db \\ &= \int_X f(z) \int_B e^{2\rho\langle z, b \rangle} db dz. \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

But $\int_B e^{2\rho\langle z, b \rangle} db = 1$ and hence (3.10) equals $\int_X f(z) dz = 1$. Thus $c = 1$.

Corollary 3.7. Let $f \in C_c(X \times B)$. Then

$$\int_{G/M} f(g \cdot o, g \cdot M) dg = \int_{X \times B} f(z, b) e^{2\rho\langle z, b \rangle} dz db. \tag{3.11}$$

3 Component computations

Given $(z, b) \in X \times B$ we can find $g \in G$ such that $(z, b) = g \cdot (o, M)$. Then $\langle g \cdot o, g \cdot M \rangle = H(g)$. If we replace $f(g \cdot o, g \cdot M)$ by $f(g \cdot o, g \cdot M)e^{-2\rho H(g)}$ it follows from (3.11) that

$$\int_{X \times B} f(z, b) dz db = \int_{G/M} f(g \cdot o, g \cdot M) e^{-2\rho H(g)} d(gM). \quad (3.12)$$

One can directly prove (3.12) by using the G -invariance of dz and the integral formulas (2.6) and (2.10):

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{X \times B} f(z, b) db, dz &= \int_K \int_X f(k \cdot z, kM) dz dk \\ &= \int_{KAN} f(kan \cdot o, kan \cdot M) dk da dn \\ &= \int_{G/M} f(g \cdot o, g \cdot M) e^{-2\rho H(g)} d(gM). \end{aligned}$$

3.2 Derivatives corresponding to the Iwasawa decomposition

We begin this subsection by recalling some material from [DKV83] concerning derivatives of the Iwasawa projection. We will later apply these derivatives to functions defined by the Iwasawa decomposition.

Let $g, h \in G$. We write $h^g = ghg^{-1}$. Let $U(\mathfrak{g})$ be the universal enveloping algebra of the complexification of \mathfrak{g} . The adjoint representation of G on \mathfrak{g} extends to a representation of G on $U(\mathfrak{g})$ by automorphisms. We write $u^g = Ad(g)u$, if $u \in U(\mathfrak{g})$. Then we have

$$u^{gh} = (u^h)^g, \quad (uv)^g = u^g v^g, \quad (g, h \in G, u, v \in U(\mathfrak{g})).$$

We shall view elements of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ as left invariant differential operators acting on functions on G . To explain this interpretation, we now specify how an element $u = X_1 \cdots X_r$ ($X_j \in \mathfrak{g}$), acts as a differential operator. Let $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a function on G and define

$$\partial(u)f(g) := f(g; u) := \frac{\partial^r}{\partial t_1 \cdots \partial t_r |_{t_1=\dots=t_r=0}} f(g \exp t_1 X_1 \cdots \exp t_r X_r).$$

If $u \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ is a complex number $c \in \mathbb{C}$, then $f(g; c) = cf(g)$.

The Iwasawa decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ gives rise to the decomposition

$$U(\mathfrak{g}) = (\mathfrak{k}U(\mathfrak{g}) + U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{n}) \oplus U(\mathfrak{a}).$$

Therefore it makes sense to speak of the projection

$$E_{\mathfrak{a}} : U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{a}).$$

It is clear that this projection preserves the degree filtrations on both sides. Let

$$\varepsilon : U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

be a homomorphism that sends all elements of \mathfrak{g} to 0. We call $\varepsilon(u)$ the *constant term* of $u \in U(\mathfrak{g})$. If u has zero constant term, then the same is true for $E_{\mathfrak{a}}(u)$. Since \mathfrak{a} is abelian, $U(\mathfrak{a})$ is canonically isomorphic to the symmetric algebra (see Subsection 2.3.3) over \mathfrak{a} . Thus, on $U(\mathfrak{a})$ the degree filtration arises from a grading. So in $U(\mathfrak{a})$ we may speak of the homogeneous components of an element.

We can now give the main calculation on the derivatives of the Iwasawa projection

$$H : G \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}, \quad kan \mapsto \log(a).$$

We will later use these formulas several times in applications of the method of stationary phase.

Lemma 3.8. *Let $g \in G$, $b \in U(\mathfrak{g})$. Then we have the formula*

$$H(g; b) = \varepsilon(b)H(g) + (E_{\mathfrak{a}}(b^{t(g)}))_1,$$

where the subscript 1 means the homogeneous component of degree 1, and $t(g) = a(g)n(g)$ is the “triangular part” of the KAN Iwasawa decomposition of $g \in G$.

Proof. We copy the proof given in [DKV83], p. 337 to fix some notation. Since H is left-invariant under K and right-invariant under N we have

$$H(1; u) = 0 \quad \forall u \in \mathfrak{k}U(\mathfrak{g}) + U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{n}.$$

Let $g, h \in G$ and Iwasawa decompose $g = kan$. Then

$$H(gh) = H(kanh) = H(anh) = H(h^{t(g)}t(g)) = H(h^{t(g)}a(g)), \quad (3.13)$$

where $a(g) = a$. The right hand side of (3.13) equals $H(h^{t(g)}) + H(a(g)) = H(h^{t(g)}) + H(g)$ and hence

$$H(g; b) = H(1; b^{t(g)}) = \varepsilon(b)H(g) + H(1; E_{\mathfrak{a}}(b^{t(g)})). \quad (3.14)$$

But as $H(\exp X_1 \cdots \exp X_r) = X_1 + \cdots + X_r$ for $X_j \in \mathfrak{a}$ it follows that for any $c \in U(\mathfrak{a})$ we have $H(1; c) = c_1$. \square

Lemma 3.9. *Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denote the Killing form of \mathfrak{g} and let $H \in \mathfrak{a}$. Let φ denote the function*

$$\varphi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad g \mapsto \langle H(g), H \rangle.$$

Let $g \in G$ and $X \in \mathfrak{g}$. Then

$$\varphi(g; X) = \langle X^{t(g)}, H \rangle = \langle X, H^{n(g)^{-1}} \rangle. \quad (3.15)$$

3 Component computations

Proof. $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ has constant term 0, so $H(g; X) = E_{\mathfrak{a}}(X^{t(g)})$. The linear functional $\lambda(Y) = \langle Y, H \rangle$ ($Y \in \mathfrak{a}$) has derivative $\lambda(Y)$ and from the chain rule we obtain for $\varphi = \lambda \circ H$ that

$$\varphi(g; X) = \langle E_{\mathfrak{a}}(X^{t(g)}), H \rangle = \langle X^{t(g)}, H \rangle,$$

since \mathfrak{a} is orthogonal to $\mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ with respect to the Killing form, while $H^{t(g)^{-1}} = H^{n(g)^{-1}a(g)^{-1}} = H^{n(g)^{-1}}$, since $a \in A$ fixes H , since \mathfrak{a} is abelian. \square

Given any Lie group G , we denote by L_g the *left translation* by a group element $g \in G$. The tangent vector to the curve $t \mapsto g \exp tX$ at g is $dL_g(X)$. Suppose \mathfrak{g} is a direct sum $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{u} \oplus \mathfrak{v}$, where \mathfrak{u} and \mathfrak{v} are subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} (not necessarily ideals). Let U and V be the analytic subgroups of G with Lie algebras \mathfrak{u} and \mathfrak{v} . Let $\alpha : U \times V \rightarrow G$ denote the mapping $(u, v) \mapsto uv$. We identify U and V with the subgroups (U, e) and (e, V) of the product group $U \times V$ and we also identify the tangent space $T_{(u,v)}(U \times V)$ with the direct sum $T_u U + T_v V$ ($u \in U, v \in V$). Let $g \cdot X$ ($g \in G, X \in \mathfrak{g}$) denote the adjoint action. Let $Y \in \mathfrak{u}, Z \in \mathfrak{v}$. We then have

$$\alpha(u \exp tY, v) = uv \exp(t v^{-1} \cdot Y), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}$$

and

$$\alpha(u, v \exp tZ) = uv \exp tZ, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

It follows that the differential of α at $(u, v) \in U \times V$ is given by

$$d\alpha_{(u,v)}(dL_u Y, dL_v Z) = dL_{uv}(v^{-1} \cdot Y + Z). \quad (3.16)$$

Identifying $T_u U = \mathfrak{u}$ and $T_v V = \mathfrak{v}$ we will from now on denote the differential $d\alpha = \alpha'$ of the product map α by

$$\alpha'(u, v)(X, Y) = v^{-1} \cdot X + Y, \quad (3.17)$$

where $u \in U, v \in V, X \in \mathfrak{u}, Y \in \mathfrak{v}$.

Corollary 3.10. *The mapping α from above is everywhere regular.*

Proof. $h^{-1} \cdot Y + Z = 0 \Leftrightarrow Y = -h \cdot Z \in \mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{v} = \{0\} \Leftrightarrow Y = Z = 0$. \square

Assume that G is a semisimple Lie group with Iwasawa decomposition $G = NAK$. Then NA is a group, since A normalizes N . We consider the following mappings:

- (i) $\sigma_1 : N \times A \rightarrow NA, (n, a) \mapsto na,$
- (ii) $\sigma_2 : NA \times K \rightarrow NAK = G, (na, k) \mapsto nak,$
- (iii) $\sigma_3 : N \times A \times K \rightarrow NAK = G, (n, a, k) \mapsto nak,$

- (iv) $\sigma_4 : A \times N \rightarrow AN, (a, n) \mapsto an,$
- (v) $\sigma_5 : A \times N \times K \rightarrow AN \times K, (an, k) \mapsto ank,$
- (vi) $\sigma_6 : A \times N \times K \rightarrow ANK = G, (a, n, k) \mapsto ank.$

Then $\sigma_3 = \sigma_2 \circ (\sigma_1 \times \text{id}_K)$. It follows from the chain rule that

$$\sigma'_3(n, a, k) : \mathfrak{n} \times \mathfrak{a} \times \mathfrak{k} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$$

is given by

$$\sigma'_3(n, a, k)(X, Y, Z) = \text{Ad}(k^{-1})(\text{Ad}(a^{-1})X + Y) + Z,$$

where $X \in \mathfrak{n}, Y \in \mathfrak{a}, Z \in \mathfrak{k}$. Then

$$\sigma'_3(n, a, k)(X, Y, Z) = k^{-1}a^{-1} \cdot X + k^{-1} \cdot Y + Z. \quad (3.18)$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\sigma'_6(a, n, k)(X, Y, Z) = k^{-1}n^{-1} \cdot X + k^{-1} \cdot Y + Z, \quad (3.19)$$

for $(a, n, k) \in A \times N \times K$ and $(X, Y, Z) \in \mathfrak{a} \times \mathfrak{n} \times \mathfrak{k}$.

Fix $H \in \mathfrak{a}$, $H \neq 0$ and let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denote the Killing form. We introduce the C^∞ -functions

- (i) $\varphi_1 : N \times A \times K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \varphi_1(n, a, k) = \langle H(nak), H \rangle,$
- (ii) $\varphi_2 : A \times N \times K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \varphi_2(a, n, k) = \langle H(ank), H \rangle.$

We factorize φ_1 in the following way: As above, let $\sigma_3 : N \times A \times K \rightarrow G$ denote the map $(n, a, k) \mapsto nak$ and let λ_0 denote the linear functional $X \mapsto \langle X, H \rangle$ on \mathfrak{a} . Then $\varphi_1 = \lambda_0 \circ H \circ \sigma_3$. For the differential of φ_1 we obtain from the chain rule

$$\varphi'_1(n, a, k) = \lambda'_0(H(\sigma_3(n, a, k))) \circ H'(\sigma_3(n, a, k)) \circ \sigma'_3(n, a, k).$$

Now replace X in (3.15) by $k^{-1}a^{-1} \cdot X + k^{-1} \cdot Y + Z$ from (3.18). Then $\varphi'_1(n, a, k)$ is a map

$$\varphi'_1(n, a, k) : T_{(n,a,k)}(N \times A \times K) = \mathfrak{n} \times \mathfrak{a} \times \mathfrak{k} \rightarrow T_{nak}G = \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

given by

$$(X, Y, Z) \mapsto \langle k^{-1}a^{-1} \cdot X + k^{-1} \cdot Y + Z, H^{n(nak)^{-1}} \rangle.$$

We can now write $nak = \tilde{k}\tilde{a}\tilde{n}$ corresponding to the Iwasawa decomposition. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'_1(n, a, k)(X, Y, Z) &= \langle k^{-1}a^{-1} \cdot X + k^{-1} \cdot Y + Z, H^{n(nak)^{-1}} \rangle \\ &= \langle \tilde{n} \cdot k^{-1} \cdot a^{-1} \cdot X, H \rangle + \langle \tilde{n} \cdot k^{-1} \cdot Y, H \rangle + \langle \tilde{n} \cdot Z, H \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

For the derivatives of φ_2 , write $ank = \tilde{k}\tilde{a}\tilde{n}$. Then (3.19) yields

$$\varphi'_2(a, n, k)(X, Y, Z) = \langle \tilde{n} \cdot k^{-1} \cdot n^{-1} \cdot X, H \rangle + \langle \tilde{n} \cdot k^{-1} \cdot Y, H \rangle + \langle \tilde{n} \cdot Z, H \rangle. \quad (3.21)$$

3.3 Critical sets and Hessian forms

Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denote the Killing form and let $H \in \mathfrak{a}_+$ with $\|H\| = 1$. We investigate the critical set of the phase function $\psi : \mathfrak{a}_+^* \times N \times A \times K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$(\mu, n, a, k) \mapsto \mu(\log(a)) - \langle H(nak), H \rangle, \quad (3.22)$$

arising in Section 4 for an oscillatory integral named Ua . We analyze the critical set of ψ and write it down explicitly in the case when $X = G/K$ has rank one. Viewed as a function on $\mathfrak{a}_+^* \times N \times A \times K/M$, the critical set will then consist of one single point. We then prove the non-degeneracy of the Hessian form of ψ at this critical point.

Note that in order to determine the critical set of ψ , we have to solve

$$d\psi(\mu, n, a, k) = 0. \quad (3.23)$$

Written out, (3.23) is equivalent to the equations

- (a) $\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \mu}(\mu, n, a, k) = 0$,
- (b) $\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial s}|_{s=0}(\mu, n \exp sX, a, k) = 0$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{n}$,
- (c) $\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}|_{t=0}(\mu, n, a \exp tY, k) = 0$ for all $Y \in \mathfrak{a}$,
- (d) $\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta}|_{\theta=0}(\mu, n, a, k \exp \theta Z) = 0$ for all $Z \in \mathfrak{k}$.

Lemma 3.11. *Let \mathfrak{n}^\perp denote the orthogonal complement (w.r.t. the Killing form) of \mathfrak{n} in \mathfrak{g} . Then $\mathfrak{n}^\perp \cap \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{a}$.*

Proof. Let $Z \in \mathfrak{n}^\perp \cap \mathfrak{p}$. Write $Z = Z_{\mathfrak{a}} + Z_{\mathfrak{q}}$ corresponding to the orthogonal decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{q}$. For $Y \in \mathfrak{n}$ we then have

$$0 = \langle Z, Y \rangle = \langle Z_{\mathfrak{a}} + Z_{\mathfrak{q}}, Y \rangle = \langle Z_{\mathfrak{q}}, Y \rangle, \quad (3.24)$$

since $\mathfrak{a} \perp \mathfrak{n}$. It follows that $Z_{\mathfrak{q}} \perp \mathfrak{g}$, so $Z_{\mathfrak{q}} = 0$, so $Z \in \mathfrak{a}$. Conversely, if $Z \in \mathfrak{a}$, then $Z \in \mathfrak{p}$ and $Z \perp \mathfrak{n}$. \square

Lemma 3.12. *Let $X = G/K$ have rank one. If $\mu \neq 1$ or $kM \neq M$, then the phase function ψ given in (3.22) has no critical points in $\{\mu\} \times A \times N \times \{k\}$.*

Proof. Suppose that (μ, n, a, k) is a critical point for $A \times N$. Write $nak = \tilde{k}\tilde{a}\tilde{n}$ corresponding to the Iwasawa decomposition. We rewrite the A -derivative given in (3.20) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'_1(n, a, k)(0, H, 0) &= \langle \tilde{n}k^{-1} \cdot H, H \rangle \\ &= \langle \tilde{k}\tilde{a}\tilde{n}k^{-1} \cdot H, \tilde{k} \cdot H \rangle \\ &= \langle nakk^{-1} \cdot H, \tilde{k} \cdot H \rangle \\ &= \langle n \cdot H, \tilde{k} \cdot H \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we find for $X \in \mathfrak{n}$

$$\varphi'_1(n, a, k)(X, 0, 0) = \langle n \cdot X, \tilde{k} \cdot H \rangle.$$

The assumption that (μ, n, a, k) is critical is then equivalent to the conditions

$$(a') \quad \langle n \cdot H, \tilde{k} \cdot H \rangle = \mu,$$

$$(b') \quad \langle n \cdot X, \tilde{k} \cdot H \rangle = 0 \quad \forall X \in \mathfrak{n}.$$

It follows from (b') that $\tilde{k} \cdot H \perp \mathfrak{n}$. But since also $\tilde{k} \cdot H \in \mathfrak{p}$, Lemma 3.11 yields $\tilde{k} \cdot H \in \mathfrak{a}$. Hence $\tilde{k} \in M'$. (In higher rank, the same argument applies for H regular.) Now equation (a') yields

$$0 < \mu = \langle n \cdot H, \tilde{k} \cdot H \rangle = \pm \langle n \cdot H, H \rangle = \pm 1,$$

since $n \cdot H - H \in \mathfrak{n}$. It follows that $\mu = 1$ and that $\tilde{k} = m \in M$. Finally, $nak = m\tilde{a}\tilde{n}$ yields (by uniqueness of the Iwasawa decomposition) that $k \in M$, and the lemma is proven. \square

3.3.1 Critical points

For $H \in \mathfrak{a}$, let $Z_N(H)$ denote the centralizer of H in N . Recall that $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p}$, where \mathfrak{p} denotes the orthogonal complement (with respect to the Killing form) of \mathfrak{k} in \mathfrak{g} .

Lemma 3.13. *Let $H \in \mathfrak{a}$, $n \in N$. Then*

$$n \cdot H \in \mathfrak{p} \iff n \in Z_N(H).$$

Proof. $n \cdot H \in \mathfrak{p}$ is satisfied if and only if $\langle Z, n \cdot H \rangle = 0 \quad \forall Z \in \mathfrak{k}$. But $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ yields $\langle Z, H \rangle = 0 \quad \forall Z \in \mathfrak{k}$ and since $n \in N$ we obtain $n \cdot H \in H + \mathfrak{n}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \langle Z, n \cdot H \rangle \quad \forall Z \in \mathfrak{k} &\iff 0 = \langle Z, n \cdot H - H \rangle \quad \forall Z \in \mathfrak{k} \\ &\iff n \cdot H - H \in \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{n}. \end{aligned}$$

We may now use $\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{n} = \{0\}$, which follows from the fact that the elements of \mathfrak{p} are semisimple, while the elements of \mathfrak{n} are nilpotent. Thus $n \cdot H = H$, as desired. \square

Assume that (μ, n, a, k) is a critical point in all variables. It follows from (a) that $\log(a) = 0$, that is

$$a = e. \tag{3.25}$$

We use the notation of (3.20) and Iwasawa decompose

$$nak = \tilde{k}\tilde{a}\tilde{n}. \tag{3.26}$$

Condition (d) yields

$$0 = -\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta}|_{\theta=0}(\mu, n, a, k \exp \theta Z) = \langle \tilde{n} \cdot Z, H \rangle = \langle Z, H^{\tilde{n}^{-1}} \rangle \quad \forall Z \in \mathfrak{k}. \tag{3.27}$$

It follows from Lemma 3.13 that $\tilde{n} \in N_H = Z_N(H)$, the centralizer of H in N .

Remark 3.14. (1) It is sufficient for (3.27) to be satisfied only for all $Z \in \mathfrak{m}^\perp$, the orthogonal complement of \mathfrak{m} in \mathfrak{k} . This can be seen as follows: If $X = \tilde{n} \cdot H - H = X_{\mathfrak{p}} + X_{\mathfrak{m}} \in (\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{m}) \cap \mathfrak{n}$, then $2X_{\mathfrak{m}} = X + \theta(X) \in \mathfrak{m} \cap \mathfrak{m}^\perp = \{0\}$, so $X \in \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{n} = \{0\}$, so $\tilde{n} \in N_H = Z_N(H)$.

(2) However, given $\tilde{n} \in N$ and $Z \in \mathfrak{m}$, set $X = \tilde{n}^{-1} \cdot H - H \in \mathfrak{n}$ and $Y = X + \theta(X) \in \mathfrak{m}^\perp$. Since $\mathfrak{m} \perp \mathfrak{a}$ we have $2\langle \tilde{n} \cdot Z, H \rangle = \langle Z, \tilde{n}^{-1} \cdot H - H \rangle + \langle Z, \tilde{n}^{-1} \cdot H - H \rangle = \langle Z, X \rangle + \langle Z, \theta(X) \rangle = \langle Z, Y \rangle = 0$, so (3.27) holds for all $Z \in \mathfrak{m}$ and $\tilde{n} \in N$.

Next, recall $a = e$ and also note that $\tilde{n} \cdot H = H$ is equivalent to $\tilde{n}^{-1} \cdot H = H$. We may then plug (3.20) into equation (b) above and obtain the condition

$$0 = -\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial s} \Big|_{s=0} (\mu, n \exp sX, a, k) = \langle \tilde{n} \cdot k^{-1} \cdot a^{-1} \cdot X, H \rangle = \langle X, k \cdot H \rangle \quad \forall X \in \mathfrak{n}.$$

It is immediate from Lemma 3.11 that

Lemma 3.15. *Let $0 \neq H \in \mathfrak{a}$, $k \in K$. The following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i) $\langle X, k \cdot H \rangle = 0 \quad \forall X \in \mathfrak{n}$,
- (ii) $k \cdot H \in \mathfrak{a}$.

3.3.2 Regular elements

Let from now on $H \in \mathfrak{a}_+$ be regular. Let $\lambda_H \in \mathfrak{a}_+^*$ denote the linear functional on \mathfrak{a} given by $\lambda_0(X) = \langle X, H \rangle$ for $X \in \mathfrak{a}$ (Killing form). Then $\lambda_0 \in \mathfrak{a}_+^*$, the dual positive Weyl chamber. Also $H = H_{\lambda_0}$ in the notation of the Riesz representation (Section 2.1.4). As before, we study the critical set of

$$\psi : \mathfrak{a}_+^* \times N \times A \times K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad (\mu, n, a, k) \mapsto \mu(\log(a)) - \langle H(nak), H_{\lambda_0} \rangle,$$

Let (μ, n, a, k) be a critical point of ψ . We already know $a = e$. Lemma 3.15 states $k \cdot H \in \mathfrak{a}$. For regular elements we have the following refinement:

Lemma 3.16. *Let $0 \neq H \in \mathfrak{a}$, $k \in K$. The following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i) $\langle X, k \cdot H \rangle = 0 \quad \forall X \in \mathfrak{n}$,
- (ii) $k = m' \in M'$, where M' is the normalizer of A in K .

Since H is regular, it follows that $k = m' \in M'$.

Next, we Iwasawa decompose $nak = \tilde{k}\tilde{a}\tilde{n}$. Then by the above observations we have $\tilde{n} \in N_H$. But since H is regular, Remark 2.9 implies $\tilde{n} = e$. Using $a = e$ and $k = m'$ we then observe

$$nm' = nak = \tilde{k}\tilde{a}\tilde{n} = \tilde{k}\tilde{a}, \tag{3.28}$$

which implies (by uniqueness of the Iwasawa decomposition)

$$n = \tilde{k}\tilde{a}(m')^{-1} = \tilde{k}m'^{-1}\tilde{a} \in N \cap KA = \{e\}, \quad (3.29)$$

so $n = e$, $\tilde{k} = m'$ and $\tilde{a} = e$.

Condition (c) above and (3.20) yield

$$0 = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=0} (\mu, n, a \exp tY, k) = \mu(Y) - \langle \tilde{n} \cdot k^{-1} \cdot Y, H \rangle. \quad (3.30)$$

Evaluating this at the critical point (μ, n, a, k) , where $\tilde{n} = e$ and $k = m'$, we get

$$\mu(Y) = \langle Y, m' \cdot H \rangle \quad \forall Y \in \mathfrak{a}. \quad (3.31)$$

Recall that $H \in \mathfrak{a}_+$ induces the linear function $\lambda_0(Y) = \langle Y, H \rangle$ ($Y \in \mathfrak{a}$) on \mathfrak{a} . It follows that $\mu \in \mathfrak{a}_+^*$ is in the W -orbit of $\lambda_0 \in \mathfrak{a}_+^*$. Hence $k = m' = m \in M$ and $\mu = \lambda_0$. We summarize this as follows:

Proposition 3.17. *Let $H \in \mathfrak{a}_+$ be regular. Write $\lambda_0(Y) = \langle Y, H \rangle$ ($Y \in \mathfrak{a}$). The critical points (μ, n, a, k) of*

$$\psi : \mathfrak{a}_+^* \times N \times A \times K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad (\mu, n, a, k) \mapsto \mu(\log(a)) - \langle H(nak), H_{\lambda_0} \rangle,$$

are precisely

$$(\mu, n, a, k) = (\lambda_0, e, e, m), \quad m \in M. \quad (3.32)$$

On the quotient $\mathfrak{a}_+^* \times N \times A \times K / M$, the phase function ψ has exactly one critical point, namely $(\mu, n, a, k) = (\lambda_0, e, e, M)$.

Proof. We have seen that each critical point has this form. In the K -variable, ψ is M -invariant, since $H : KAN \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$ is invariant. The proposition follows. \square

3.3.3 The Hessian form

Let X have rank one. Then $\mathfrak{a} = \mathbb{R}H$, where $H \in \mathfrak{a}_+$ is the unique vector such that $\|H\| = 1$ (the norm on \mathfrak{a} induced by the Killing form). Let $\lambda_0 \in \mathfrak{a}_+^*$ denote the linear functional on \mathfrak{a} given by $\lambda_0(X) = \langle X, H \rangle$ for $X \in \mathfrak{a}$ (Killing form). Then $\mathfrak{a}^* = \mathbb{R}\lambda_0$. Then $\lambda_0 \in \mathfrak{a}_+^*$, the dual positive Weyl chamber. Also $H = H_{\lambda_0}$.

We compute the Hessian form of ψ for the rank one case. First, we note that the second order derivatives of ψ are clear if they contain at least one derivative in direction μ . We will now also compute the Hessian matrix of the C^∞ -function

$$\varphi_1 : N \times A \times K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \varphi_1(n, a, k) = \langle H(nak), H \rangle \quad (3.33)$$

at the points $(e, e, m) \in N \times A \times K$ and conclude that as a function on the quotient $\mathfrak{a}_+^* \times N \times \mathbb{R} \times K / M$ the phase function ψ has a non-degenerate Hessian

3 Component computations

form at the critical point (λ_0, e, e, M) . Note that under $\mathfrak{a} \cong \mathbb{R}$ we identify λ_0 with $1 \in \mathbb{R}$.

Recall that $H : KAN \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$ is a smooth mapping and hence φ_1 is smooth as well. Derivatives of φ_1 are given by

$$\varphi'_1(n, a, k)(X, Y, Z) = \langle \tilde{n} \cdot k^{-1} \cdot a^{-1} \cdot X, H \rangle + \langle \tilde{n} \cdot k^{-1} \cdot Y, H \rangle + \langle \tilde{n} \cdot Z, H \rangle, \quad (3.34)$$

where $(X, Y, Z) \in \mathfrak{n} \times \mathfrak{a} \times \mathfrak{k}$ and where $nak = \tilde{k}\tilde{a}\tilde{n}$ is written corresponding to the Iwasawa decomposition.

The Hessian form is bilinear, hence we must prove its non-degenerateness only with respect to a certain basis, which we will later construct. We will now successively fill up the following 3×3 -matrix of question marks, where each row and each column corresponds to the Lie algebra direction in which we differentiate:

$$\begin{bmatrix} & \mu & n & a_t & k \\ \mu & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ n & 0 & ? & ? & ? \\ a_t & 1 & ? & ? & ? \\ k & 0 & ? & ? & ? \end{bmatrix} \quad (3.35)$$

Because of symmetry we only have to consider the following 6 cases:

(1) $X, X' \in \mathfrak{n}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & XX'(\langle H(nak), H \rangle)|_{n=e, a=e, k=m} \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \langle n(n \exp(tX)ak)k^{-1}a^{-1} \cdot X', H \rangle|_{n=e, a=e, k=m} \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} 0 = 0, \end{aligned}$$

since at the critical points we have $n = e$, $k = m \in M$ and $a = e$, so the left vector in the Killing form is an element of \mathfrak{n} for each t in a neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathfrak{n} \perp \mathfrak{a}$ with respect to the Killing form.

(2) $X \in \mathfrak{n}$, $Y \in \mathfrak{a}$. Then

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \langle n(na \exp(tY)k)k^{-1}a^{-1} \cdot X, H \rangle|_{n=e, a=e, k=m} = 0$$

as above.

(3) $Y, Y' \in \mathfrak{a}$. Then

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \langle n(na \exp(tY')k) \cdot Y, H \rangle|_{n=e, a=e, k=m} = \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \langle Y, H \rangle = 0.$$

(4) $Y \in \mathfrak{a}$, $Z \in \mathfrak{k}$. Then

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \langle n(na \exp(tY)k) \cdot Z, H \rangle|_{n=e, a=e, k=m} = 0,$$

since the left vector in the Killing form is an element of \mathfrak{k} and $\mathfrak{k} \perp \mathfrak{a}$ with respect to the Killing form (recall that M and A commute elementwise).

(5) $Z, Z' \in \mathfrak{k}$. Then

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \langle n(nak \exp(tZ')) \cdot Z, H \rangle \Big|_{n=e, a=e, k=m} = 0,$$

since $\mathfrak{k} \perp \mathfrak{a}$.

(6) $X \in \mathfrak{n}$, $Z \in \mathfrak{k}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \langle n(nak \exp(tZ)) \exp(-tZ) k^{-1} a^{-1} \cdot X, H \rangle \Big|_{n=e, a=e, k=m} \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \langle \exp(-tZ) m^{-1} \cdot X, H \rangle \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \langle \tilde{X}, \exp(tZ) \cdot H \rangle \quad (\tilde{X} = m^{-1} \cdot X \in \mathfrak{n}) \\ &= \langle \tilde{X}, [Z, H] \rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{3.36}$$

since M normalizes N . This vanishes for $Z \in \mathfrak{m}$, since then $[Z, H] = 0$.

We now analyse the last expression with respect to the transversal direction \mathfrak{m}^\perp . If $\alpha > 0$ is a positive root, we find vectors $X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ such that

$$Z = \sum_{\alpha > 0} (X_\alpha + \theta X_\alpha). \tag{3.37}$$

Plugging (3.37) into the commutator-bracket of \mathfrak{g} we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} [Z, H] &= \left[\sum_{\alpha > 0} (X_\alpha + \theta X_\alpha), H \right] \\ &= \sum_{\alpha > 0} -\alpha(H) X_\alpha + \alpha(H) \theta X_\alpha \\ &= \sum_{\alpha > 0} \alpha(H) (\theta X_\alpha - X_\alpha) \in \mathfrak{p}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.38}$$

Next, we write $\tilde{X} \in \mathfrak{n}$ as a sum

$$\tilde{X} = \sum_{\alpha > 0} \tilde{X}_\alpha \quad (\tilde{X}_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha). \tag{3.39}$$

Plugging (3.38) and (3.39) into the Killing form (3.36) we obtain

$$\langle \tilde{X}, [Z, H] \rangle = \left\langle \sum_{\alpha > 0} \alpha(H) (\theta X_\alpha - X_\alpha), \sum_{\beta > 0} \tilde{X}_\beta \right\rangle \tag{3.40}$$

$$= \sum_{\alpha > 0} \alpha(H) \langle \theta X_\alpha, \tilde{X}_\alpha \rangle, \tag{3.41}$$

3 Component computations

since $\langle \mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_\beta \rangle \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow \alpha + \beta = 0$ and since $\theta X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$.

Now let $\{X_1, \dots, X_s\}$ be a basis for \mathfrak{n} consisting of root vectors such that $\langle X_j, \theta X_i \rangle = \delta_{ij}$. Then

$$\{X_1 + \theta X_1, \dots, X_s + \theta X_s\} \quad (3.42)$$

is a basis of \mathfrak{m}^\perp . Hence \tilde{X} and $Z \in \mathfrak{m}^\perp$ are linear combinations

$$\tilde{X} = \sum_j a_j X_j, \quad Z = \sum_j b_j (X_j + \theta X_j). \quad (3.43)$$

It follows that

$$\langle \tilde{X}, [Z, H] \rangle = \sum_j \alpha_j(H) b_j a_j, \quad (3.44)$$

where $\alpha_j = \alpha$ if $X_j \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$. Hence in this basis, for $\mathfrak{n} \times \mathfrak{m}^\perp$ the second derivatives $\langle \tilde{X}, [Z, H] \rangle$ at the critical points are given by the invertible diagonal matrix

$$Q_0 := \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1(H) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \alpha_s(H) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.45)$$

Finally, with respect to this basis, the second derivatives of ψ are

$$Q := \begin{bmatrix} s & \mathfrak{n} & t & \mathfrak{m} & \mathfrak{m}^\perp \\ s & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathfrak{n} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -Q_0 \\ t & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathfrak{m} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathfrak{m}^\perp & 0 & -Q_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (3.46)$$

We drop the \mathfrak{m} -rows and columns, which describe the stable direction.

Theorem 3.18. *The phase function ψ has a non-degenerate Hessian form at its critical point (λ_0, e, e, M) .*

3.3.4 Another phase function

Let $X = G/K$ have rank one and as usual, denote by $H \in \mathfrak{a}^+$ the unique unit vector. We also need to determine the critical points of $\psi_t : \mathbb{R}^+ \times A \times N \times K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$(\mu, n, a, k) \mapsto t(\mu - 1) - \langle \log(a), H \rangle - \mu H(a^{-1}n^{-1}k).$$

First, $d\psi_t(\mu, n, a, k) = 0$ is equivalent to

$$(a) \quad \frac{\partial \psi_t}{\partial \mu}(\mu, n, a, k) = 0,$$

- (b) $\frac{\partial \psi_t}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=0}(\mu, n, a \exp tY, k) = 0$ for all $Y \in \mathfrak{a}$,
- (c) $\frac{\partial \psi_t}{\partial s} \Big|_{s=0}(\mu, n \exp sX, a, k) = 0$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{n}$,
- (d) $\frac{\partial \psi_t}{\partial \theta} \Big|_{\theta=0}(\mu, n, a, k \exp \theta Z) = 0$ for all $Z \in \mathfrak{k}$.

We may first consider the mapping $\varphi_2 : A \times N \times K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$\varphi_2(a, n, k) = \langle H(ank), H \rangle.$$

Given $(X, Y, Z) \in \mathfrak{a} \times \mathfrak{n} \times \mathfrak{k}$, the differential of φ_2 at (a, n, k) is (cf. Sec. 3.2)

$$\varphi_2'(a, n, k)(X, Y, Z) = \langle \tilde{n} \cdot k^{-1} \cdot n^{-1} \cdot X, H \rangle + \langle \tilde{n} \cdot k^{-1} \cdot Y, H \rangle + \langle \tilde{n} \cdot Z, H \rangle. \quad (3.47)$$

Assume that (μ, n, a, k) is a critical point of φ_2 and Iwasawa decompose $ank = \tilde{k}\tilde{a}\tilde{n}$. Then by (3.47)

$$\langle \tilde{n} \cdot Z, H \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for all } Z \in \mathfrak{k}.$$

It follows from Lemma 3.13 that $\tilde{n} \in N_H$, where N_H denotes the centralizer of H in N . Since G/K has rank one this yields $\tilde{n} = e$. Again by (3.47) we have that

$$\langle \tilde{n} \cdot k^{-1} \cdot Y, H \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for all } Y \in \mathfrak{n}.$$

It follows from Lemma 3.11 that $k = m' \in M'$, where M' is the normalizer of A in K . Then

$$anm' = ank = \tilde{k}\tilde{a}\tilde{n} = \tilde{k}\tilde{a},$$

and by uniqueness of the Iwasawa decomposition this implies

$$\tilde{k} = anm'\tilde{a}^{-1} \implies \tilde{k} = m'.$$

Again by uniqueness of the Iwasawa decomposition we find

$$anm' = m'\tilde{a} \implies n = e.$$

Now assume that (μ, n, a, k) is a critical point of ψ_t . Since the first two summands in the definition of ψ_t are independent of k and n , it follows that for the critical point of ψ_t we have $n = e$ and $k = m'$ as well. Then by the assumption, we have for the derivatives of ψ_t with respect to a and μ (given by equations (a) and (b) above)

$$(i) \quad \frac{\partial \psi_t}{\partial \mu} = t - \langle H(a^{-1}n^{-1}k), H \rangle = 0,$$

$$(ii) \quad \psi_a = -1 + \mu \cdot \langle m'^{-1} \cdot X, H \rangle = 0.$$

3 Component computations

Recall that we identify the unit vector $H \in \mathfrak{a}_+$ with the real number $1 \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Condition (ii) yields

$$0 < 1/\mu = \langle H, m' \cdot H \rangle = \pm 1,$$

since M' is acting by orthogonal transformations on \mathfrak{a} . It follows from $\mu > 0$ that $\mu = 1$ and $m' = m \in M$, where M is the centralizer of A in K . Evaluating (i) at the critical point we obtain

$$t - \langle H(a^{-1}m), H \rangle = 0 \implies \log(a) = -t.$$

Summarizing we have proven:

Proposition 3.19. *The critical points (μ, n, a, k) of ψ_t are precisely*

$$(\mu, n, a, k) = (1, e, a_{-t}, m), \quad m \in M.$$

On the quotient $\mathbb{R}^+ \times N \times A \times K/M$, the phase function ψ_t has exactly one critical point, namely $(\mu, n, a, k) = (1, e, a_{-t}, M)$.

The Hessian matrix of ψ_t at the critical points is then given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} & \mu & \mathfrak{n} & \mathfrak{a} & \mathfrak{m} & \mathfrak{m}^\perp \\ \mu & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathfrak{n} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -Q_0 \\ \mathfrak{a} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathfrak{m} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathfrak{m}^\perp & 0 & -Q_0 & 0 & 0 & f(t) \end{bmatrix}, \quad (3.48)$$

where Q_0 is as in (3.46) and all other computations are exactly as in Subsection *The Hessian form* of this ongoing section, and where $f(t)$ is the matrix

$$f(t) := \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta_1 \partial \theta_2} \langle a_{-t} \cdot o, k_{\theta_1 Z, \theta_2 Z'} M \rangle_{|\theta_1 = \theta_2 = 0}, \quad (3.49)$$

where $Z, Z' \in \mathfrak{m}^\perp$ and $k_{\theta Z} = \exp \theta Z$ for small t and $Z \in \mathfrak{k}$ (we can restrict this to the critical point $m = e$, since all functions involved are M -invariant). We can also rewrite $f(t)$ in terms of (3.47) and the Killing form to obtain

$$f(t) = \frac{d}{d\theta}|_{\theta=0} \langle n(a_t k_{\theta Z'}) \cdot Z, H \rangle. \quad (3.50)$$

Example 3.20. If $G = PSU(1, 1)$ and $K = PSO(2)$, then G/K is identified with the open unit disk \mathbb{D} . Then ([Zel86], p. 103)

$$f(t) = -2 \tanh(t) \tanh(t+1)^{-2} \neq 0 \quad \forall t \neq 0. \quad (3.51)$$

Note that given $a \in A$ and $k \in K$, the horocycle bracket $\langle a \cdot o, kM \rangle$ equals the Iwasawa projection $-H(a^{-1}k)$. It seems not to be easy to give a short derivation for an explicit formula for the matrix $f(t)$, but we observe the following: The matrix coefficients of the *principal series of representations* of G (see Section 5) may be expressed as integrals of the form

$$\int_K e^{\lambda(H(ak))} \varphi(k) dk. \quad (3.52)$$

Here $a \in A$ and φ is an analytic function on K expressed in terms of matrix coefficients of representations of K , and the eigenvalue parameter is $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$. We can keep $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) = \nu$ fixed and absorb the factor $e^{\nu(H(ak))}$ into the amplitude φ . We write $\xi = \operatorname{Im}(\lambda) \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ and denote by $H = H_\xi \in \mathfrak{a}$ the vector satisfying $\xi(Y) = \langle Y, H_\xi \rangle$ with respect to the Killing form. Then (3.52) becomes

$$\int_K e^{i\langle H(ak), H \rangle} \varphi(k) dk. \quad (3.53)$$

If we replace H by τH and let $\tau \rightarrow \infty$, then the principle of stationary phase states that the main contributions to the asymptotic expansion of (3.53) come from the critical points of the phase function $F_{a,H}$ on K defined by

$$F_{a,H}(k) = \langle H(ak), H \rangle, \quad (k \in K). \quad (3.54)$$

These functions have been studied in [DKV83] (for proofs see Sections 5 and 6 loc. cit.). Let K_a , respectively K_H , denote the centralizer of a in K , respectively of H in K . The study of the critical points of $F_{a,H}$ reveals that the critical set of $F_{a,H}$ is equal (for X being of arbitrary rank) to the disjoint union of smooth manifolds $K_a w K_H$, where w runs through the Weyl group. Note that the notation $w K_H$ makes sense, as always $M \subseteq K_H$ for all $H \in \mathfrak{a}$. The Hessians of the $F_{a,H}$ are transversally non-degenerate to the critical manifolds. In particular, if X has rank one, then the subgroup $M = Z_K(A)$ is a critical manifold for $F_{a,H}$ and its Hessian is non-degenerate in transversal direction. Since our matrix $f(t)$ equals the Hessian form of $F_{a_t,H}$ we can summarize:

Theorem 3.21. *The Hessian form ψ_t is non-degenerate at the critical point.*

4 Equivariant pseudodifferential operators on symmetric spaces

The Euclidean Fourier transform of a sufficiently regular function on \mathbb{R}^n is

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int f(x) e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx. \quad (4.1)$$

Writing $D_j = -i(\partial/\partial x_j)$, we differentiate the *Fourier inversion formula*

$$f(x) = \int \widehat{f}(\xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\xi$$

and get

$$D^\alpha f(x) = \int \xi^\alpha \widehat{f}(\xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\xi,$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$. Hence for a differential operator $p(x, D) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} a_\alpha(x) D^\alpha$.

$$p(x, D)f(x) = \int p(x, \xi) \widehat{f}(\xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\xi;$$

The function

$$p(x, \xi) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} a_\alpha(x) \xi^\alpha$$

is called the *full symbol* of the operator $p(x, D)$. These observations lead to the Euclidean version of pseudodifferential operators on the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n ([[Tay81](#)], [[Hor85](#)]). As described in the introduction, pseudodifferential operators can be very useful in determining the asymptotic behaviour of the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the Laplace operator. In 1986, Steve Zelditch ([[Zel86](#)]) presented a calculus of pseudodifferential operators that in that case of the unit disk D and a corresponding compact hyperbolic surface $X_\Gamma = \Gamma \backslash D$, where $\Gamma \subset PSU(1, 1)$ is a cocompact discrete subgroup, is best adapted for this purpose. The main idea is to use Helgason's non-Euclidean Fourier analysis in place of the local Euclidean Fourier analysis in manifolds. An advantage of this calculus lies in its equivariance and invariance properties: Γ -invariant symbols define Γ -invariant operators on T^*D . Other objects of interest in Ψ DO-theory, such as lower terms in asymptotic expansions, are invariantly defined in this calculus, too.

In this section we generalize parts of this calculus to symmetric spaces of the noncompact type. Eventually we will have to restrict some results to the case of rank one symmetric spaces.

4.1 Non-Euclidean Fourier analysis

The non-Euclidean Fourier transform F ([GASS]) converts sufficiently regular functions f on X (e.g. $f \in C_c^\infty(X)$) into functions $Ff = \tilde{f}$ on $\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^* \times K/M$. This integral transform was introduced by S. Helgason in 1965 ([Helg65]) and shows a lot of analogies with the Euclidean Fourier-transform ([Hor83]). There is an inversion formula, a Plancherel formula, and a non-Euclidean Paley-Wiener theorem. Let f be a complex valued function on X . Its *non-Euclidean Fourier transform* $Ff = \tilde{f}$ is defined by

$$Ff(\lambda, b) := \tilde{f}(\lambda, b) := \int_X f(x) e^{(-i\lambda + \rho)\langle x, b \rangle} dx \quad (4.2)$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$, $b \in B$, for which the integral exists.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $u \in C_c^\infty(X)$. Then $\tilde{u}(\lambda, b)$ is rapidly decreasing in λ .*

Proof. We use (2.57) and iterate integration by parts via the Laplace operator L_X (see Section 2.3):

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{u}(\lambda, b) &= \int_X e^{(-i\lambda + \rho)A(z, b)} u(z) dz \\ &= \int_X \left(\frac{-1}{\langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle + \langle \rho, \rho \rangle} \right) L_X e^{(-i\lambda + \rho)A(z, b)} u(z) dz \\ &= \int_X \left(\frac{-1}{\langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle + \langle \rho, \rho \rangle} \right) e^{(-i\lambda + \rho)A(z, b)} L_X u(z) dz \\ &= \int_X \left(\frac{-1}{\langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle + \langle \rho, \rho \rangle} \right)^k e^{(-i\lambda + \rho)A(z, b)} L_X^k u(z) dz. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the proposition. □

As usual, we denote *Harish-Chandra's c-function* by $c(\lambda)$. Explicit formulas for the *Plancherel density* $|c(\lambda)|^{-2} \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{a})$ can be found in Section 2.1.7. We introduce the notation

$$d\lambda = |c(\lambda)|^{-2} d\lambda. \quad (4.3)$$

Let $w = |W|$ denote the order of the Weyl group. In analogy with the inversion formula for the Euclidean Fourier transform we have ([GASS], pp. 225-226):

Theorem 4.2 (Fourier inversion formula). *For each $f \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ the Fourier transform is inverted by the formula*

$$f(x) = w^{-1} \int_{\mathfrak{a}^*} \int_B e^{(i\lambda + \rho)\langle x, b \rangle} \tilde{f}(\lambda, b) d\lambda db, \quad x \in X. \quad (4.4)$$

Let $B(\cdot, \cdot)$ denote the restriction to \mathfrak{a} of the Killing form of \mathfrak{g} . Given $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*$, we denote by $H_\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}$ the uniquely determined element such that

$$B(H_\lambda, H) = \lambda(H) \quad \forall H \in \mathfrak{a} \quad (4.5)$$

Recall that we denote the *dual positive Weyl chamber*, that is the *preimage* (under the mapping $\lambda \mapsto H_\lambda$) of the *positive Weyl chamber* \mathfrak{a}^+ , by

$$\mathfrak{a}_+^* = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^* : H_\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^+\}. \quad (4.6)$$

The following theorem ([GASS], p. 227) is the symmetric space analog of the Plancherel formula for the Euclidean Fourier transform.

Theorem 4.3 (Plancherel formula). *The Fourier transform $f(x) \mapsto \tilde{f}(\lambda, b)$ extends to an isometry of $L^2(X)$ onto $L^2(\mathfrak{a}_+^* \times B, |c(\lambda)|^{-2} d\lambda db)$. For $f \in L^2(X)$, the Plancherel formula reads*

$$\int_X f_1(x) \overline{f_2(x)} dx = w^{-1} \int_{\mathfrak{a}_+^* \times B} \tilde{f}_1(\lambda, b) \overline{\tilde{f}_2(\lambda, b)} |c(\lambda)|^{-2} d\lambda db \quad (4.7)$$

Given $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$, we can find $\xi, \mu \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ such that $\lambda = \xi + i\mu$, where $i = \sqrt{-1}$. We employ the notation $\text{Im}\lambda = \mu$ and $|\lambda| = (|\xi|^2 + |\mu|^2)^{1/2}$. A C^∞ -function $\psi(\lambda, b)$ on $\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^* \times B$, holomorphic in λ , is called a holomorphic function of *uniform exponential type* if there exists a constant $R \geq 0$ such that for each $N \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\sup_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*, b \in B} e^{-R|\text{Im}\lambda|} (1 + |\lambda|)^N |\psi(\lambda, b)| < \infty. \quad (4.8)$$

We denote the space of ψ satisfying (4.8) by $\mathcal{H}^R(\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^* \times B)$ and define

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^* \times B) := \bigcup_{R>0} \mathcal{H}^R(\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^* \times B). \quad (4.9)$$

By $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^* \times B)_W$ we denote the space of functions $\psi \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^* \times B)$ satisfying

$$\int_B e^{(is\lambda + \rho)(A(x, b))} \psi(s\lambda, b) db = \int_B e^{(i\lambda + \rho)(A(x, b))} \psi(\lambda, b) db \quad (4.10)$$

for all $s \in W$, $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$ and $x \in X$.

The following theorems ([GASS], Ch. III, Theorem 5.1 and [GASS], Ch. III, Corollary 5.9) are the symmetric space versions of the Paley-Wiener theorems for the Fourier transform and answers the questions concerning the range of the Fourier transform.

Theorem 4.4. *The Fourier transform $f(x) \mapsto \tilde{f}(\lambda, b)$ is a bijection of $\mathcal{D}(X)$ onto $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^* \times B)_W$.*

A C^∞ -function ψ on $\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^* \times B$, holomorphic in λ , is called a *holomorphic function of uniform exponential type and slow growth* if there exist constants $R, C \geq 0$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|\psi(\lambda, b)| \leq C(1 + |\lambda|)^N e^{R|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|} \quad (4.11)$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$ and $b \in B$. Given $R \geq 0$, let $\mathcal{K}^R(\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^* \times B)$ denote the space of these ψ satisfying (4.11) for some N and C . We then define

$$\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^* \times B) := \bigcup_{R \geq 0} \mathcal{K}^R(\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^* \times B). \quad (4.12)$$

Let $\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^* \times B)_W$ denote the space of functions in $\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^* \times B)$ satisfying 4.10.

Theorem 4.5. *The distributional Fourier transform $T \mapsto \tilde{T}$, where*

$$\tilde{T}(\lambda, b) = \int_X e^{(-i\lambda + \rho)\langle x, b \rangle} dT(x),$$

is a bijection of $\mathcal{E}'(X)$ onto the space $\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^ \times B)_W$.*

4.2 Invariance and equivariance properties

In this section we describe important invariance properties of operators defined using the non-Euclidean Fourier transform.

The group action of G on X induces a translation of functions on X : Given $g \in G$ and a function f on X , we denote by $T_g f$ the function $T_g f(z) = f(gz)$. A function $a(z, \lambda, b)$ on $X \times \mathfrak{a} \times B$ is called *invariant* under translation (on $X \times B$) by g if and only if

$$a(gz, \lambda, gb) = a(z, \lambda, b) \text{ for all } (z, \lambda, b). \quad (4.13)$$

Functions on $X \times B$ are identified with functions on G/M and we call a function a on G/M *invariant under translation* by g if and only if $a(ghM) = a(hM)$ for all $g, h \in G$. Let f be a function on $X \times X$. For $g, h \in G$ we define $T_{g,h} f$ by $(T_{g,h} f)(z, w) := f(gz, hw)$. A function f on $X \times X$ is called *invariant* under $g \in G$ if and only if $T_{g,g} f = f$.

Let for a moment $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denote the duality bracket of $C_c^\infty(X)$. Given a distribution u on X we define the distribution $T_g u$ on X via duality by

$$\langle T_g u, v \rangle := \langle u, T_{g^{-1}} v \rangle, \quad v \in C_c^\infty(X). \quad (4.14)$$

This definition is consistent with the imbedding (2.33) $C_c^\infty(X) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}'(X)$: Given a function $u \in C_c^\infty(X)$ one has

$$\langle T_g u, v \rangle = \int_X u(gz) v(z) dz = \int_X u(z) v(g^{-1}z) dz = \langle u, T_{g^{-1}} v \rangle, \quad (4.15)$$

since dz is G -invariant. If u is a distribution on the product space $X \times X$, we define the distribution $T_{g,h}u$ on $X \times X$ via duality on the algebraic tensor product by defining it on the tensor products $\varphi \otimes \psi \in C_c^\infty(X \times X)$, where $\varphi, \psi \in C_c^\infty(X)$, by

$$\langle T_{g,h}u, \varphi \otimes \psi \rangle := \langle u, T_{g^{-1}}\varphi \otimes T_{h^{-1}}\psi \rangle. \quad (4.16)$$

This definition is again consistent with the imbedding of functions into distributions.

Definition 4.6. (1) Let A be an operator with Schwartz kernel k_A . We say that k_A is *properly supported* if the projections of $X \times X$ to each factor when restricted to the support of the kernel are proper mappings.

(2) We say that an operator A is *properly supported* provided $A, A^* : C_c^\infty(X) \rightarrow C_c^\infty(X)$, hence $A, A^* : C^\infty(X) \rightarrow C^\infty(X)$, where A^* is the adjoint of A with respect to the $L^2(X)$ -inner product. A is properly supported if and only if its kernel is.

Lemma 4.7. Let $A : C^\infty(X) \rightarrow C^\infty(X)$ denote a linear and continuous operator with properly supported Schwartz kernel k_A , viewed as a distribution on $X \times X$. Then T_g commutes with A (i.e. $T_g Au(z) = AT_g u(z)$) if and only if k_A is invariant under the action of g .

Proof. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denote the pairing of distributions and test functions. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T_g Au, v \rangle &= \langle Au, T_{g^{-1}}v \rangle \\ &= \langle k_A, T_{g^{-1},e}(v \otimes u) \rangle \\ &= \langle T_{g,e}k_A, v \otimes u \rangle \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\langle AT_g u, v \rangle = \langle k_A, v \otimes (T_g u) \rangle.$$

The algebraic tensor product $C_c^\infty(X) \otimes C_c^\infty(X)$ is dense in the test functions of $X \otimes X$ ([Treves67], p. 530) and hence we obtain

$$T_g A = AT_g \iff T_{g,e}k_A = T_{e,g^{-1}}k_A \iff T_{g,g}k_A = k_A.$$

This proves the lemma. □

Recall the notion of non-Euclidean plane waves (2.54): Given $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*$, $b \in B$, the functions $e_{\lambda,b} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are defined by

$$e_{\lambda,b} : x \mapsto e^{(i\lambda + \rho)\langle x, b \rangle}. \quad (4.17)$$

Definition 4.8. Given a linear operator $A : C^\infty(X) \rightarrow C^\infty(X)$, we define the *complete symbol* (full symbol) $a(z, \lambda, b) \in C^\infty(X \times \mathfrak{a}_+^* \times B)$ of A by

$$(Ae_{\lambda,b})(z) = a(z, \lambda, b)e_{\lambda,b}(z). \quad (4.18)$$

The complete symbol is defined if $A : C^\infty(X) \rightarrow C^\infty(X)$. We will later see for which classes of operators this condition is satisfied.

Let $u \in C_c^\infty(X)$. We will now use the Fourier inversion formula to represent Au by an integral. The following observations have to be understood formally. We will later define concrete classes of symbols $a(z, \lambda, b)$ for which these computations make sense. We write

$$\begin{aligned} Au(z) &= \int_{\mathfrak{a}_+^*} \int_B e^{(i\lambda+\rho)\langle z,b \rangle} a(z, \lambda, b) \tilde{u}(\lambda, b) d\lambda db \\ &= \int_X \int_{\mathfrak{a}_+^*} \int_B e^{(i\lambda+\rho)\langle z,b \rangle} e^{(-i\lambda+\rho)\langle w,b \rangle} a(z, \lambda, b) u(w) d\lambda db dw. \end{aligned}$$

On the level of distributions we then have for the Schwartz kernel

$$k_A(z, w) = \int_{\mathfrak{a}_+^*} \int_B e^{(i\lambda+\rho)\langle z,b \rangle} e^{(-i\lambda+\rho)\langle w,b \rangle} a(z, \lambda, b) d\lambda db \quad (4.19)$$

in the sense that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Au, v \rangle &= \langle k_A, v \otimes u \rangle \\ &= \int_X \int_X \int_{\mathfrak{a}_+^*} \int_B e^{(i\lambda+\rho)\langle z,b \rangle} e^{(-i\lambda+\rho)\langle w,b \rangle} a(z, \lambda, b) u(w) v(z) d\lambda db dw dz. \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

By the Fourier inversion formula, for properly supported kernels $k_A(z, w)$ we can then reconstruct the full symbol of A by using the Helgason-Fourier transform of the kernel:

$$a(z, \lambda, b) = \int_X e^{(i\lambda+\rho)(\langle w,b \rangle - \langle z,b \rangle)} k_A(z, w) dw. \quad (4.21)$$

We observe

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T_{g,g} k_A, v \otimes u \rangle &= \int e^{(i\lambda+\rho)\langle z,b \rangle} e^{(-i\lambda+\rho)\langle w,b \rangle} a(z, \lambda, b) u(g^{-1}w) v(g^{-1}z) d\lambda db dw dz \\ &= \int e^{(i\lambda+\rho)\langle gz,b \rangle} e^{(-i\lambda+\rho)\langle gw,b \rangle} a(gz, \lambda, b) u(w) v(z) d\lambda db dw dz. \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

Recall the equation $\langle g \cdot z, g \cdot b \rangle = \langle z, b \rangle + \langle g \cdot o, g \cdot b \rangle$ (cf. (2.27)), which implies $\langle g \cdot z, b \rangle = \langle z, g^{-1}b \rangle + \langle g \cdot o, b \rangle$. Similarly we obtain $\langle g \cdot w, b \rangle = \langle w, g^{-1}b \rangle + \langle g \cdot o, b \rangle$. Hence the integral (4.22) becomes

$$\int e^{(i\lambda+\rho)\langle z, g^{-1}b \rangle} e^{(-i\lambda+\rho)\langle w, g^{-1}b \rangle} a(gz, \lambda, b) u(w) v(z) e^{+2\rho\langle g \cdot o, b \rangle} d\lambda db dw dz.$$

Also recall the formula $\frac{dg \cdot b}{db} = e^{-2\rho\langle g \cdot o, g \cdot b \rangle}$ from Subsection 2.2.6 and change $g^{-1} \cdot b$ into b . This yields

$$\int e^{(i\lambda+\rho)\langle z, b \rangle} e^{(-i\lambda+\rho)\langle w, b \rangle} a(gz, \lambda, g \cdot b) u(w) v(z) d\lambda db dw dz. \quad (4.23)$$

Proposition 4.9. *Let $A : C^\infty(X) \rightarrow C^\infty(X)$ have properly supported kernel k_A . The following assertions are equivalent:*

- (1) T_g commutes with A .
- (2) The symbol a of A is invariant under the action of g .
- (3) k_A is invariant under the action of g .

Proof. It follows from the equivariance property (2.27) for the horocycle bracket that $e_{\lambda,b}(gz) = e_{\lambda,g \cdot b}(g \cdot o) e_{\lambda,g^{-1} \cdot b}(z)$. Using this we compute

$$\begin{aligned} (T_g A e_{\lambda,b})(z) &= a(gz, \lambda, b) e_{\lambda,b}(gz) \\ &= a(gz, \lambda, b) e_{\lambda,g \cdot b}(g \cdot o) e_{\lambda,g^{-1} \cdot b}(z) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (AT_g e_{\lambda,b})(z) &= A(e_{\lambda,g \cdot b}(g \cdot o) \cdot e_{\lambda,g^{-1} \cdot b})(z) \\ &= e_{\lambda,g \cdot b}(g \cdot o) A e_{\lambda,g^{-1} \cdot b}(z) \\ &= e_{\lambda,g \cdot b}(g \cdot o) a(z, \lambda, g^{-1} \cdot b) e_{\lambda,g^{-1} \cdot b}(z). \end{aligned}$$

- (1) \Rightarrow (2): Assume $T_g A = AT_g$. Then a must be invariant in the sense of (4.13).
- (2) \Rightarrow (3): Assume $a(gz, \lambda, g \cdot b) = a(z, \lambda, b)$ for all (z, λ, b) . Then the integral (4.23) equals $\langle k_A, v \otimes u \rangle$, which proves the invariance of k_A .
- (1) \Leftrightarrow (3): This is proven in Lemma 4.7. □

4.3 Classes of symbols

Let Γ denote a cocompact discrete subgroup of G and let X_Γ denote the corresponding compact quotient $\Gamma \backslash X$. We now define classes of symbols S_{cl}^m and $S_{\text{cl},\Gamma}^m$ and establish C^∞ -continuities for corresponding classes of operators. If X has rank one, the properly supported operators (in $\mathcal{L}_{\text{cl}}^m$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\text{cl},\Gamma}^m$) are closed under composition and adjoints, and properly supported operators of order 0 are L^2 -continuous. In the beginning of this section we let the rank $r := \dim(A)$ of X be arbitrary.

Definition 4.10. Let $\overline{\mathfrak{a}_+^*}$ denote the closure in \mathfrak{a}^* of the positive Weyl chamber. A function $a \in C^\infty(X \times \overline{\mathfrak{a}_+^*} \times B)$ is a *symbol of order* $m \in \mathbb{R}$ if for all $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^r$, for each differential operator D on $X \times B$, and for each compact subset $C \subset\subset X$ it satisfies

$$\|\partial_\lambda^\beta D a(z, \lambda, b)\| \leq C_{\beta,D}(C) (1 + |\lambda|)^{m-|\beta|} \quad \forall z \in C. \quad (4.24)$$

By S^m we denote the space of symbols of order m .

Remark 4.11. Suppose that X has rank one. Then $\mathfrak{a} = \mathbb{R}H$, where we choose H as a generator of \mathfrak{a} as the unique unit vector in the positive Weyl chamber. Then $\mathfrak{a}_+^* = \mathbb{R}\lambda_0$, where λ_0 is the linear functional $\lambda_0(X) = \langle X, H \rangle$, $X \in \mathfrak{a}$. We always identify $\mathbb{R} = \mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathbb{R} = \mathfrak{a}^*$. It follows that the multi-index $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^r$ in (4.24) is an integer $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and (4.24) becomes

$$\|\partial_\lambda^k D a(z, \lambda, b)\| \leq C_{k,D}(C)(1 + |\lambda|)^{m-k} \quad \forall z \in C. \quad (4.25)$$

Definition 4.12. A symbol $a(z, \lambda, b)$ is *homogeneous of degree* $m \in \mathbb{R}$ if for all $t \geq 1$ and $|\lambda| \geq 1$ it satisfies

$$a(z, t\lambda, b) = t^m a(z, \lambda, b). \quad (4.26)$$

A symbol $a \in S^m$ is *classical* if it has an *asymptotic expansion*

$$a(z, \lambda, b) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j(z, \lambda, b), \quad (4.27)$$

where the a_j are symbols, homogeneous of degree s_j , such that $s_j \rightarrow -\infty$, $s_0 = m$. Asymptotics is here denoted by \sim and means that for all $N \geq 1$

$$\left(a - \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} a_j \right) \in S^{m-N}. \quad (4.28)$$

The space of classical symbols of order m is denoted by S_{cl}^m . The set of symbols which are invariant under the action of Γ on $X \times B$ (see 4.13) is denoted by S_Γ^m . By $S_{\text{cl},\Gamma}^m$ we denote the space of Γ -invariant classical symbols. We will in most cases replace $a_j(z, \lambda, b)$ by $|\lambda|^{m-j} a_j(z, \lambda/|\lambda|, b)$.

Proposition 4.13. (i) Suppose $a(z, \lambda, b)$ is homogeneous of degree m in λ and φ is a smooth cutoff-function such that $\varphi(\lambda) = 0$ for $|\lambda| \leq C_1$ and $\varphi(\lambda) = 1$ for $|\lambda| \geq C_2 > C_1$, then $\varphi(\lambda)a(z, \lambda, b)$ is a symbol of order m .

(ii) If $a(z, \lambda, b)$ is a symbol of order m , then a k -th order derivative of a with respect to λ has order $m - k$.

(iii) Let a and b be symbols of order m and k , respectively. Then the symbol ab defined by $ab = a(z, \lambda, b)b(z, \lambda, b)$ has order $m + k$.

(iv) Let $a \in S^m$ such that $1/a \leq C(1 + |\lambda|)^{-m}$. Then $1/a \in S^{-m}$.

(v) Let $a \in S_{\Gamma,\text{cl}}^m$ such that $a \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j(z, \lambda, b)$. Then $a_j \in S_\Gamma^{m-j}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Proof. (i)-(iv) follow from the chain rule ([Tay81], p. 37). To prove (v), we note that the terms a_j are uniquely determined by a :

$$a_0(z, \lambda/|\lambda|, b) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} |\lambda|^{-m} a(z, \lambda, b).$$

The other terms a_j can be successively recovered. Then

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\lambda|^{m-j} a_j(z, \lambda/|\lambda|, b) \sim a(z, \lambda, b) = a(\gamma z, \lambda, \gamma b) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\lambda|^{m-j} a_j(\gamma z, \lambda/|\lambda|, \gamma b),$$

so $a_j(\gamma z, \lambda/|\lambda|, \gamma b) = a_j(z, \lambda/|\lambda|, b)$ for each j and γ . \square

Definition 4.14. Given a symbol $a(z, \lambda, b)$ we define the corresponding pseudodifferential operator $A := Op(a) := a(z, D)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} a(z, D)u(z) &= \int_X \int_{\mathfrak{a}^*} \int_B e^{(i\lambda+\rho)\langle z, b \rangle} e^{(-i\lambda+\rho)\langle w, b \rangle} a(z, \lambda, b) u(w) db d\lambda dw \\ &= \int_X \int_{\mathfrak{a}^*} \int_B e^{i\lambda(\langle z, b \rangle - \langle w, b \rangle)} e^{\rho(\langle z, b \rangle + \langle w, b \rangle)} a(z, \lambda, b) u(w) db d\lambda dw. \end{aligned}$$

Then $A = Op(a) = a(z, D)$ acts on functions u on X , for which the integral exists. We write $OPS^m = Op(S^m)$.

Theorem 4.15. Let $a \in S^m$. Then $A = Op(a) = a(z, D)$

(i) is a continuous operator $A : C_c^\infty(X) \rightarrow C^\infty(X)$.

(ii) is a continuous operator $A : \mathcal{E}'(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(X)$.

Proof. For (i), let $u \in C_c^\infty(X)$. The Fourier transform $\tilde{u}(\lambda, b)$ is rapidly decreasing (Prop. 4.1). Hence $Au(z)$ and all of its derivatives are absolutely and uniformly convergent integrals. For (ii), let $u \in \mathcal{E}'(X)$. Then by Theorem 4.5 we have $|\tilde{u}(\lambda, b)| \leq C(1 + |\lambda|)^n$ for some $C > 0$ and $n > 0$. Then for $v \in \mathcal{D}(X)$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Au, v \rangle &= \int_{X \times \mathfrak{a}_+^* \times B} e^{(i\lambda+\rho)A(z, b)} \overline{v(z)} a(z, \lambda, b) \tilde{u}(\lambda, b) d\lambda db dz \\ &= \int_{\mathfrak{a}_+^* \times B} a_v(\lambda, b) \tilde{u}(\lambda, b) d\lambda db, \end{aligned}$$

where (using integration by parts via L_X as in the proof of Prop. 4.1)

$$\begin{aligned} a_v(\lambda, b) &= \int_X e^{(i\lambda+\rho)A(z, b)} \overline{v(z)} a(z, \lambda, b) dz \\ &= \left(\frac{+1}{\langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle + \langle \rho, \rho \rangle} \right)^k \int_X e^{(i\lambda+\rho)A(z, b)} L_X^k(\bar{v}a) dz. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $|a_v(\lambda, b)| \leq C_k(v, a) (\langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle + \langle \rho, \rho \rangle)^{m-k}$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, where $C_k(v, a)$ depends on the $C_{\text{supp } v}^{2k}$ -norm of v (2.30). The order of the Plancherel density is $s := \dim N$. Choose k large enough to finish the proof. \square

Definition 4.16. (1) Let $\mathcal{L}^m, \mathcal{L}_\Gamma^m$, denote the properly supported operators with symbols in their respective symbol spaces.

(2) Let $d_X(z, w)$ denote the non-Euclidean distance from $z \in X$ to $w \in X$. We say that $A \in \mathcal{L}^m$ is *uniformly properly supported* if there exists a constant $d_0 > 0$ such that $k_A(z, w) = 0$ for all z and w with $d(z, w) \geq d_0$.

4.4 The Kohn-Nirenberg operator

For $G = SU(1, 1) \cong SL(2, \mathbb{R})$, it is proven in [Zel86] that the non-Euclidean operator classes (4.14) are contained in the classical space of pseudodifferential operators ([Hor85]). Proofs of these facts are based on the equivalence of phase functions and amplitudes in the definitions of operators. We note that equivalence of phase functions generalizes to arbitrary symmetric spaces (see [Zel86] for references, similar results are announced by N. Anantharaman and L. Silberman). The problem is to show, at least in the case of rank one spaces, that the symplectic volume element of $T^*(G/K)$, if expressed in (z, λ, b) -coordinates, is asymptotically equivalent to the measure $e^{2\rho\langle z, b \rangle} d\lambda db dz$. This is an open problem to me, and I will not go into any more detail at this point. In this section, we build up the analysis of the operator $U : C_c^\infty(X \times \mathfrak{a}_+^* \times B) \rightarrow C^\infty(X \times \mathfrak{a}_+^* \times B)$,

$$Ua(z, \lambda, b) := \int_X \int_{\mathfrak{a}_+^*} \int_B e^{(i\mu+\rho)\langle z, b' \rangle} e^{(i\lambda+\rho)\langle w, b \rangle} e^{(-i\mu+\rho)\langle w, b' \rangle} a(w, \mu, b') d\mu dw db'. \quad (4.29)$$

In the non-Euclidean calculus of pseudodifferential operators, proofs of many facts are based on the properties of this Kohn-Nirenberg operator, which is the composition of the quantization map $a \mapsto Op(a)$ and the symbol map.

Lemma 4.17. *U is an isometry of $L^2(X \times \mathfrak{a}_+^* \times B, e^{2\rho\langle z, b \rangle} dz d\lambda db)$.*

Proof. The Fourier inversion formula (4.4) says that each sufficiently regular function f on X satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad f(z) &= \int_{\mathfrak{a}_+^*} \int_B \int_X e^{(i\lambda+\rho)\langle z, b \rangle} e^{(-i\lambda+\rho)\langle w, b \rangle} f(w) dw d\lambda db, \\ (2) \quad \tilde{f}(\lambda, b) &= \int_X \int_{\mathfrak{a}_+^*} \int_B e^{(-i\lambda+\rho)\langle z, b \rangle} e^{(i\mu+\rho)\langle z, \tilde{b} \rangle} \tilde{f}(\mu, \tilde{b}) d\mu d\tilde{b} dz. \end{aligned}$$

Let $a \in L^2(X \times \mathfrak{a}_+^* \times B, e^{2\rho\langle z, b \rangle} dz db d\lambda)$ and for the moment, let $\langle | \rangle$ denote the L^2 -inner product. Let the overline denote complex conjugation. Then $\langle Ua | Ua \rangle$ is the ninefold integral

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Ua | Ua \rangle &= \int e^{(i\mu_1+\rho)\langle z, b_1 \rangle} e^{(i\lambda+\rho)\langle w_1, b \rangle} e^{(-i\mu_1+\rho)\langle w_1, b_1 \rangle} a(w_1, \mu_1, b_1) \\ &\quad e^{(-i\mu_2+\rho)\langle z, b_2 \rangle} e^{(-i\lambda+\rho)\langle w_2, b \rangle} e^{(i\mu_2+\rho)\langle w_2, b_2 \rangle} \bar{a}(w_2, \mu_2, b_2) \\ &\quad d\lambda db dz d\mu_1 db_1 dw_1 d\mu_2 db_2 dw_2, \end{aligned}$$

where integration is over $(X \times \mathfrak{a}_+^* \times B) \times (X \times \mathfrak{a}_+^* \times B) \times (X \times \mathfrak{a}_+^* \times B)$.

If we do the $dz d\mu_2 db_2$ integral first, it follows from formula (2) above that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Ua | Ua \rangle &= \int e^{(-i\mu_1+\rho)\langle w_1, b_1 \rangle} e^{(i\lambda+\rho)\langle w_1, b \rangle} e^{(-i\lambda+\rho)\langle w_2, b \rangle} e^{(i\mu_1+\rho)\langle w_2, b_1 \rangle} \\ &\quad a(w_1, b_1, \mu_1) \bar{a}(w_2, b_1, \mu_1) d\lambda db d\mu_1 db_1 dw_1 dw_2. \end{aligned}$$

Doing the $dz \, d\lambda \, db$ integral next, formula (1) above yields

$$\int e^{(-i\mu_1+\rho)\langle w_1, b_1 \rangle} e^{(i\mu_1+\rho)\langle w_1, b_1 \rangle} |a(w_1, \mu_1, b_1)|^2 dw_1 d\mu_1 db_1 = \langle a|a \rangle,$$

and the lemma is proven. \square

Remark 4.18. Consider the operator

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{F}a(z, \lambda, b) &= e^{-\rho\langle z, b \rangle} \int_X \int_{\mathfrak{a}_+^*} \int_B e^{(-i\lambda+\rho)\langle w, b \rangle} e^{-(i\mu+\rho)\langle z, b' \rangle} \\ &\quad \times e^{\rho\langle w, b' \rangle} a(w, \mu, b') \, db' \, d\mu \, dw. \end{aligned}$$

Using the Fourier inversion formula as above, one checks that \widetilde{F} is an isometry of $L^2(X \times \mathfrak{a}_+^* \times B, e^{2\rho\langle z, b \rangle} dz db d\lambda)$. Then, \widetilde{F} is inverted by

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{G}a(z, \lambda, b) &= e^{-\rho\langle z, b \rangle} \int_X \int_{\mathfrak{a}_+^*} \int_B e^{(i\lambda+\rho)\langle w, b \rangle} e^{(i\mu+\rho)\langle z, b' \rangle} \\ &\quad \times e^{\rho\langle w, b' \rangle} a(w, \mu, b') \, db' \, d\mu \, dw. \end{aligned}$$

By definition we have $U = e^{-i\lambda\langle z, b \rangle} \widetilde{G} e^{-i\mu\langle w, b' \rangle}$ and $U^{-1} = e^{i\lambda\langle z, b \rangle} \widetilde{F} e^{i\mu\langle w, b' \rangle}$, which shows that U is invertible.

Proposition 4.19. U is a unitary operator on $L^2(X \times \mathfrak{a}_+^* \times B, e^{2\rho\langle z, b \rangle} dz d\lambda db)$ and commutes with each $g \in G$, that is $UT_g = T_g U$ in the notation of (4.13).

Proof. U is unitary on $L^2(X \times \mathfrak{a}_+^* \times B, e^{2\rho\langle z, b \rangle} dz d\lambda db)$ by Lemma 4.17 and Remark 4.18. For a proof of $UT_g = T_g U$ note that dw is G -invariant, so

$$\begin{aligned} Ua(gz, \lambda, gb) &= \\ e^{-(i\lambda+\rho)\langle gz, gb \rangle} \int_X \int_{\mathfrak{a}_+^*} \int_B e^{(i\mu+\rho)\langle gz, b' \rangle} e^{(i\lambda+\rho)\langle gw, gb \rangle} e^{(-i\mu+\rho)\langle gw, b' \rangle} a(gw, \mu, b') \, d\mu dw db'. \end{aligned}$$

If we substitute $b' \mapsto g \cdot b'$ and use $\langle gz, gb' \rangle = \langle z, b' \rangle + \langle g \cdot o, b' \rangle$ and $\frac{dg \cdot b'}{db'} = e^{-2\rho\langle g \cdot o, g \cdot b' \rangle}$, the integral becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &e^{-(i\lambda+\rho)\langle z, b \rangle} \int_X \int_{\mathfrak{a}_+^*} \int_B e^{(i\mu+\rho)\langle z, b' \rangle} e^{(i\lambda+\rho)\langle w, b \rangle} e^{(-i\mu+\rho)\langle w, b' \rangle} a(gw, \mu, gb') \, d\mu dw db' \\ &= U(a \circ g)(z, \lambda, b), \end{aligned}$$

where $(f \circ g)(z, \lambda, b) = f(gz, \lambda, gb)$. The proposition follows. \square

4.4.1 A convolution formula

Given two functions a and b on G , at least one with compact support, their *convolution product* $a * b$ is defined by

$$(a * b)(h) := \int_G a(g)b(g^{-1}h)dg, \quad h \in G. \quad (4.30)$$

Since G is locally compact and unimodular we may substitute $g \mapsto hg$ and then change g into g^{-1} . The unimodularity and the G -invariance of dg yield

$$(a * b)(h) = \int_G a(hg^{-1})b(g)dg. \quad (4.31)$$

This convolution descends to convolution of M -invariant functions on G , which we also denote by $*$: If π denotes the projection $G \rightarrow G/M$ and if f is a function on G/M , then, $f \circ \pi$ is an M -right-invariant function on G . Convolution on G/M is then defined via

$$(a * b) \circ \pi := (a \circ \pi) * (b \circ \pi),$$

where a and b denote functions on G/M . Written out, this means

$$(a * b)(hM) = \int_G a(gM)b(g^{-1}hM)dg. \quad (4.32)$$

To see this is well-defined, let a and b be M -invariant functions on G , such that the convolution integral $a * b$ exists. Given $g \in G$, $m \in M$, observe

$$(a * b)(gm) = \int_G a(h)b(h^{-1}gm)dh = \int_G a(h)b(h^{-1}g)dh = (a * b)(g). \quad (4.33)$$

It follows that $a * b$ is invariant and thus the convolution product is well-defined.

We identify functions on $X \times B$ and functions on G/M . The non-Euclidean Fourier analysis is written in $X \times B$ -terms (for example using horocycle bracket), but it is often more convenient to work with the space G/M (and Iwasawa projections) instead. We then observe that under $G/M \cong X \times B$ (4.32) corresponds to the convolution on $X \times B$ defined by

$$(a * b)(z, b) = \int_G a(g \cdot (o, M))b(g^{-1} \cdot (z, b))dg, \quad (z, b) \in X \times B, \quad (4.34)$$

where \cdot denotes the action of G on $X \times B$. The integral exists whenever at least one of the functions a and b has compact support.

Given $\mu, \lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_+^*$, we write

$$E_{\mu, \lambda} : X \times B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad (z, b) \mapsto e^{(i\mu + \rho)\langle z, M \rangle} e^{-(i\lambda + \rho)\langle z, b \rangle}. \quad (4.35)$$

In order to rewrite $E_{\mu,\lambda}$ in terms of G/M , recall that $(z, b) = (gK, g \cdot M) \in X \times B$ corresponds to $gM \in G/M$. We have $\langle z, b \rangle = -H(g^{-1}k(g)) = H(g)$ and $\langle z, M \rangle = -H(g^{-1})$ by Corollary 2.40. Hence

$$E_{\mu,\lambda} : G/M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad gM \mapsto e^{-(i\mu+\rho)H(g^{-1})} e^{-(i\lambda+\rho)H(g)}. \quad (4.36)$$

Note that (4.36) is well-defined since the Iwasawa projection H is M -biinvariant.

Proposition 4.20. *Let $a \in C_c^\infty(X \times \mathfrak{a}_+^* \times B)$. Then*

$$Ua(z, \lambda, b) = \int_{\mathfrak{a}_+^*} (a(\cdot, \mu, \cdot) * E_{\mu,\lambda})(z, b) d\mu \quad (4.37)$$

Proof. Note that we sometimes write $a(z, b, \lambda)$ instead of $a(z, \lambda, b)$ for simplicity of notation (when a group action is involved). Consider the integral

$$\begin{aligned} (a(\cdot, \mu, \cdot) * E_{\mu,\lambda})(z, b) &= \int_G E_{\mu,\lambda}(g^{-1} \cdot (z, b)) a(g \cdot (o, M), \mu) dg \\ &= \int_G e^{(i\mu+\rho)\langle g^{-1}z, M \rangle} e^{-(i\lambda+\rho)\langle g^{-1}z, g^{-1} \cdot b \rangle} a(g \cdot (o, M), \mu) dg. \end{aligned}$$

We fix $z \in X$, $\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{a}_+^*$, $b \in B$ and write

$$f(g) = e^{(i\mu+\rho)\langle g^{-1}z, M \rangle} e^{-(i\lambda+\rho)\langle g^{-1}z, g^{-1} \cdot b \rangle} a(g \cdot (o, M), \mu).$$

We claim that f is M -invariant and hence a function on G/M . The action of m on $X \times B$ leaves $(o, M) \in X \times B$ fixed. Recall that $\langle z, b \rangle$ is invariant under the diagonal action of K on $X \times B$. Thus $\langle m^{-1}g^{-1}z, m^{-1}g^{-1} \cdot b \rangle = \langle g^{-1}z, g^{-1} \cdot b \rangle$ and $\langle m^{-1}g^{-1}z, M \rangle = \langle m^{-1}g^{-1}z, m^{-1}M \rangle = \langle g^{-1}z, M \rangle$, and hence

$$\begin{aligned} f(gm) &= e^{(i\mu+\rho)\langle m^{-1}g^{-1}z, M \rangle} e^{-(i\lambda+\rho)\langle m^{-1}g^{-1}z, m^{-1}g^{-1} \cdot b \rangle} a(gm \cdot (o, M), \mu) \\ &= e^{(i\mu+\rho)\langle g^{-1}z, M \rangle} e^{-(i\lambda+\rho)\langle g^{-1}z, g^{-1} \cdot b \rangle} a(g \cdot (o, M), \mu) = f(g). \end{aligned}$$

We have $\langle g^{-1} \cdot z, M \rangle = \langle z, g \cdot M \rangle - \langle g \cdot o, g \cdot M \rangle$ and $\langle g^{-1}z, g^{-1}b \rangle = \langle z, b \rangle - \langle g \cdot o, b \rangle$ by Lemma 2.38 and thus

$$\begin{aligned} f(g) &= e^{(i\mu+\rho)\langle g^{-1}z, M \rangle} e^{-(i\lambda+\rho)\langle g^{-1}z, g^{-1} \cdot b \rangle} a(g \cdot (o, M), \mu) \\ &= e^{(i\mu+\rho)(\langle z, g \cdot M \rangle - \langle g \cdot o, g \cdot M \rangle)} e^{-(i\lambda+\rho)(\langle z, b \rangle - \langle g \cdot o, b \rangle)} a(g \cdot o, \mu, g \cdot M). \end{aligned}$$

Then by Corollary 3.11

$$\begin{aligned} (a(\cdot, \mu, \cdot) * E_{\mu,\lambda})(z, b) &= \int_G f(g) dg \\ &= \int_X \int_B e^{(i\mu+\rho)(\langle z, b' \rangle - \langle w, b' \rangle)} e^{-(i\lambda+\rho)(\langle z, b \rangle - \langle w, b \rangle)} a(w, \mu, b') e^{2\rho\langle w, b' \rangle} dw db' \\ &= e^{-(i\lambda+\rho)\langle z, b \rangle} \int_X \int_B e^{(i\mu+\rho)\langle z, b' \rangle} e^{(i\lambda+\rho)\langle w, b \rangle} e^{(-i\mu+\rho)\langle w, b' \rangle} a(w, \mu, b') dw db', \end{aligned}$$

and integrating against $\mu \in \mathfrak{a}_+^*$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathfrak{a}_+^*} (a(\cdot, \mu, \cdot) * E_{\mu, \lambda})(z, b) d\mu \\ &= e^{-(i\lambda + \rho)\langle z, b \rangle} \int_{\mathfrak{a}_+^*} \int_X \int_B e^{(i\mu + \rho)\langle z, b' \rangle} e^{(i\lambda + \rho)\langle w, b \rangle} e^{(-i\mu + \rho)\langle w, b' \rangle} a(w, \mu, b') d\mu dw db' \\ &= Ua(z, \lambda, b), \end{aligned}$$

as desired. \square

4.4.2 Asymptotic expansions in the rank one case

Let $X = G/K$ have rank one. We identify \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{a}^* with \mathbb{R} by means of the Killing form and make no difference between \mathfrak{a}_+^* and the positive real numbers \mathbb{R}^+ : The unit vector $H \in \mathfrak{a}^+$ is identified with the real number 1. Let $a(z, \lambda, b) \in C_c^\infty(X \times B \times \mathfrak{a}_+^*)$. Recall the definition

$$Ua(z, \lambda, b) = \int_{X \times B \times \mathfrak{a}_+^*} e^{-(i\lambda + \rho)\langle z, b \rangle} e^{(i\mu + \rho)\langle z, b' \rangle} e^{(i\lambda + \rho)\langle w, b \rangle} e^{(-i\mu + \rho)\langle w, b' \rangle} a(w, \mu, b') |c(\mu)|^{-2} d\mu db' dw.$$

We collect the λ -terms and the ρ -terms in the integral defining Ua , change variables from μ to $\lambda\mu$ and factor out λ from the phase function to find

$$\begin{aligned} Ua(z, \lambda, b) &= \int_{X \times B \times \mathfrak{a}_+^*} e^{i\lambda[\langle w, b \rangle - \langle z, b \rangle + \mu(\langle z, b' \rangle - \langle w, b' \rangle)]} e^{\rho[\langle w, b \rangle + \langle w, b' \rangle + \langle z, b' \rangle - \langle z, b \rangle]} \\ &\quad \times a(w, \lambda\mu, b') \frac{\lambda}{|c(\lambda\mu)|^2} dw db' d\mu. \end{aligned} \quad (4.38)$$

Hence we have an oscillatory integral $Ua = \int e^{i\lambda\psi} \alpha dx$ with phase function

$$\psi_{z,b}(w, \mu, b') = \langle w, b \rangle - \langle z, b \rangle + \mu(\langle z, b' \rangle - \langle w, b' \rangle). \quad (4.39)$$

Let $(z, b) = (g \cdot o, g \cdot M)$, $(w, b') = (h \cdot o, h \cdot M) \in X \times B$ correspond to $gM \in G/M$ and $hM \in G/M$, respectively. Then by Corollary 2.40

- (1) $\langle z, b \rangle = H(g)$,
- (2) $\langle z, b' \rangle = -H(g^{-1}k(h)) = -H(g^{-1}h) + H(h)$,
- (3) $\langle w, b \rangle = -H(h^{-1}k(g)) = -H(h^{-1}g) + H(g)$,
- (4) $\langle w, b' \rangle = H(h)$.

It follows that in terms of G/M the function $\psi_{z,b}$ has the form

$$\psi_g(h, \mu) = -H(h^{-1}g) - \mu(H(g^{-1}h)). \quad (4.40)$$

Note that $\psi_g(h, \mu)$ is right- M -invariant in both g and h . Writing $h = nak$, we get for $gM = eM$

$$\psi_{eM}(n, a, k, \mu) = \log(a) - \mu H(nak).$$

Writing $h^{-1} = nak$, we get for $gM = eM$

$$\psi_{eM}(n, a, k, \mu) = \mu \log(a) - H(nak).$$

These functions are defined on $N \times A \times K/M \times \mathbb{R}^+$. As proven in Subsection 3.3, the unique critical point of ψ_{eM} is $(n, a, kM, \mu) = (e, e, eM, 1)$ (and the Hessian form at the critical point is non-degenerate). Under the natural diffeomorphisms

$$N \times A \times K/M \cong X \times B \cong G/M,$$

the critical point corresponds to $(hM, \mu) = (eM, 1)$ in $G/M \times \mathbb{R}^+$, so if $\psi_{eM} = \psi$ is as in (4.40) and $gM = eM$, the critical point is $(hM, \mu) = (eM, 1)$. But $\psi_{gM}(h, \mu) = \psi_{eM}(g^{-1}h, \mu)$ has the critical set $\{g^{-1}h \in M\}$, so the critical point of $\psi_{gM}(hM, \mu)$ is $(hM, \mu) = (gM, 1)$ and corresponds to $(z, 1, b)$ in $X \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times B$. This proves

Lemma 4.21. *If $\psi_{z,b}(w, \mu, b')$ is as in (4.39), then its unique critical point is $(w, \mu, b') = (z, 1, b)$ and the Hessian form at this point is non-degenerate.*

Theorem 4.22. *Let $a(z, \lambda, b) \in S_{\text{cl}}^m$ be compactly supported in z (uniformly in the other variables). Then there exist $\tilde{a}_k(z, \lambda, b)$, homogeneous of order $m - k$ for $\lambda \geq 1$, such that*

$$\left| Ua - \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \tilde{a}_k \right| \leq C_N (1 + \lambda)^{m-N}. \quad (4.41)$$

Proof. Let $\nabla = \nabla_w$ denote the gradient taken w.r.t. $w \in X$. Then the vector $\nabla \langle w, b \rangle$ has norm one for all $b \in B$, since it is a unit vector pointing along a geodesic orthogonal to level sets of $\langle w, b \rangle$ towards b ([Eber96], Prop. 1.10.2). It follows that $\nabla \psi \neq 0$ for all $\mu > 1$. We choose a cutoff $\chi(\mu) \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$ such that $\chi(\mu) = 1$ in $[0, 2]$ and $\chi(\mu) = 0$ in $[3, \infty)$, and write

$$Ua(z, \lambda, b) = Ia(z, \lambda, b) + IIa(z, \lambda, b) \quad (4.42)$$

corresponding to $1 = \chi(\mu) + [1 - \chi(\mu)]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} IIa(z, \lambda, b) &= \int_{X \times B \times \mathfrak{a}_+^*} [1 - \chi(\mu)] e^{i\lambda[\langle w, b \rangle - \langle z, b \rangle + \mu(\langle z, b' \rangle - \langle w, b' \rangle)]} \\ &\quad \times e^{\rho[\langle w, b \rangle + \langle w, b' \rangle + \langle z, b' \rangle - \langle z, b \rangle]} a(w, \lambda\mu, b') \frac{\lambda}{|c(\lambda\mu)|^2} dw db' d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\nabla\psi \neq 0$ for $\mu > 1$, the operator $L := \frac{1}{i\lambda}(|\nabla\psi|^2)^{-1}\nabla\psi \cdot \nabla$ is defined in the support of the integrand. Then $Le^{i\lambda\psi} = e^{i\lambda\psi}$, so we can apply the transpose L^t of L to the amplitude. The order of the Plancherel density is $s := \dim(N)$. Each $(|\nabla\psi|^2)^{-1}$ is at least $\mathcal{O}(\mu^{-1})$. Thus $|(L^t)^k(\alpha)| \leq C_k(\lambda\mu)^{m+1+s-k}$ at each point. Since α is compactly supported in $X \times B$, we have absolute and uniform convergence of $IIa(z, \lambda, b)$. Thus $IIa(z, \lambda, b) = \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-\infty})$.

Recall that $g \cdot (z, b) = (g \cdot z, g \cdot b)$ denotes the diagonal action of G on $X \times B$. A function $f(z, b)$ on $X \times B$ is pulled-back to an M -invariant function on G via $f(g) = f(g \cdot o, g \cdot M)$. We denote by $f \circ g$ the function $(z, b) \mapsto f(g \cdot z, g \cdot b)$. Recall that U commutes with translation by elements $g \in G$, that is $U(a \circ g) = (Ua) \circ g$. We write $(z, b) = g \cdot (o, M)$ corresponding to $X \times B \cong G/M$. The equivariance still holds if we insert $\chi(\mu)$ into the \mathbb{R}^+ -integral:

$$Ia(g, \lambda) = Ia(z, \lambda, b) = I(a \circ g)(o, \lambda, M) \quad (4.43)$$

$$\int_{X \times B \times \mathfrak{a}_+^*} \chi(\mu) e^{i\lambda[\langle w, M \rangle - \mu\langle w, b' \rangle]} e^{\rho[\langle w, M \rangle + \langle w, b' \rangle]} \lambda a(g \cdot (w, b'), \lambda\mu) \frac{\lambda}{|c(\lambda\mu)|^2} dw db' d\mu.$$

The phase function $\psi_{o,M}(w, \mu, b') = \langle w, M \rangle - \mu\langle w, b' \rangle$ is non-degenerate at its critical point $(w, \mu, b') = (o, 1, M)$. We can further assume (by using another cutoff around the critical point) that the integrand is supported in a coordinate patch around the critical point. All remainder integrals will again be $\mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-\infty})$, which follows from the standard principle of non-stationary phase for compactly supported amplitudes.

We use coordinates $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d, \mu)$, where $d := \dim(X \times B)$, around the critical point $(w, b, \mu) = (o, M, 1)$. In these coordinates, $(0, 1) \in \mathbb{R}_{(w,b)}^d \times \mathbb{R}_\mu^+$ corresponds to $(o, M, 1)$. Let $D = (\partial_{x_1}, \dots, \partial_{x_d}, \partial_\mu)$ and let H_0^D denote the Hessian operator of $\psi = \psi_{o,M}$ at this point. The Taylor expansion of ψ around $(0, 1)$ is $\psi(x, \mu) = Q(x, \mu) + h(x, \mu)$, where h vanishes up to order 3 in $(0, 1)$ and $Q(x, \mu) = \frac{1}{2}\langle H_0^D(x, \mu) | (x, \mu) \rangle$ (the customary inner product on \mathbb{R}^{d+1}). Then

$$Ia(g, \lambda) = \int e^{i\lambda Q} \{ \chi \alpha e^{i\lambda h} \} dx d\mu + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-\infty}). \quad (4.44)$$

Set $s := \dim(N) = \dim(B)$. Since $\tanh \sim 1$ and $\coth \sim 1$ to all orders, the Plancherel density is asymptotically a polynomial of degree s (cf. (2.13)). For the asymptotics we can hence replace $|c(\nu)|^{-2}$ by a polynomial $p(\nu) = \sum_{j=1}^s c_j \nu^j$ of degree s (without constant term). We split $Ua = \sum_j U_j a$, $Ia = \sum_j I_j a$ and $\alpha = \sum_j \alpha_j$ into the corresponding s summands.

We start by assuming that a is homogeneous of degree m . Then $a(z, \lambda, b) \sim \lambda^m a(z, 1, b)$ (for $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$), so we can assume that the amplitude α_j in each $I_j(a)$ is homogeneous.

By (3.46) we can choose coordinates such that $\text{sign}(H_0^D) = 0$. We thus set $C_0 = \frac{(2\pi)^{s+1}}{\sqrt{\det H_0^D}}$ and $R = (\frac{1}{2}(H_0^D)^{-1}D, D)$. The method of stationary phase yields

$$U_j a(g, \lambda) \sim \frac{C_0}{\lambda^{s+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{i}{\lambda} \right)^k \frac{1}{k!} R^k(\alpha_j e^{i\lambda h})|_{(x,\mu)=(0,1)} \quad (4.45)$$

in the sense that $|U_j(a) - \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \tilde{\alpha}_{j,k}| \leq C_j \lambda^{-N} \|\alpha_j\|$, where $\|\alpha_j\|$ is a seminorm of the amplitude (and still influences the order in λ , see [Hor85], Sec. 7.7.). We rearrange (4.45) to provide a classical asymptotic expansion: Differentiations in μ preserve the order in λ , hence all differentiations do. Thus only derivatives of $e^{i\lambda h}$ affect the order of a term. But h vanishes of order 3, and so one needs three derivatives to bring down one λ . It follows that the k -th term has an order $\leq m - [k/3] - (s - j)$. Hence for each l there are only finitely many k such that the k -th term has an order $\geq l$. After rearrangement into homogeneous terms,

$$U_j a(z, \lambda, b) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (i/\lambda)^k \tilde{\Lambda}_{j,k}(a)(g, o, \lambda, M), \quad (4.46)$$

where $\tilde{\Lambda}_{j,k}(a)(g, o, \lambda, M)$ is homogeneous of degree m , and where $\tilde{\Lambda}_{j,k}$ is a differential operator on $X \times B \times \mathbb{R}^+$ of order $2k$ with coefficients in g . The $\tilde{\Lambda}_{j,k}(a)(g, o, \lambda, M)$ are left- G -invariant and right- M -invariant in g , since each U_j is invariant. They also decrease supports, so by Peetre's theorem they define differential operators on $G/M \times [1, \infty) \cong X \times B \times [1, \infty)$. Hence

$$U_j a(z, \lambda, b) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (i/\lambda)^k \lambda^m \Lambda_{j,k}(a)(z, 1, b), \quad (4.47)$$

where $\Lambda_{j,k}$ is a left-invariant differential operator of order $2k$. Summing up we find $U(a)(z, \lambda, b) \sim \sum_k \Lambda_k(a)(z, \lambda, b)$, where Λ_k is a differential operator of order $2k$, and the sum can be rearranged into homogeneous summands. If a is not homogeneous, then $a \sim \sum a_k$, and we again rearrange to provide $Ua \sim \sum \tilde{a}_k$, where the order of \tilde{a}_k is $m - k$, and $\left| Ua - \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \tilde{a}_k \right| \leq C_N (1 + \lambda)^{m-N}$. \square

Remark 4.23. The expansion

$$U(a) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{i}{\lambda} \right)^k \Lambda_k(a) \quad (4.48)$$

can be obtained directly from the method of stationary phase with parameters: We write $Ua(z; z, \lambda, b) = \int e^{i\lambda\psi_{z,b}} \alpha dx$ as in (4.38), where the phase function is

$$\psi_{z,b}(w, \mu, b') = \langle w, b \rangle - \langle z, b \rangle + \mu(\langle z, b' \rangle - \langle w, b' \rangle).$$

The critical point $(z, 1, b)$ of $\psi_{z,b}$ is given by the parameter (z, b) (Lemma 4.21). Then (4.48) follows from the method of stationary phase. If a is a classical symbol of order m , the sum (4.48) can be rearranged as above in homogeneous summands $Ua \sim \sum \tilde{a}_j$, where the order of \tilde{a}_j is $m - j$. The Λ_k in the expansion are left- G -invariant, since U is left-invariant.

Remark 4.24. (1) Given a function $a(z, w, \lambda, b)$ of two spatial variables we sometimes write $a(z; w, \lambda, b)$ to emphasize the special role of z . The operator U still operates in $X \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times B$ and we write

$$Ua(z; z, \lambda, b) = \int_{X \times B \times \mathfrak{a}_+^*} e^{-(i\lambda+\rho)\langle z, b \rangle} e^{(i\mu+\rho)\langle z, b' \rangle} e^{(i\lambda+\rho)\langle w, b \rangle} e^{(-i\mu+\rho)\langle w, b' \rangle} a(z; w, \mu, b') |c(\mu)|^{-2} dw db' d\mu.$$

(2) Let $m \ll 0$ be so small such that for $a \in S_{\text{cl}}^m$ the integral $U(a)$ makes sense. We can write $Ua \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (i/\lambda)^k \Lambda_k(a)$ and expand out $U^*U(a) = a$. In particular, the principal symbol of $U(a)$ equals $c \cdot \sigma_a$, where σ_a denotes the principal symbol of a , and where c is a constant with $|c| = 1$. Since $c > 0$ by the MSP-formula, we find that principal symbol of Ua equals the principal symbol of a .

Definition 4.25. (1) Let $\mathcal{L}_{1,0,0}^m$ denote the space of properly supported operators in $\text{OPS}_{1,0,0}^m = \text{Op}(S_{1,0,0}^m)$, where $S_{1,0,0}^m \subset C^\infty(X \times X \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times B)$ is the space of functions $a(z, w, \lambda, b)$ satisfying

$$|(\partial/\partial\lambda)^k D a(z, w, \lambda, b)| \leq C_{D,k}(C)(1 + |\lambda|)^{m-k} \quad \forall (z, w) \in C, \quad (4.49)$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, all compact subsets C of $X \times X$, and all differential operators on $X \times X \times B$. We call $a \in S_{1,0,0}^m$ *classical* ($a \in S_{1,0,0,\text{cl}}^m$) if for all $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$a(z, w, \lambda, b) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{m-j} a_j(z, w, b) \quad (\lambda \rightarrow \infty). \quad (4.50)$$

Asymptotics here means $a - \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \lambda^{m-j} a_j \in S_{1,0,0}^{m-N}$ for all $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$. By translation on $X \times X \times B$ we mean $g \cdot (z, w, b) = (g \cdot z, g \cdot w, g \cdot b)$. Let $S_{1,0,0,\Gamma}^m$ denote the set of symbols, which are Γ -invariant:

$$a(\gamma z, \gamma w, \lambda, \gamma \cdot b) = a(z, w, \lambda, b) \quad \forall z, w \in X, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+, b \in B, \gamma \in \Gamma. \quad (4.51)$$

(2) An operator $\text{Op}(a) = a(z, z, D) \in \text{OPS}_{1,0,0}^m$ operates according to the formula

$$a(z, z, D)u(z) := \int_X \int_B \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} e^{(i\lambda+\rho)(\langle z, b \rangle - \langle w, b \rangle)} a(z, w, \lambda, b) u(w) e^{2\rho\langle w, b \rangle} dw db d\lambda.$$

Corollary 4.26. $Ua \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (i/\lambda)^k \Lambda_k(a)$ in symbol norm asymptotics.

Proof. First note that an expansion for $Ua(z; z, \lambda, b)$ is obtained by the method of stationary phase with parameters as above, where the parameter is (z, b) . For the \tilde{a}_j and \tilde{a} that arise in Remark 4.23, we need that

$$\left| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \right)^k D (Ua - \tilde{a})(z, w, \lambda, b) \right| \leq C_{k,D,N}(C)(1 + \lambda)^{-N} \quad (4.52)$$

for each $N \in \mathbb{N}$, any compact subset C of $X \times X$, all $(z, w) \in C$, and each differential operator D on $X \times X \times B$. Recall that a sequence $\{a_j\}$ of symbols, where the order of a_j is $m - j$, can be asymptotically summed by setting $\tilde{a} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varphi(\varepsilon_j \lambda) a_j(z, w, \lambda, b)$, where $\varphi = 0$ for $\lambda \leq 1/2$, $\varphi = 1$ for $\lambda \geq 1$ and where the ε_j are chosen appropriately ([Tay81], p. 41). If the a_j are Γ -invariant, then so is \tilde{a} . We claim that this holds if derivatives of Ua have at most polynomial growth, that is

$$|(\partial/\partial\lambda)^k D U(a)| \leq C_{k,D}(C)(1 + \lambda)^\sigma, \quad (4.53)$$

for $(z, w) \in C$ and $\sigma = \sigma(k, \alpha)$. It suffices to prove (4.52) for an open coordinate patch V of $X \times X \times B$ and for $D = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$. By [Tay81], Prop. 3.3,

$$\|Da\|_\infty^2 \leq 4 \|a\|_\infty \|D^2a\|_\infty. \quad (4.54)$$

So if $p - q$ is rapidly decreasing in λ and if $D^2(p - q)$ has at most polynomial growth,

$$\sup |D(p - q)|^2 \leq c_1 \sup |p - q| \sup |D^2(p - q)| \leq C_{k,N}(1 + \lambda)^{-N} \quad (4.55)$$

for any N , since the first factor is rapidly decreasing and the second is at most polynomially growing. Here \sup means \sup_V and c_1 is a constant. λ -derivatives can be handled similarly (loc. cit., p. 41). We thus have $Ua \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (i/\lambda)^k \Lambda_k(a)$ in symbol norm asymptotics if derivatives of $U(a)$ have polynomial growth. Therefore write $U(a)$ in the form $I(a) + II(a)$ as before, integrate by parts in $II(a)$ as before, pass derivatives under the integral and see that the result is $\mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-\infty})$ uniformly in compact subsets. $I(a)$ is a compactly supported integral, and derivatives can be estimated by a constant times a suitable symbol norm of a times a convenient power of $1 + |\lambda|$. \square

Proposition 4.27. *Let $A \in \mathcal{L}_{1,0,0,\text{cl}}^m$. Then $A \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{cl}}^m$ and a complete symbol of A is given by*

$$a(z, \lambda, b) = Ua(z; z, \lambda, b) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (i/\lambda)^k \Lambda_k a(z; w, \lambda, b)|_{w=z}.$$

Proof. Since A is properly supported, $a(z, \lambda, b) := e^{-(i\lambda+\rho)\langle z, b \rangle} A e^{(i\lambda+\rho)\langle z, b \rangle}$ is well-defined and yields a complete symbol for A . Written out,

$$\begin{aligned} a(z, \lambda, b) &:= \\ &e^{(-i\lambda+\rho)\langle z, b \rangle} \int_{X \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times B} e^{(i\mu+\rho)\langle z, b' \rangle} e^{(-i\mu+\rho)\langle w, b' \rangle} a(z; w, \mu, b') e^{(i\lambda+\rho)\langle w, b \rangle} d\mu dw db' \\ &= Ua(z; \cdot, \cdot, \cdot)|_{(z, \lambda, b)} \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (i/\lambda)^k \Lambda_k a(z; w, \lambda, b)|_{w=z}, \end{aligned}$$

where the Λ_k operate in the variables (w, λ, b) . The \sim holds in the sense of Corollary 4.26. \square

If a is classical, we write $U(a) \sim \sum a_j$ in homogeneous terms and expand out $U^*U = \text{id}$. In particular, the principal symbol of $U(a)$ equals the principal symbol of a . The proofs given in [Zel86] for the adjoint of properly supported operators (Theorem 2.8, loc. cit.) is formal enough to cover the case of all rank one spaces: It is proven there that if $a(z, \lambda, b)$ is an amplitude of order 0, then the adjoint $Op(a)^*$ has amplitude $\overline{a(w, \lambda, b)}$, and the principal symbol of $Op(a)^*$ is $\overline{a_0(z, 1, b)}$, so that the principal symbol of $Op(a)^*Op(a)$ is $|a(z, b)|^2$ (Thm. 2.9 loc. cit.). In particular, it is shown in Theorem 2.11 loc. cit. that if Γ is cocompact, then properly supported zero order pseudodifferential operator are continuous on $L^2(\Gamma \backslash X)$.

Remark 4.28. The computations of the critical set, the Hessian form, and the application of the method of stationary phase generalize to higher rank spaces, if the spectral parameters are assumed to be regular (cf. Subsection 3.3). More integral formulas for the integral operator $U(a)(z, \lambda, b)$ are given in the following subsection. It seems reasonable to believe that the proofs given here and in [Zel86] can be generalized to higher rank spaces with only slight modifications.

4.4.3 Some integral formulas for the Kohn-Nirenberg operator

We list a few possibilities to write Ua as an oscillatory integral. These representations of the Kohn-Nirenberg operator may be useful to approach a formula for the Hessian operator in the asymptotic expansion for $Ua(z, \lambda, b)$, which would yield a commutator formula for the non-Euclidean calculus of pseudodifferential operators. First, let G/K have rank one. Write $h = h(w, b')$ corresponding to $G/M \cong X \times B$: By 3.12 we have

$$\begin{aligned} Ua(g, \lambda) &= Ua(z, \lambda, b) \\ &= \int_{\mathfrak{a}_+^*} \int_G e^{i\lambda[-H(h^{-1}g) - \mu H(g^{-1}h)]} e^{-\rho(H(g^{-1}h) + H(h^{-1}g))} a(h, \lambda\mu) \lambda |c(\lambda\mu)|^{-2} dh d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

The integral is actually taken over G/M , since all terms in the integrand are M -invariant. From now on we will work in G . First, substitute $h \mapsto gh$. Then

$$Ua(g, \lambda) = \int_{\mathfrak{a}_+^*} \int_G e^{i\lambda[-H(h^{-1}) - \mu H(h)]} e^{-\rho(H(h) + H(h^{-1}))} a(gh, \lambda\mu) \lambda |c(\lambda\mu)|^{-2} dh d\mu.$$

Now by (2.6) the integral equals

$$\int_{\mathfrak{a}_+^*} \int_{NAK} e^{i\lambda[\log(a) - \mu H(nak)]} e^{\rho[\log(a) - H(nak)]} a(gnak) \frac{\lambda}{|c(\lambda\mu)|^2} dn da dk d\mu. \quad (4.56)$$

We could have also changed h to h^{-1} (G is unimodular). Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & Ua(g, \lambda) \\
 &= \int_{\mathfrak{a}_+^*} \int_G e^{i\lambda[-H(h)-\mu H(h^{-1})]} e^{-\rho(H(h^{-1})+H(h))} a(gh^{-1}, \lambda\mu) \lambda |c(\lambda\mu)|^{-2} dh d\mu \\
 &= \int_{\mathfrak{a}_+^*} \int_{NAK} e^{i\lambda(\mu \log(a)-H(nak))} e^{-\rho(\log(a)+H(nak))} a(g(nak)^{-1}, \lambda\mu) \frac{\lambda}{|c(\lambda\mu)|^2} dn da dk d\mu.
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.57}$$

In higher rank, the same computations are possible: Given $0 \neq \lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_+^*$, write $\lambda = \tau\lambda_0$, where $|\lambda_0| = 1$ (the norm on \mathfrak{a}^* induced by the Killing form). Set $Ua(g, \tau) := Ua(g, \tau\lambda_0)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 Ua(g, \tau) &= \int_{\mathfrak{a}_+^*} \int_{NAK} e^{i(\mu \log(a)-\tau\lambda_0 H(nak))} e^{-\rho(\log(a)+H(nak))} \\
 &\quad \times a(g(nak)^{-1}, \mu) dn da dk d\mu \\
 &= \int_{\mathfrak{a}_+^*} \int_{NAK} e^{i\tau(\mu \log(a)-\lambda_0 H(nak))} e^{-\rho(\log(a)+H(nak))} \\
 &\quad \times a(g(nak)^{-1}, \tau\mu) \frac{\tau^{\dim(A)}}{|c(\tau\mu)|^2} \frac{1}{w} dn da dk d\mu.
 \end{aligned}$$

where we factored out τ from the phase function and substituted $\mu \mapsto \mu/\tau$.

Recall that U commutes with translation by $g \in G$. We rewrite $Ua(z, \lambda, b)$ as given in (4.38) corresponding to $X \times B \cong AN \times K/M$, evaluate the integral at (o, λ, M) , and finally choose $g \in G$ such that $g \cdot (o, M) = (z, b)$ to insert $g \in G$ in the amplitude. Then with $|\lambda_0| = 1$ and $\lambda > 0$,

$$Ua(z, \lambda\lambda_0, b) = U(a \circ g)(o, \lambda\lambda_0, M) \tag{4.58}$$

$$= \int_{AN \times K/M \times \mathbb{R}^+} e^{i\lambda\lambda_0[\langle an \cdot o, M \rangle - \mu(\langle an \cdot o, kM \rangle)]} e^{\rho[\langle an \cdot o, M \rangle + \langle an \cdot o, kM \rangle]} \tag{4.59}$$

$$\times a(g \cdot an \cdot o, \lambda\mu, g \cdot kM) \frac{\lambda^{\dim(A)}}{|c(\lambda\mu)|^2} dw db' d\mu$$

$$= \int_{AN \times K/M \times \mathbb{R}^+} e^{i\lambda\lambda_0[-\log(a^{-1}) + \mu(H(n^{-1}a^{-1}k))]} e^{\rho[-\log(a^{-1}) - H(n^{-1}a^{-1}k)]} \tag{4.60}$$

$$\times a(g \cdot an \cdot o, \lambda\mu, g \cdot kM) \frac{\lambda^{\dim(A)}}{|c(\lambda\mu)|^2} dw db' d\mu$$

$$= \int_{AN \times K/M \times \mathbb{R}^+} e^{i\lambda\lambda_0[-\log(a) + \mu(H(nak))]} e^{-\rho[\log(a) + H(nak)]} \tag{4.61}$$

$$\times a(g \cdot a^{-1}n^{-1} \cdot o, \lambda\mu, g \cdot kM) \frac{\lambda^{\dim(A)}}{|c(\lambda\mu)|^2} dw db' d\mu.$$

The phase function $\mu(H(nak)) - \lambda_0(\log(a))$ has the critical point $(\mu, n, a, kM) = (\lambda_0, e, e, eM)$ and the Hessian at this point is non-degenerate. The method of stationary phase can be applied to all these integral exactly as before.

4.5 Conjugation by a wave group-type operator

Let X have rank one. We identify $\mathfrak{a} = \mathbb{R}$ by means of the Killing form: λ_0 denotes the functional on \mathfrak{a} given by $\lambda_0(X) = \langle X, H \rangle$, where H is the unit vector in \mathfrak{a}^+ . Then $\lambda_0 \in \mathfrak{a}^+$. We identify $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}$ with the real number $\bar{\lambda}$ such that $\lambda = \bar{\lambda}\lambda_0$.

We denote by G^t the geodesic flow on $X \times B$. The latter space identifies with G/M and hence the geodesic flow on $X \times B$ reads by right-translations with elements $a \in A$, that is $G^t(g \cdot o, g \cdot M) = (ga_t \cdot o, ga_t \cdot M) = (ga_t \cdot o, b)$ for $g \in G$, $a_t = \exp(tH) \in A$. Right-translation on $X \times B$ is well-defined, since M and A commute elementwise. The point $b \in B$ is not moved under G^t . Recall that if Γ is a cocompact subgroup of G , the geodesic flow on $SX_\Gamma = \Gamma \backslash G/M$ also reads by right- A -translation.

Let $A = a(x, D) \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{cl}}^m$. We denote by σ_A the *principal symbol* of A , that is the highest order term in the asymptotic sum (4.27). Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ and $b \in B$. Recall the character of the Laplace operator (cf. (2.57)):

$$\Delta e^{(i\lambda+\rho)\langle z, b \rangle} = -(\langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle + \langle \rho, \rho \rangle) e^{(i\lambda+\rho)\langle z, b \rangle}.$$

Using functional calculus (the spectral theorem), we define $R := \sqrt{-(\Delta + |\rho|^2)}$ and the group of operators e^{itR} by its action on the non-Euclidean plane waves $e_{\lambda, b}(z) = e^{(i\lambda+\rho)\langle z, b \rangle}$, that is

$$e^{itR} e^{(i\lambda+\rho)\langle z, b \rangle} = e^{it\lambda} e^{(i\lambda+\rho)\langle z, b \rangle}.$$

Given $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we write

$$A_t := e^{itR} A e^{-itR}. \quad (4.62)$$

4.5.1 The complete symbol after conjugation

The complete symbol of A_t is

$$\begin{aligned} U^t(a) &:= a_t(z, \lambda, b) = e^{-(i\lambda+\rho)\langle z, b \rangle} e^{itR} A e^{-itR} e^{(i\lambda+\rho)\langle z, b \rangle} \\ &= e^{-(i\lambda+\rho)\langle z, b \rangle} e^{itR} A e^{-it\lambda} e^{(i\lambda+\rho)\langle z, b \rangle} \\ &= e^{-it\lambda} e^{-(i\lambda+\rho)\langle z, b \rangle} e^{itR} (a(z, \lambda, b) e^{(i\lambda+\rho)\langle z, b \rangle}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.63)$$

Recall the Fourier inversion formula (4.4), which states that each sufficiently regular function f on X satisfies

$$f(z) = \int_{\mathfrak{a}_+^*} \int_B \int_X e^{(i\lambda+\rho)\langle z, b \rangle} e^{(-i\lambda+\rho)\langle w, b \rangle} f(w) dw \, d\lambda \, db.$$

It follows that

$$a(z, \lambda, b) e^{(i\lambda+\rho)\langle z, b \rangle} = \int e^{(i\mu+\rho)\langle z, b' \rangle} e^{(-i\mu+\rho)\langle w, b' \rangle} e^{(i\lambda+\rho)\langle w, b \rangle} a(w, \lambda, b) dw \, d\mu \, db',$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_t(z, \lambda, b) &= \int e^{-it\lambda} e^{-(i\lambda+\rho)\langle z, b \rangle} e^{itR} e^{(i\mu+\rho)\langle z, b' \rangle} e^{(-i\mu+\rho)\langle w, b' \rangle} e^{(i\lambda+\rho)\langle w, b \rangle} a(w, \lambda, b) dw \, \bar{d}\mu \, db' \\
 &= \int e^{it(\mu-\lambda)} e^{-(i\lambda+\rho)(\langle z, b \rangle - \langle w, b \rangle)} e^{(i\mu+\rho)(\langle z, b' \rangle - \langle w, b' \rangle)} e^{2\rho\langle w, b' \rangle} a(w, \lambda, b) dw \, \bar{d}\mu \, db' \\
 &= \int e^{it(\mu-\lambda)} e^{-(i\lambda+\rho)(\langle z, b \rangle - \langle w, b \rangle)} e^{(i\mu+\rho)(\langle z, b' \rangle - \langle w, b' \rangle)} a(w, \lambda, b) e^{2\rho\langle w, b' \rangle} dw \, db \, \bar{d}\mu.
 \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 4.29. *For sufficiently regular functions $a(w, \mu, b')$ on $X \times \mathfrak{a}_+^* \times B$,*

$$U^t(a) = \int e^{it(\mu-\lambda)} e^{-(i\lambda+\rho)(\langle z, b \rangle - \langle w, b \rangle)} e^{(i\mu+\rho)(\langle z, b' \rangle - \langle w, b' \rangle)} a(w, \lambda, b) e^{2\rho\langle w, b' \rangle} dw \, db \, \bar{d}\mu.$$

Proposition 4.30. *The U^t are a one-parameter group of unitary operators on $L^2(G/M \times \mathbb{R}^+, dg \, \bar{d}\mu) = L^2(X \times B \times \mathfrak{a}^+, e^{2\rho\langle w, b' \rangle} dw \, db \, \bar{d}\mu)$.*

Proof. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denote the L^2 -inner product. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle U^t a, U^t a \rangle &= \int e^{it\mu_1} e^{-it\mu_2} e^{(i\mu_1+\rho)(\langle z, b_1 \rangle - \langle w_1, b_1 \rangle)} \\
 &\quad \times e^{-(i\lambda+\rho)(\langle z, b \rangle - \langle w_1, b \rangle)} a(w_1, b, \lambda) e^{(-i\mu_2+\rho)(\langle z, b_2 \rangle - \langle w_2, b_2 \rangle)} \\
 &\quad \times e^{(i\lambda-\rho)(\langle z, b \rangle - \langle w_2, b \rangle)} \bar{a}(w_2, b, \lambda) e^{2\rho\langle w_2, b_2 \rangle} e^{2\rho\langle w_1, b_1 \rangle} \\
 &\quad \times e^{2\rho\langle z, b \rangle} \, \bar{d}\lambda \, db \, dz \, \bar{d}\mu_1 \, db_1 \, dw_1 \, \bar{d}\mu_2 \, db_2 \, dw_2.
 \end{aligned}$$

The Fourier inversion formula (4.4) states for sufficiently regular $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1) \quad f(z) &= \int_{\mathfrak{a}_+^*} \int_B \int_X e^{(i\lambda+\rho)\langle z, b \rangle} e^{(-i\lambda+\rho)\langle w, b \rangle} f(w) dw \, \bar{d}\lambda \, db, \\
 (2) \quad \tilde{f}(\lambda, b) &= \int_X \int_{\mathfrak{a}_+^*} \int_B e^{(-i\lambda+\rho)\langle z, b \rangle} e^{(i\mu+\rho)\langle z, \tilde{b} \rangle} \tilde{f}(\mu, \tilde{b}) \, \bar{d}\mu \, \bar{d}\tilde{b} \, dz.
 \end{aligned}$$

As in the proof of Proposition 4.19 we use these formulae to find $\langle U^t a, U^t a \rangle = \langle a, a \rangle$. (The $SU(1, 1)$ -proof given in [Zel86], p. 100, generalizes completely). \square

Recall $X \times B \cong G/M$. We change variables to $w = g \cdot o$, $b' = g \cdot M$ and prove exactly as in Subsection 4.4 that

$$\begin{aligned}
 U^t(a)(z, \lambda, b) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \int_G e^{it(\mu-\lambda)\langle g^{-1}z, M \rangle} e^{(i\mu+\rho)\langle g^{-1}z, g^{-1}b \rangle} e^{-(i\lambda+\rho)} a(g \cdot o, b, \lambda) dg \, \bar{d}\mu \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} (a(\cdot, b, \lambda) * E_{\mu, \lambda}(z, b)) e^{it(\mu-\lambda)} \, \bar{d}\mu.
 \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.31. *U^t commutes with translations T_g by elements $g \in G$.*

Proof. Let $g \in G$. Then by (4.63) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (T_g U^t)(a)(z, \lambda, b) &= a_t(gz, \lambda, gb) \\ &= e^{-it\lambda} e^{-(i\lambda+\rho)\langle gz, gb \rangle} e^{itR} \left(a(gz, \lambda, gb) e^{(i\lambda+\rho)\langle gz, gb \rangle} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\langle gz, gb \rangle = \langle z, b \rangle + \langle go, gb \rangle$ this equals

$$\begin{aligned} &e^{-it\lambda} e^{-(i\lambda+\rho)\langle z, b \rangle} e^{itR} \left(a(gz, \lambda, gb) e^{(i\lambda+\rho)\langle z, b \rangle} \right) \\ &= (a \circ g)_t(z, \lambda, b) \\ &= (U^t T_g)(a)(z, \lambda, b), \end{aligned}$$

where $(a \circ g)(z, \lambda, b) = a(gz, \lambda, gb)$. This proves $U^t T_g = T_g U^t$. \square

Recall from Corollary 4.29 that

$$U^t(a) = \int e^{it(\mu-\lambda)} e^{-(i\lambda+\rho)(\langle z, b \rangle - \langle w, b \rangle)} e^{(i\mu+\rho)(\langle z, b' \rangle - \langle w, b' \rangle)} a(w, \lambda, b) e^{2\rho\langle w, b' \rangle} dw db d\mu,$$

where the integration space is $X \times B \times \mathbb{R}^+$. We factor out λ from the phase, change variables to $\tilde{\mu} = \mu/\lambda$, and drop the tilde. Then

$$\begin{aligned} U^t(a)(z, \lambda, b) &= \int e^{i\lambda[t(\mu-1) + \langle w, b \rangle - \langle z, b \rangle + \mu(\langle z, b' \rangle - \langle w, b' \rangle)]} \\ &\quad \times e^{\rho[\langle w, b \rangle - \langle z, b \rangle + \langle z, b' \rangle - \langle w, b' \rangle]} \lambda a(w, \lambda, b) e^{2\rho\langle w, b' \rangle} |c(\lambda\mu)|^{-2} dw db d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Writing $(z, \lambda, b) = (g \cdot o, \lambda, g \cdot M)$ and using $Ua(z, \lambda, b) = U(a \circ g)(o, \lambda, M)$, we find (also note that $g \cdot M = b \in B$)

$$\begin{aligned} U^t a(g, \lambda) &= U^t(a)(z, \lambda, b) \\ &= \int e^{i\lambda[t(\mu-1) + \langle w, M \rangle - \mu\langle w, b' \rangle]} e^{\rho[\langle w, M \rangle - \langle w, b' \rangle]} \lambda a(g \cdot w, \lambda, b) e^{2\rho\langle w, b' \rangle} |c(\lambda\mu)|^{-2} dw db d\mu. \end{aligned} \tag{4.64}$$

We change variables $(w, b') = h \cdot (o, M)$ corresponding to $X \times B \cong G/M$. Then $\langle w, M \rangle = -H(h^{-1})$ and $\langle w, b' \rangle = H(h)$, so by 3.11

$$\begin{aligned} U^t a(g, \lambda) &= U^t(a)(z, \lambda, b) \\ &= \int e^{i\lambda[t(\mu-1) - H(h^{-1}) - \mu H(h)]} e^{-\rho[H(h^{-1}) + H(h)]} \lambda a(gh \cdot o, \lambda, b) |c(\lambda\mu)|^{-2} dh d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Next, write $h = a^{-1}n^{-1}k$ corresponding to $G = ANK$. Then by (2.6) and since A and N are unimodular we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} U^t a(g, \lambda) &= U^t(a)(z, \lambda, b) \\ &= \int e^{i\lambda[t(\mu-1) - \log(a) - \mu H(a^{-1}n^{-1}k)]} \\ &\quad \times e^{-\rho[\log(a) + H(a^{-1}n^{-1}k)]} \lambda a(g(na)^{-1} \cdot o, \lambda, b) |c(\lambda\mu)|^{-2} da dn dk d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

4.5.2 An Egorov-type formula

The classical Egorov theorem states that conjugation by the wave group defines an order preserving automorphism on the space of pseudodifferential operators. We will now be able to prove the following version:

Theorem 4.32. *Let $a(z, \lambda, b) \in S_{\text{cl}}^m$ be compactly supported in z (uniformly in the other variables). Write $A = \text{Op}(a)$ and $A_t := e^{itR} A e^{-itR}$. Then A_t has complete symbol $U^t(a) \in S_{\text{cl}}^m$ and $\sigma_{A_t} = c_t \sigma_A(G^t(z, b), \lambda)$, where c_t is a constant.*

Proof. The phase function of the symbol $U^t(a)(z, \lambda, b)$ on $A \times N \times K/M \times \mathbb{R}^+$ is given by

$$\psi_t(\mu, n, a, kM) = t(\mu - 1) - \log(a) - \mu H(a^{-1} n^{-1} k).$$

As proven in Subs. 3.3, the phase function ψ_t has the critical point $(\mu, n, a, kM) = (1, e, a_{-t}, eM)$, and the Hessian form of ψ_t at the critical point is non-degenerate. Under $X \times B \cong G/M \cong A^{-1}N^{-1} \times K/M$ the critical point (a_{-t}, e, eM) corresponds to $(w, b') = (a_t \cdot o, M) = G^t(o, M)$. Given $(z, b) = (g \cdot o, g \cdot M)$ we then have $(g \cdot a^{-1} n^{-1} \cdot o, \lambda, b)_{a=a_{-t}, n=e} = (G^t(z, b), \lambda)$. As before, the principle of non-stationary phase yields that $U^t(a)$ is uniquely determined modulo $S^{-\infty} := \cap_m S^m$ by a compactly supported cutoff of the integrand. The method of stationary phase is applied to this cutoff of $U^t(a)(z, \lambda, b)$ exactly as in Subs. 4.4.2, only the critical point of the phase function is different. The MSP-formula yields an expansion for $U^t(a)(z, \lambda, b)$ which can be rearranged in homogeneous terms, so $U^t(a) \in S_{\text{cl}}^m$. In particular, the principal symbol of $U^t(a)$ is given by a constant times an evaluation at the critical point of the principal symbol of a (all other terms in the MSP-formula have lower order). It follows that $\sigma_{A_t} = c_t \sigma_A(G^t(z, b), \lambda)$, so the theorem is proven. \square

Remark 4.33. (1) It seems reasonable to conjecture that $c_t = 1$ for all t . In fact, the operators in the MSP-formula are left- G -invariant, so the theorem descends to a compact quotient X_Γ . Write $1(z, \lambda, b)$ for the constant function $f(z, \lambda, b) = 1$ on $X_\Gamma \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times B$. Then $1(G^t(z, b), \lambda) = 1$ and we can use diagonal matrix elements $\rho_{\lambda_j}(\text{Op}(a))$ as in the introduction to see that $1 = \rho_{\lambda_j}(\text{Op}(1)) \sim c_t$ (when $j \rightarrow \infty$).

- (2) One should caution that conjugation $e^{itR} A e^{-itR}$ is not equivalent to conjugation by the wave group. If one uses [BO05], Lemma 2.2, to compute (for the standard quantization) the infinitesimal action of the wave group on a symbol of a pseudodifferential operator, one finds that shifting the Laplace operator influences the velocity of the (geodesic) flow defining the symbol in an Egorov theorem.

5 Helgason boundary values

We start by recalling some fundamental relations first proven by Helgason ([Helg70]) between joint eigenfunctions of the algebra of invariant differential operators with hyperfunctions and distributions on the real flag manifold of a symmetric space. These relations are described by means of the Poisson transform. In Subsection 5.2 we prove a regularity statement (Lemma 5.12) for boundary values of certain eigenfunctions, which seems to be a new result.

Recall that $\mathbb{D}(G/K)$ denotes the algebra of differential operators on $X = G/K$, which are invariant under left-translations by elements of G . Given a homomorphism $\chi : \mathbb{D}(G/K) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, let $\chi(D)$ ($D \in \mathbb{D}(G/K)$) denote the corresponding system of eigenvalues. The space

$$\mathcal{E}_\chi(X) = \{f \in \mathcal{E}(X) : Df = \chi(D)f \text{ for all } D \in \mathbb{D}(G/K)\}$$

is called a *joint eigenspace* of $\mathbb{D}(G/K)$. We also know that the homomorphisms χ as above can be parameterized by the orbits of the Weyl group in \mathfrak{a}^* , that is each χ is of the form χ_λ , where $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*$. As in Section 2.3.3 we write

$$\mathcal{E}_\lambda(X) = \{f \in \mathcal{E}(X) : Df = \Gamma(D)(i\lambda)f \text{ for all } D \in \mathbb{D}(X)\}.$$

A smooth function $f \in \mathcal{E}(G/K)$ is called *joint eigenfunction* if it belongs to one of the spaces $\mathcal{E}_\lambda(X)$.

Definition 5.1. Let L denote the Laplace-Beltrami operator of B . Let $\mathcal{A}(B)$ denote the vector space of analytic functions on $B = K/M$. For $T > 0$ put

$$|F|_T = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \left(\frac{1}{2k!} T^k \|L^k F\| \right),$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ is the L^2 -norm on B , and

$$\mathcal{A}_T(B) = \{F \in \mathcal{E}(B) : |F|_T < \infty\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{A}_T(B)$ is a Banach space, $\mathcal{A}(B)$ is the union of the spaces $\mathcal{A}_T(B)$ and is accordingly given the inductive limit topology. The *analytic functionals* (*hyperfunctions*) are the functionals in the dual space $\mathcal{A}'(B)$ of $\mathcal{A}(B)$ (cf. [LM63]).

We use the integral notation for distributions or hyperfunctions and test functions: For any space Y we denote the pairing of distributions u and test functions φ on Y by $\int_Y \varphi(y)u(dy) = \langle \varphi, u \rangle_Y$.

The Poisson kernel $P(x, b) = e^{2\rho\langle x, b \rangle}$ and its powers $e_{\lambda, b}(x) = e^{(i\lambda + \rho)\langle x, b \rangle}$, where $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$, are analytic functions ([GASS], p. 119).

Definition 5.2. Given a function, distribution or hyperfunction T on B and $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$ we define the *Poisson transform* $P_\lambda : \mathcal{A}'(B) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_\lambda(X)$ by

$$P_\lambda(T)(z) := \int_B e^{(i\lambda + \rho)\langle z, b \rangle} T(db). \quad (5.1)$$

As a consequence of [Rou63], p. 167, the function $P_\lambda(T)(z)$ is analytic and its derivatives can be computed under the integral sign. It follows from (2.57) that $z \mapsto P_\lambda(T)(z)$ is a joint eigenfunction and belongs to $\mathcal{E}_\lambda(X)$.

If the functional T above is actually a function f on B , then $T(db) = f(b)db$. Now suppose that ψ is a function on $\mathfrak{a}^* \times B$. Writing $\psi_\lambda(b) = \psi(\lambda, b)$ we see that (4.10) can be written in the form

$$P_{s\lambda}(\psi_{s\lambda}) = P_\lambda(\psi_\lambda), \quad s \in W. \quad (5.2)$$

The following fundamental theorem ([GASS], p. 507, Theorem 6.5) relates eigenfunctions with hyperfunctions:

Theorem 5.3. *The joint eigenfunctions of $\mathbb{D}(G/K)$ are the functions*

$$f(x) = \int_B e^{(i\lambda+\rho)\langle z, b \rangle} dT(b),$$

where $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$ and $T \in \mathcal{A}'(B)$.

Given a joint eigenfunction φ of $\mathbb{D}(G/K)$, we call the unique functional $T = T_\varphi$ given by Theorem 5.3 the *boundary values* (Helgason boundary values) of φ . We will consider the following special class of eigenfunctions: Let d denote the distance function on X . We define the subspace $\mathcal{E}^*(X)$ of $\mathcal{E}(X)$ of functions of *exponential growth* by

$$\mathcal{E}^*(X) = \{f \in \mathcal{E}(X) : \exists C > 0 : |f(x)| \leq Ce^{Cd_X(o,x)} \forall x \in X\} \quad (5.3)$$

and we put $\mathcal{E}_\lambda^*(X) = \mathcal{E}^*(X) \cap \mathcal{E}_\lambda(X)$. Denote by w the longest Weyl group element and recall Harish-Chandra's e -functions (Subsection 2.1.7). It turns out that eigenfunctions with exponential growth have distributional boundary values (cf. [GASS], p. 508):

Theorem 5.4. *Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$ be such that $e_w(\lambda) \neq 0$. Then $P_\lambda(\mathcal{D}'(B)) = \mathcal{E}_\lambda^*(X)$.*

We will always consider eigenfunctions with unique and distributional boundary values as in Theorem 5.4.

Fix any subgroup Γ of G and let $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_\lambda^*(X)$ ($\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$) denote a Γ -invariant eigenfunction with unique and distributional boundary values T_λ . Then

$$\varphi(z) = \int_B e^{(i\lambda+\rho)\langle z, b \rangle} T_\lambda(db).$$

The group G acts on the boundary B of X (cf. Section 2.2.1). Hence G acts on $\mathcal{D}'(B)$ by push-forward: Given a distribution T on B , a test function $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}(B) = \mathcal{D}(B)$ and $g \in G$, this action is given by

$$(gT)(\varphi) := T(\varphi \circ g^{-1}). \quad (5.4)$$

When we denote the pairing of distributions and functions by an integral, we also write $T(dgb)$ instead of $(gT)(db)$ ($g \in G$). One might expect that T as well is invariant under the pull-back action of Γ . But in fact, since $\varphi(\gamma z) = \varphi(z)$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $z \in X$, we observe (recall (2.27), that is $\langle g \cdot x, g \cdot b \rangle = \langle x, b \rangle + \langle g \cdot o, g \cdot b \rangle$)

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(z) &= \varphi(\gamma z) = \int_B e^{(i\lambda+\rho)\langle \gamma z, b \rangle} T_\lambda(db) \\ &= \int_B e^{(i\lambda+\rho)\langle \gamma z, \gamma b \rangle} T_\lambda(d\gamma b) = \int_B e^{(i\lambda+\rho)\langle z, b \rangle} e^{(i\lambda+\rho)\langle \gamma o, \gamma b \rangle} T_\lambda(d\gamma b). \end{aligned}$$

By uniqueness of the boundary values (Theorem 5.3) this implies

$$T_\lambda(db) = e^{(i\lambda+\rho)\langle \gamma o, \gamma b \rangle} T_\lambda(d\gamma b),$$

or equivalently

$$T_\lambda(d\gamma b) = e^{-(i\lambda+\rho)\langle \gamma o, \gamma b \rangle} T_\lambda(db). \quad (5.5)$$

Definition 5.5. Let φ and T be as above. We define $e_\lambda \in \mathcal{D}'(X \times B)$ as the distribution on $X \times B = G/M$ given by

$$\langle f, e_\lambda \rangle := \int_{X \times B} e^{(i\lambda+\rho)\langle z, b \rangle} f(z, b) T(db) dz, \quad f \in \mathcal{D}(X \times B). \quad (5.6)$$

The action of G on distributions on $X \times B$ is defined by pulling back the action of G on $X \times B$: Given a distribution u and a test function f on $X \times B$, we write $(g \cdot u)(f) := u(f \circ g^{-1})$. Let $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Then by the invariance of dz , by (2.27) and (5.5) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, e_\lambda \rangle &= \int_{X \times B} e^{(i\lambda+\rho)\langle \gamma z, \gamma b \rangle} f(\gamma z, \gamma b) T(d\gamma b) dz \\ &= \int_{X \times B} e^{(i\lambda+\rho)\langle z, b \rangle} f(\gamma z, \gamma b) T(db) dz \\ &= \langle f \circ \gamma, e_\lambda \rangle = \langle f, \gamma^{-1} \cdot e_\lambda \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 5.6. e_λ is a Γ -invariant distribution on $X \times B$.

5.1 Poisson transform and principal series representations

We recall some facts concerning the *principal series* representations of G . We follow [GASS] and [Wil91]. Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}$ and consider the representation

$$\sigma_\lambda(man) = e^{(i\lambda+\rho)\log(a)}$$

of $P = MAN$ on \mathbb{C} . We denote the *induced representation* on G by $\pi_\lambda = \text{Ind}_P^G(\sigma_\lambda)$. The *induced picture* of this representation is constructed as follows: A dense subspace of the representation space is

$$H_\lambda^\infty := \{f \in C^\infty(G) : f(gman) = e^{-(i\lambda+\rho)\log(a)} f(g)\}.$$

We define an inner product on H_λ^∞ by

$$(f_1, f_2) = \int_{K/M} f_1(k) \overline{f_2(k)} dk = \langle f_1|_K, f_2|_K \rangle_{L^2(K/M)}$$

and denote the corresponding norm by $\|f\|^2 = \int_{K/M} |f(k)|^2 dk$. The group action of G is given by

$$(\pi_\lambda(g)f)(x) = f(g^{-1}x).$$

The actual Hilbert space, which we denote by H_λ , and the representation on H_λ , which we also denote by π_λ , is obtained by completion (cf. [Wil91], Ch. 9).

The representations π_λ ($\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}$) form the *spherical principal series* of G . The representation (π_λ, H_λ) is a unitary ([GASS], p. 528) and irreducible (loc. cit. p. 530) Hilbert space representation.

Given $f \in C^\infty(K/M)$, we extend it to a function on G by putting

$$\tilde{f}(g) = e^{-(i\lambda+\rho)H(g)} f(k(g)), \quad (5.7)$$

where $g = k(g) \exp H(g) n(g)$ according to the Iwasawa decomposition.

Proposition 5.7. (i) For $f \in C^\infty(K/M)$ let \tilde{f} as in (5.7). Then $\tilde{f} \in H_\lambda^\infty$.

(ii) Let $\tilde{f} \in H_\lambda^\infty$ and denote restriction to K by $\tilde{f}|_K$. Then $\tilde{f}|_K \in C^\infty(K/M)$

(iii) Let $f \in C^\infty(K/M)$ and \tilde{f} as in (5.7). Then $\tilde{f}|_K = f$.

(iv) The mapping $f \mapsto \tilde{f}$ is isometric with respect to the $L^2(K/M)$ -norm. It intertwines the representation π_λ and the representation (which we also denote by π_λ) on $C^\infty(K/M)$ defined by

$$(\pi_\lambda(g)f)(kM) = f(k(g^{-1}k)M) e^{-(i\lambda+\rho)H(g^{-1}k)}. \quad (5.8)$$

Proof. All assertions are clear. □

In view of Proposition 5.7 we identify $C^\infty(K/M) \cong H_\lambda^\infty$. The advantage of $C^\infty(K/M)$ is that the representation space is independent of λ . The representations (5.8) are called the *compact picture* (*compact realization*) of the (spherical) principal series. Notice that for $g \in K$ the group action (5.8) simplifies to the left-regular representation of the compact group K on K/M .

Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}$ and denote by 1 the constant function $k \mapsto 1$ on K/M . In the compact picture we observe

$$(\pi_\lambda(g)1)(k) = e^{-(i\lambda+\rho)H(g^{-1}k)} = e^{(i\lambda+\rho)\langle gK, kM \rangle}, \quad (5.9)$$

and it follows that the Poisson transform

$$P_\lambda(T) : G/K \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \quad (5.10)$$

of $T \in \mathcal{D}'(B)$ is given by

$$P_\lambda(T)(gK) = T(\pi_\lambda(g) \cdot 1). \quad (5.11)$$

It follows that the Poisson transform P_λ intertwines the dual spherical principal series representation $\tilde{\pi}_\lambda$ and the translation on G/K . Now suppose that $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_\lambda(X)$ is a Γ -invariant joint eigenfunction of $\mathbb{D}(G/K)$ with boundary values $T_\varphi \in \mathcal{D}'(B)$ such that $\varphi = P_\lambda(T_\varphi)$. Since φ is invariant, it follows from (5.11) and the uniqueness of the boundary values that T_φ is invariant under all $\tilde{\pi}_\lambda(\gamma)$, for $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Vice versa, if T is a Γ -invariant distribution, then $P_\lambda(T)$ is a Γ -invariant eigenfunction.

5.2 Regularity of distributional boundary values

Before we start with our investigation on the regularity of distributional boundary values for eigenfunctions in general symmetric spaces, we motivate this section by recalling some results proven by Otal for compact hyperbolic surfaces. We use the notation of [Otal98] and [AZ07].

Definition 5.8. For $0 \leq \delta \leq 1$ we say that a 2π -periodic function $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is δ -Hölder if there exists $C \geq 0$ such that $|F(x) - F(y)| \leq C|x - y|^\delta$. The smallest constant C is denoted by $\|F\|_\delta$. The Banach space of δ -Hölder functions with norm $\|F\|_\delta$ is denoted by Λ^δ .

Theorem 5.9 ([Otal98], Proposition 4). *Suppose that $s = \frac{1}{2} + ir$ with $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 0$, and that φ is an eigenfunction of the Laplace operator of \mathbb{H}_Γ satisfying $\|\nabla\varphi\|_{L^\infty} < \infty$. Then its Helgason boundary value T_φ is the derivative of a $\operatorname{Re}(s)$ -Hölder function.*

Since outside a finite number of small eigenvalues s of \mathbb{H}_Γ belonging to the complementary series we always have $\operatorname{Re}(s) = \frac{1}{2}$ (for eigenvalues $s = \frac{1}{2} + ir$ of the Laplacian on \mathbb{H}_Γ), it follows that almost all boundary values associated to eigenfunctions and eigenvalues belonging to the discrete spectrum of \mathbb{H}_Γ , are derivatives of certain $\frac{1}{2}$ -continuous Hölder functions. To be more precise, the boundary values are not literally the derivative of a periodic function, but the derivative of a function F on \mathbb{R} satisfying $F(x + 2\pi) = F(x) + C$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

As described in [AZ07], it follows from Otal's regularity statement, that given an eigenfunction φ to the eigenvalue $s = \frac{1}{2} + ir$ with $r \in \mathbb{R}$, then the Hölder norm of the corresponding boundary values $T_{\varphi,r}$ is bounded by a power of r .

As noted in [GO05], there seems to be no straightforward generalization of these concepts, not even in the case of the real hyperbolic spaces. However, related approaches can be found, for example, in [GO05].

In this subsection we give a representation theoretic approach to describe the regularity of distributional boundary values and its dependence on the spectral parameter λ and we prove a regularity statement for the boundary values corresponding to joint eigenfunctions with real eigenvalue parameter $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ on a compact quotient X_Γ . These estimates may not be the sharpest possible, but they are sufficient for our purposes.

Given $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$, let $\mathcal{D}'(B)_\Gamma$ denote the space of distributions on B which are invariant under all actions $\tilde{\pi}_\lambda(\gamma)$ ($\gamma \in \Gamma$). As described in the preceding subsection, if $T \in \mathcal{D}'(B)_\Gamma$, then the Poisson transform $P_\lambda(T)$ is a function on the quotient X_Γ . We may hence also define

$$\mathcal{D}'(B)_\Gamma^{(1)} := \left\{ T \in \mathcal{D}'(B)_\Gamma : \|P_\lambda(T)\|_{L^2(X_\Gamma)} = 1 \right\}. \quad (5.12)$$

Now fix $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ and a Γ -invariant joint eigenfunction $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_\lambda(X)$ of $\mathbb{D}(G/K)$ (it has automatically exponential growth, since it is Γ -invariant). We also assume that φ is normalized with respect to the customary $L^2(X_\Gamma)$ -norm. Let $T_\varphi \in \mathcal{D}'(B)_\Gamma^{(1)}$ denote be the (unique) preimage (under the Poisson transform) of φ .

Under the identification $H_\lambda^\infty \cong C^\infty(K/M)$ we view T_φ as a functional on H_λ^∞ : For $f \in H_\lambda^\infty$ let $T_\varphi(f)$ be defined by $T_\varphi(f|_K)$. Then T_φ is a continuous linear functional on H_λ^∞ , invariant under $\tilde{\pi}_\lambda(\gamma)$. As proven in [CG89], Theorem A.1.4, if f is a smooth vector for the principal series representation, then $f \in H_\lambda^\infty$ is a smooth function on G . We consider the mapping

$$\Phi_\varphi : H_\lambda^\infty \rightarrow C^\infty(\Gamma \backslash G), \quad \Phi_\varphi(f)(\Gamma g) = T_\varphi(\pi_\lambda(g)f).$$

Lemma 5.10. Φ_φ is an isometry w.r.t. the norms of $L^2(K/M)$ and $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$.

Proof. The operator Φ_φ is equivariant with respect to the actions π_λ on H_λ^∞ and the right regular representation of G on $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$. We pull-back the $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$ inner product onto the (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module $H_{\lambda,K}^\infty$ of K -finite and smooth vectors (which is dense in H_λ^∞ , [Wal88], p. 81):

$$\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle_2 := \langle \Phi_\varphi(f_1), \Phi_\varphi(f_2) \rangle_{L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)}.$$

Let $f_1 \in H_{\lambda,K}^\infty$. Then

$$A_{f_1} : H_{\lambda,K}^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad f_2 \mapsto \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle_2$$

is a conjugate-linear, K -finite functional on the (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module $H_{\lambda,K}^\infty$. This module is irreducible and admissible, since H_λ is unitary and irreducible ([Wal88],

Thm. 3.4.10, Thm. 3.4.11). As A_{f_1} is K -finite it is nonzero on at most finitely many K -isotypic components. It follows that there is a linear map $A : H_{\lambda, K}^\infty \rightarrow H_{\lambda, K}^\infty$ such that for each $f_1 \in H_{\lambda, K}^\infty$ the functional A_{f_1} equals $f_2 \mapsto \langle Af_1, f_2 \rangle_{L^2(K/M)}$. The equivariance of Φ_φ and the unitarity of π_λ imply that A is (\mathfrak{g}, K) -equivariant. Using Schur's lemma for irreducible (\mathfrak{g}, K) -modules ([Wal88], p. 80), we deduce that A is a constant multiple of the identity and hence $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2$ is a constant multiple of the original $L^2(K/M)$ -inner product on $H_{\lambda, K}^\infty$. This constant is 1: First, $\Phi_\varphi(1) = P_\lambda(T_\varphi) = \varphi$ is the K -invariant lift of φ to $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$. Then $\|\Phi_\varphi(1)\|_{L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)} = 1 = \|1\|_{L^2(K/M)}$. \square

Let (y_j) and (x_j) be bases for \mathfrak{k} and \mathfrak{p} , respectively, such that $\langle y_j, y_i \rangle = -\delta_{ij}$, $\langle x_j, x_i \rangle = \delta_{ij}$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the Killing form. The Casimir operator of \mathfrak{k} is $\Omega_{\mathfrak{k}} = \sum_i y_i^2$ and the Casimir operator of \mathfrak{g} is

$$\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}} = -\sum_j x_j^2 + \Omega_{\mathfrak{k}} \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}),$$

where $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ is the center of the universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ of \mathfrak{g} .

It follows from $T_\varphi(f) = \Phi_\varphi(f)(\Gamma e)$ that

$$|T_\varphi(f)| \leq \|\Phi_\varphi(f)\|_\infty. \quad (5.13)$$

We may now estimate this by a convenient Sobolev norm on $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$. Let $\tilde{\Delta}$ denote the Laplace operator of $\Gamma \backslash G$. Then we have

$$\tilde{\Delta} = -\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}} + 2\Omega_{\mathfrak{k}},$$

where $\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\Omega_{\mathfrak{k}}$ are the Casimir operators on G and K , respectively.

Definition 5.11. Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$. The Sobolev space $W^{2,s}(\Gamma \backslash G)$ is (cf. [Tay81], p. 22) the space of functions f on $\Gamma \backslash G$ satisfying $(1 + \tilde{\Delta})^{s/2}(f) \in L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$ with norm

$$\|f\|_{W^{2,s}(\Gamma \backslash G)} = \|(1 + \tilde{\Delta})^{s/2}(f)\|_{L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)}.$$

Let $m = \dim(\Gamma \backslash G) = \dim(G)$, and let $s > m/2$. The Sobolev imbedding theorem for the compact space $\Gamma \backslash G$ ([Tay81], p. 19) states that the identity $W^{2,s}(\Gamma \backslash G) \hookrightarrow C^0(\Gamma \backslash G)$ is a continuous inclusion ($C^0(\Gamma \backslash G)$ is equipped with the usual sup-norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$). It follows that there exists a $C > 0$ such that

$$\|\Phi_\varphi(f)\|_\infty \leq C \|\Phi_\varphi(f)\|_{W^{2,s}(\Gamma \backslash G)} \quad \forall f \in C^\infty(K/M). \quad (5.14)$$

Now we derive the announced regularity estimate for the boundary values: First, by increasing the Sobolev order, we may assume $s/2 \in \mathbb{N}$, so

$$(1 + \tilde{\Delta})^{s/2} = (1 - \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}} + 2\Omega_{\mathfrak{k}})^{s/2} \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Hence $(1 + \tilde{\Delta})^{s/2}$ commutes with each G -equivariant mapping. Let $f \in H_\lambda^\infty$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi_\varphi(f)\|_{W^{2,s}(\Gamma \backslash G)} &= \left\| (1 + \tilde{\Delta})^{s/2} \Phi_\varphi(f) \right\|_{L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)} \\ &= \left\| \Phi_\varphi((1 - \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}} + 2\Omega_{\mathfrak{k}})^{s/2}(f)) \right\|_{L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)} \\ &= \left\| (1 - \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}} + 2\Omega_{\mathfrak{k}})^{s/2}(f) \right\|_{L^2(K/M)}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.15)$$

Recall $\pi_\lambda(\Omega_{\mathfrak{k}}) = \Delta_{K/M}$ and $\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}} \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$. Then (5.15) equals

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \sum_{k=0}^{s/2} \binom{s/2}{k} (1 + 2\Delta_{K/M})^k (-\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}})^{s/2-k}(f) \right\|_{L^2(K/M)} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{s/2} \binom{s/2}{k} \left\| (1 + 2\Delta_{K/M})^k (-\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}})^{s/2-k}(f) \right\|_{L^2(K/M)}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.16)$$

Assume $f \in H_{\lambda,K}^\infty$ and recall that $\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}$ acts on the irreducible $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ -module $H_{\lambda,K}^\infty$ by multiplication with the scalar $-(\langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle + \langle \rho, \rho \rangle)$ (cf. [Wil91], p. 163), that is

$$\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}|H_{\lambda,K}^\infty} = -(\langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle + \langle \rho, \rho \rangle) \text{id}_{H_{\lambda,K}^\infty}.$$

Then (5.16) equals

$$\sum_{k=0}^{s/2} \binom{s/2}{k} \left\| (1 + 2\Delta_{K/M})^k (|\lambda|^2 + |\rho|^2)^{s/2-k}(f) \right\|_{L^2(K/M)}. \quad (5.17)$$

But $(|\lambda|^2 + |\rho|^2)^{-k} \leq 1 + |\rho|^{-s} =: C'$ ($0 \leq k \leq s/2$), so (5.17) is bounded by

$$C' (|\lambda|^2 + |\rho|^2)^{s/2} \sum_{k=0}^{s/2} \binom{s/2}{k} \left\| (1 + 2\Delta_{K/M})^k(f) \right\|_{L^2(K/M)}. \quad (5.18)$$

Since $H_{\lambda,K}^\infty$ is dense in H_λ^∞ , this bound holds for all $f \in H_\lambda^\infty$. Using (5.13)-(5.18) we get

$$|T_\varphi(f)| \leq C' (|\lambda|^2 + |\rho|^2)^{s/2} \sum_{k=0}^{s/2} \binom{s/2}{k} \left\| (1 + 2\Delta_{K/M})^k(f) \right\|_{L^2(K/M)}. \quad (5.19)$$

for all $f \in H_\lambda^\infty$ and hence for all $f \in C^\infty(K/M)$. We estimate the sum in (5.19) by the continuous $C^\infty(K/M)$ -seminorm (recall that K/M has normalized volume)

$$\|f\|' := \sum_{k=0}^{s/2} \binom{s/2}{k} \sup_{K/M} |(1 + 2\Delta_{K/M})^k(f)|, \quad f \in \mathcal{E}(B), \quad (5.20)$$

(where $2s > \dim(G)$ is arbitrary, but fixed) and define

$$\mathcal{D}'(B)_\lambda := \{T \in \mathcal{D}'(B) : |T(f)| \leq (1 + |\lambda|)^s \|f\|' \quad \forall f \in C^\infty(K/M)\}. \quad (5.21)$$

(Note that $\mathcal{D}'(B)_\lambda$ depends on the number $s > \dim(G)/2$). We summarize these observations as follows:

Lemma 5.12. $\mathcal{D}'(B)_\Gamma^{(1)} \subseteq \mathcal{D}'(B)_\lambda$.

5.3 Tensor products of distributional boundary values

We need to recall some background concerning tensor products of distributions, which is naturally based on the tensor product of the underlying test function spaces and their completions. We assume that the reader is familiar with the definitions of the customary algebraic tensor product of general vector spaces. We are mainly interested in the compatibility of the tensor product for distributions with the embedding $f \mapsto I_f$ (2.33) of functions into distributions and the tensor product for functions. The material is taken from [Treves67] and [BB02].

If Ω_j are non-empty open subsets of \mathbb{R}^{n_j} and $\varphi_j \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega_j)$ are test functions, their tensor product is the function $\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$ defined by

$$\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2(x_1, x_2) = \varphi(x_1)\varphi(x_2) \quad (x_j \in \Omega_j).$$

The vector space spanned by all these tensors is denoted by $\mathcal{D}(\Omega_1) \otimes \mathcal{D}(\Omega_2)$. A general element in $\mathcal{D}(\Omega_1) \otimes \mathcal{D}(\Omega_2)$ is a finite sum $\sum_j \varphi_j \otimes \psi_j$, where $\varphi_j \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega_1)$, $\psi_j \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega_2)$. Then

$$\mathcal{D}(\Omega_1) \otimes \mathcal{D}(\Omega_2) \subset \mathcal{D}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2).$$

This tensor product space is dense in the test function space $\mathcal{D}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$.

On the algebraic tensor product $E \otimes F$ of two Hausdorff locally convex topological spaces E and F over the same field one can define the *projective tensor product* as follows. Let \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} denote the respective filtering systems of semi-norms defining the topology of the respective spaces E and F . A general element $\chi \in \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{F}$ is of the form

$$\chi = \sum_{j=1}^m e_j \otimes f_j \quad (e_j \in E, f_j \in F).$$

This representation as a finite sum is not unique. Given semi-norms $p \in \mathcal{P}, q \in \mathcal{Q}$ we define the projective tensor product by

$$p \otimes_\pi q := \inf \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^m p(e_j)q(f_j) : \chi = \sum_{j=1}^m e_j \otimes f_j \right\}.$$

Then $p \otimes_\pi q$ defines a semi-norm on $E \otimes F$, and the system

$$\mathcal{P} \otimes_\pi \mathcal{Q} := \{p \otimes_\pi q : p \in \mathcal{P}, q \in \mathcal{Q}\}$$

is a filtering and thus defines a locally convex topology on $E \otimes F$, called the *projective tensor product topology*. The vector space equipped with this topology is denoted by

$$E \otimes_\pi F$$

and is called the *projective tensor product of the spaces E and F* .

In particular, if $X, Y \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ are both open or compact, then the completion $\mathcal{D}(X) \widehat{\otimes}_\pi \mathcal{D}(Y)$ of the projective tensor product $\mathcal{D}(X) \otimes_\pi \mathcal{D}(Y)$ is equal to the test function space $\mathcal{D}(X \times Y)$ over the product $X \times Y$ ([Treves67], p. 530):

$$\mathcal{D}(X) \widehat{\otimes}_\pi \mathcal{D}(Y) = \mathcal{D}(X \times Y).$$

Let Ω_j be as above. For $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega_1 \otimes \Omega_2)$ and $T \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega_1)$ we define a function ψ on Ω_2 by $\psi(y) = \langle T, \varphi_y \rangle$, where $\varphi(y)(x) := \varphi(x, y)$. Then $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega_2)$, and $F(T, \varphi) := \psi$ defines a bilinear map $F : \mathcal{D}'(\Omega_1) \times \mathcal{D}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\Omega_2)$. This yields the existence of the tensor product for distributions:

For $T_j \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega_j)$ there is exactly one distribution $T \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$, called the tensor product of T_1 and T_2 , such that ([BB02], Ch. 6.2)

$$\langle T, \varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2 \rangle = \langle T_1, \varphi_1 \rangle \langle T_2, \varphi_2 \rangle.$$

Recall the embedding of functions into distributions as given in (2.33). If $f, g \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$, a direct computation shows (loc. cit.)

$$\langle I_f \otimes I_g, \varphi \otimes \psi \rangle = \langle I_f, \varphi \rangle \langle I_g, \psi \rangle,$$

so the tensor product of distributions is consistent with the tensor product of functions.

For convenience, if T is a distribution and f a test function on a space Y , we sometimes write $\langle T(y), f(y) \rangle$ for the pairing between T and f instead of $\langle T, f \rangle$ to point out the active variables.

The tensor product of T_1 and T_2 is a continuous linear functional on $\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$ and it satisfies Fubini's theorem for distributions: For every $T_j \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega_j)$ and for every $\chi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$ one has (loc. cit.)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T_1 \otimes T_2, \chi \rangle &= \langle (T_1 \otimes T_2)(x, y), \chi(x, y) \rangle \\ &= \langle T_1(x), \langle T_2(y) \chi(x, y) \rangle \rangle \\ &= \langle T_1(y), \langle T_2(x) \chi(x, y) \rangle \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

We can now apply the definitions given above to tensor products of distributional boundary values. As usual, let $B = K/M$ denote the real flag manifold of belonging to the Riemannian symmetric space $X = G/K$ of noncompact type.

In the notation of Section 5.2, there is a continuous seminorm $\|\cdot\|'$ on $C^\infty(B)$ and a constant K such that for all distributional boundary values $T_{\varphi,\lambda}$ corresponding under the Poisson transform to a Γ -invariant joint eigenfunction $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_\lambda^*(X)$ with $\|\varphi\|_{L^2(X_\Gamma)} = 1$ we have

$$|T(f)| \leq (1 + |\lambda|)^K \|f\|' \quad \forall f \in C^\infty(B).$$

Each $f \in C^\infty(B) \otimes C^\infty(B)$ has the form

$$f = \sum_{i,j} c_{i,j} f_i \otimes f_j.$$

We define a cross-(semi-)norm $\|\cdot\|''$ on the customary algebraic tensor product $C^\infty(B) \otimes C^\infty(B)$ by

$$\|f\|'' = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i,j} |c_{i,j}| \|f_i\|' \|f_j\|' : f = \sum_{i,j} c_{i,j} f_i \otimes f_j \right\}.$$

Then by [Treves67], p. 435, this norm induces a continuous seminorm on the projective tensor product $C^\infty(B) \widehat{\otimes}_\pi C^\infty(B)$.

Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_\lambda^*(X)$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{E}_\mu^*(X)$ denote Γ -invariant and $L^2(X_\Gamma)$ -normalized eigenfunction with distributional boundary values $T_\varphi, T_\psi \in \mathcal{D}'(B)$ and eigenvalue parameter $\mu \in \mathfrak{a}^*$. Given

$$f = \sum_{i,j} c_{i,j} f_i \otimes f_j \in C^\infty(B) \otimes C^\infty(B)$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |(T_\varphi \otimes T_\psi)(f)| &\leq \sum_{i,j} |c_{i,j}| \cdot |T_\varphi(f_i)| \cdot |T_\psi(f_j)| \\ &\leq (1 + |\lambda|)^s (1 + |\mu|)^s \sum_{i,j} |c_{i,j}| \cdot \|f_i\|' \cdot \|f_j\|', \end{aligned}$$

which implies (by taking the infimum)

$$|(T_\varphi \otimes T_\psi)(f)| \leq (1 + |\lambda|)^s (1 + |\mu|)^s \|f\|'' \quad (5.22)$$

for all $f \in C^\infty(B) \otimes C^\infty(B)$. But

$$C^\infty(B \times B) \cong C^\infty(B) \widehat{\otimes}_\pi C^\infty(B)$$

([Treves67], p. 530) implies that (5.22) holds for all $f \in C^\infty(B \times B)$.

6 Patterson-Sullivan distributions

In this Section, we introduce *Patterson-Sullivan distributions* for symmetric spaces of the noncompact type and establish a couple of invariance properties. It will then turn out how these phase space distributions are related to the questions of quantum ergodicity.

We carry over the notation from the preceding chapters. G denotes a non-compact semisimple Lie group with finite center and Iwasawa decomposition $G = KAN$. By $X = G/K$ we denote the corresponding symmetric space of the noncompact type. By $B = K/M$ we denote the (Fürstenberg) boundary of X . Given a cocompact torsion free discrete subgroup Γ of G , we denote by X_Γ the corresponding locally symmetric compact manifold of nonpositive sectional curvature. At this point, we make no restriction on the rank of X . In general, a (diagonal) Patterson-Sullivan distribution $ps_\lambda = ps_{\varphi, \lambda}$ will be associated to a joint eigenfunction $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_\lambda^*(X)$, where $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$.

In Subsection 6.1 we build up a concept of functions, which we call *intermediate values*. The intermediate values depend on the spectral parameter λ . Invariance properties of the Patterson-Sullivan distributions arise from equivariance properties of the intermediate values. Tensoring the ps_λ -distributions with an appropriate *Radon transform*, one obtains A -invariant distributions $PS_{\varphi, \lambda}$. In Subsection 6.2.1 we generalize the constructions given in [AZ07] to symmetric spaces of the noncompact type. We will explain that these special constructions are only possible for eigenvalue-parameters that satisfy a certain condition (see Lemma 6.10). It is not possible to generalize these definitions to a larger class of eigenfunctions and eigenvalues. Eigenvalues of the Laplacian of a rank one space satisfy this condition. In Subsection 6.2.3 we introduce off-diagonal Patterson-Sullivan distributions $PS_{\varphi, \lambda, \psi, \mu}$, which are associated to two eigenfunctions $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_\lambda^*(X)$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{E}_\mu^*(X)$. These distributions exist for all symmetric spaces of the noncompact type. If $\varphi = \psi$, they coincide with the $PS_{\varphi, \lambda}$ for the special cases considered in Subsection 6.2.1.

6.1 Intermediate values

Let \mathbb{H}^n be the real hyperbolic space of dimension n , that is, the complete and simply connected Riemannian manifold of constant curvature -1 . Using the Poincaré model, we identify \mathbb{H}^n with the unit ball of \mathbb{R}^n and its (geodesic) boundary at infinity $\partial\mathbb{H}^n$ with the unit sphere S^{n-1} of \mathbb{R}^n .

For $z \in \mathbb{H}^n$, let γ be an isometry of \mathbb{H}^n such that $z = \gamma^{-1} \cdot 0$, where $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the origin of \mathbb{H}^n . Then $|\gamma'(\xi)| = P(z, \xi)$, where P is the Poisson kernel of \mathbb{H}^n and where $|\gamma'(\xi)|$ is the conformal factor of the derivative of γ at the point $\xi \in S^{n-1}$.

Given two points $\xi, \xi' \in S^{n-1}$, we denote their chordal (Euclidean) distance by $|\xi - \xi'| = 2 \sin(\theta/2)$, where θ is the spherical distance between ξ and ξ' . One

has the *intermediate value formula* (cf. [Sul79])

$$|\gamma(\xi') - \gamma(\xi)|^2 = |\gamma'(\xi')||\gamma(\xi)||\xi' - \xi|^2. \quad (6.1)$$

The derivatives in (6.1) are (cf. (3.6)) given by $\frac{d(\gamma \cdot b)}{db} = e^{-2\rho\langle\gamma \cdot o, \gamma \cdot b\rangle}$. Suppose that $G = SU(1, 1)$ and

$$K = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\theta} \end{pmatrix}, \theta \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

Then the non-Euclidean disk \mathbb{D} identifies with the symmetric space G/K . Writing $\rho = \frac{1}{2}$ we find

$$|\gamma b - \gamma b'| = e^{-(\langle\gamma \cdot o, \gamma \cdot b\rangle + \langle\gamma \cdot o, \gamma \cdot b'\rangle)} |b - b'| \quad (6.2)$$

for $b, b' \in \partial D$. Caution that the horocycle bracket $\langle z, b \rangle$ we use is written $\frac{1}{2}\langle z, b \rangle$ in [AZ07], [Nich89] etc., because the hyperbolic metric on \mathbb{D} is often defined to be a multiple of the metric used in [DS], [GGA], [GASS]. (Sometimes the abelian subgroup $A = a_t$ of G is parameterized by $t/2$ instead of t , that is $a_t = \text{diag}(e^{t/2}, e^{-t/2}) \in G$.) Raising (6.2) to the power $\frac{1}{2} + ir$ we obtain

$$|\gamma b - \gamma b'|^{\frac{1}{2} + ir} = e^{-(\frac{1}{2} + ir) \cdot (\langle\gamma \cdot o, \gamma \cdot b\rangle + \langle\gamma \cdot o, \gamma \cdot b'\rangle)} |b - b'|^{\frac{1}{2} + ir}. \quad (6.3)$$

In this setting it is standard ([AZ07]) to parameterize the eigenvalue parameters corresponding to the eigenvalues of Δ on compact hyperbolic surfaces by $\lambda_j = \frac{1}{2} + ir_j$. In the disk model we have $(b_\infty, b_{-\infty}) = (M, wM) \in B \times B$ is $(1, -1) \in \partial \mathbb{D} \times \partial \mathbb{D}$. Writing $(b, b') = (\gamma \cdot M, \gamma \cdot wM)$, then (6.3) yields

$$|b - b'|^{\frac{1}{2} + ir} = 2^{\frac{1}{2} + ir} e^{-(\frac{1}{2} + ir) \cdot (\langle\gamma \cdot o, \gamma \cdot 1\rangle + \langle\gamma \cdot o, \gamma \cdot (-1)\rangle)}. \quad (6.4)$$

For a general symmetric space $X = G/K$ with real flag manifold $B = K/M$ we can neither use a Poincaré ball model nor Euclidean distances. We will now see how to generalize equation (6.3) in group-theoretical terms.

6.1.1 Generalized intermediate values

As usual, let H denote the Iwasawa projection $KAN \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$. We denote the longest Weyl group element and (by abuse of notation) a representative of it in M' by w , where M' is the normalizer of A in K . Let $\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$. We introduce the function $d_{\lambda, \mu} : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$,

$$d_{\lambda, \mu}(g) = e^{(i\lambda + \rho)H(g)} e^{(i\mu + \rho)H(gw)}. \quad (6.5)$$

Definition 6.1. We call the functions $d_{\lambda, \mu}$ *off-diagonal intermediate values*. In the case when $\lambda = \mu$ we define *diagonal intermediate values* $d_\lambda := d_{\lambda, \lambda}$.

Recall that the action of W on \mathfrak{a}^* is defined via duality by

$$(s \cdot \nu)(X) := \nu(s^{-1} \cdot X),$$

where $s \in W$, $\nu \in \mathfrak{a}^*$, $X \in \mathfrak{a}$, and where \cdot denotes the adjoint action. We have $s \cdot X \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$, since M' (hence $s \in W$) normalizes A and $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$. The action is extended to $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ by complex linearity.

Lemma 6.2. *Let $g \in G$, $m \in M$, $a \in A$. Then*

$$d_{\lambda, \mu}(gam) = d_{\lambda, \mu}(g)e^{i(\lambda + w \cdot \mu) \log(a)}. \quad (6.6)$$

Proof. Recall that the Iwasawa-projection H is M -invariant and that M' (hence $w \in W$) normalizes M . Then

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\lambda, \mu}(gam) &= e^{(i\lambda + \rho)H(gam)} e^{(i\mu + \rho)H(gamw)} \\ &= e^{(i\lambda + \rho)H(ga)} e^{(i\mu + \rho)H(gww^{-1}aw)} \\ &= e^{(i\lambda + \rho)(H(g) + \log(a))} e^{(i\mu + \rho)(H(gw) + \log(w^{-1}aw))} \\ &= e^{(i\lambda + \rho)H(g)} e^{(i\mu + \rho)H(gw)} e^{(i\mu + \rho)(\log(w^{-1}aw))} e^{(i\lambda + \rho) \log(a)} \\ &= d_{\lambda, \mu}(g) e^{(i\mu + \rho)(\log(w^{-1}aw))} e^{(i\lambda + \rho) \log(a)}. \end{aligned}$$

Also recall $\log(w^{-1}aw) = w^{-1} \cdot \log(a)$, since \exp and \log intertwine $\text{Ad}_G(w)$ with the conjugation by w on A . It follows from $w \cdot \rho = -\rho$ that the last line equals

$$d_{\lambda, \mu}(g) e^{i(\lambda + w \cdot \mu) \log(a)},$$

and the lemma is proven. \square

Remark 6.3. (1) The functions $d_{\lambda, \mu}$ are right- M -invariant. Thus

$$d_{\lambda, \mu} : G/M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

(2) Suppose that $w \cdot \lambda = -\lambda$. This is satisfied if the longest Weyl group element satisfies $\text{Ad}_G(w) = -\text{id}_{\mathfrak{a}^*}$, which is for example true if G/K has rank one. Then the diagonal intermediate values function d_{λ} is invariant under right-translation by elements $a \in A$ and hence a function on G/MA . In all other cases, d_{λ} is not a function on G/MA . We will see in (6.27) how to circumvent this problem.

(3) Let G/K have rank one. If $m' \in M'$, then $d_{\lambda}(gm') = d_{\lambda}(g)$, so d_{λ} is a function on $G/M'A$.

Recall (from 2.2.4) that $B^{(2)} \cong G/MA$: The group G acts transitively on $B^{(2)}$. The closed subgroup of G fixing $(M, wM) \in B^{(2)}$ is MA . Thus each pair of distinct boundary points (b, b') may be written in the form $g \cdot (M, wM)$, where $g(b, b')MA = gMA \in G/MA$ is unique.

Definition 6.4. Time reversal refers to the involution on the unit cosphere bundle defined by $\iota(x, \xi) = (x, -\xi)$. Suppose that G/K has rank one. Under $\Gamma \backslash G/M = S^*X_\Gamma$ the time reversal map takes the form $\Gamma g \mapsto \Gamma wg$. We say that a distribution T is time-reversible if $\iota^*T = T$. Let $(b, b') = (g \cdot M, g \cdot wM) \in B^{(2)}$, where $g \in G$ and $gMA \in G/MA$ is unique. Recall $w^2 \in M$. Then time reversal means

$$(b, b') = (g \cdot M, g \cdot wM) \mapsto (gw \cdot M, g \cdot w^2M) = (b', b),$$

that is the interchanging $(b, b') \leftrightarrow (b', b)$. We call a function or distribution on B^2 time reversal invariant if it is invariant under $(b, b') \leftrightarrow (b', b)$.

Corollary 6.5. *Let G/K have rank one. The functions d_λ are time reversal invariant.*

For the rest of this subsection suppose that $\text{Ad}_G(w) = -\text{id}_{\mathfrak{a}^*}$. Under the identification $B^{(2)} \cong G/MA$ the function d_λ corresponds to a function on $B^{(2)}$ which we also denote by d_λ . If $g = g(b, b')$, then $d_\lambda : B^{(2)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$,

$$d_\lambda(b, b') = d_\lambda(g \cdot M, g \cdot wM) = e^{(i\lambda + \rho)(H(g) + H(gw))}.$$

Recall the horocycle bracket $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $G/K \times K/M$. Let $g \in G$. We have shown in Lemma 2.39 that $\langle g \cdot o, g \cdot M \rangle = H(g)$ and $\langle g \cdot o, g \cdot wM \rangle = H(gw)$.

Corollary 6.6. *Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denote the horocycle-bracket. Then*

$$d_\lambda(g \cdot M, g \cdot wM) = e^{(i\lambda + \rho)(\langle g \cdot o, g \cdot M \rangle + \langle g \cdot o, g \cdot wM \rangle)}. \quad (6.7)$$

Proof. This follows from $\langle g \cdot o, g \cdot M \rangle = H(g)$ and $\langle g \cdot o, g \cdot wM \rangle = H(gw)$. \square

Lemma 6.7. *Let $\gamma, g \in G$. Then*

$$d_{\lambda, \mu}(\gamma g) = e^{(i\lambda + \rho)\langle \gamma \cdot o, \gamma g \cdot M \rangle} e^{(i\mu + \rho)\langle \gamma \cdot o, \gamma g \cdot wM \rangle} d_{\lambda, \mu}(g). \quad (6.8)$$

Proof. Let $z = g \cdot o \in G/K$. By (2.27) and by Lemma 2.39 we find

$$\begin{aligned} H(\gamma g) &= \langle \gamma g \cdot o, \gamma g \cdot M \rangle \\ &= \langle \gamma \cdot z, \gamma g \cdot M \rangle \\ &= \langle z, g \cdot M \rangle + \langle \gamma \cdot o, \gamma g \cdot M \rangle \\ &= H(g) + \langle \gamma \cdot o, \gamma g \cdot M \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we compute

$$\begin{aligned} H(\gamma gw) &= \langle \gamma g \cdot o, \gamma g \cdot wM \rangle \\ &= \langle \gamma \cdot z, \gamma g \cdot wM \rangle \\ &= \langle z, g \cdot wM \rangle + \langle \gamma \cdot o, \gamma g \cdot wM \rangle \\ &= H(gw) + \langle \gamma \cdot o, \gamma g \cdot wM \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Summing up we obtain the assertion. \square

Corollary 6.8. $d_\lambda(\gamma g) = e^{(i\lambda+\rho)(\langle\gamma\cdot o, \gamma g\cdot M\rangle + \langle\gamma\cdot o, \gamma g\cdot wM\rangle)} d_{\lambda,\mu}(g).$

Lemma 6.9. *Let $(b, b') \in B^{(2)}$ and $\gamma \in G$. Then*

$$(d_\lambda \circ \gamma)(b, b') = d_\lambda(\gamma \cdot b, \gamma \cdot b') = e^{(i\lambda+\rho)(\langle\gamma\cdot o, \gamma\cdot b\rangle + \langle\gamma\cdot o, \gamma\cdot b'\rangle)} d_\lambda(b, b'). \quad (6.9)$$

Proof. Let $g \in G$ such that $(b, b') = (g \cdot M, g \cdot wM)$. Then $d_\lambda(\gamma \cdot b, \gamma \cdot b') = d_\lambda(\gamma g)$, so the Lemma follows from Corollary 6.8. \square

6.1.2 An equivariance property

Recall from Section 5 that in case of Γ -invariant joint eigenfunctions φ_λ the corresponding distribution boundary values satisfy

$$T_\lambda(d\gamma b) \otimes T_\lambda(d\gamma b') = e^{-(i\lambda+\rho)(\langle\gamma o, \gamma b\rangle + \langle\gamma o, \gamma b'\rangle)} T_\lambda(db) \otimes T_\lambda(db').$$

To obtain Γ -invariant distributions we multiply with so-called *intermediate values* $d_\lambda(b, b')$ which satisfy the inverse equivariance property

$$d_\lambda(\gamma \cdot b, \gamma \cdot b') = e^{(i\lambda+\rho)(\langle\gamma\cdot o, \gamma\cdot b\rangle + \langle\gamma\cdot o, \gamma\cdot b'\rangle)} d_\lambda(b, b'). \quad (6.10)$$

The result of this subsection is very interesting: We prove in the following that the existence of a non-trivial function satisfying (6.10) is equivalent to a certain condition on the eigenvalue parameter.

The idea is that the function d_λ is independent of the concrete subgroup Γ , so we suppose (6.10) to be satisfied for all $g, \gamma \in G$. Let $w \in W$ denote the longest Weyl group element. We identify w with a representative in M' .

Lemma 6.10. *Suppose that there exists a function $d_\lambda : G/MA \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying (6.10) for all $\gamma \in G$ and all $(b, b') \in B^{(2)}$. Then $w \cdot \lambda = -\lambda$.*

Proof. Given $(b, b') \in B^{(2)}$, there is $g \in G$ such that under $G/MA \cong B^{(2)}$ we can write $b = g \cdot M$ and $b' = g \cdot wM$. Then (6.10) for a function on $B^{(2)}$ is equivalent to the existence of a function d_λ on G/MA satisfying

$$d_\lambda(\gamma g) = e^{(i\lambda+\rho)(\langle\gamma\cdot o, \gamma\cdot g\cdot M\rangle + \langle\gamma\cdot o, \gamma\cdot g\cdot wM\rangle)} d_\lambda(g) \quad \forall \gamma, g \in G. \quad (6.11)$$

Let $a \in A, n \in N$. We first have

$$d_\lambda(n) = e^{(i\lambda+\rho)(\langle n\cdot o, M\rangle + \langle n\cdot o, nw\cdot M\rangle)} d_\lambda(e) = e^{(i\lambda+\rho)H(nw)} d_\lambda(e). \quad (6.12)$$

Since $ana^{-1} \in N$ the assumed MA -invariance then yields

$$d_\lambda(an) = d_\lambda(ana^{-1}) = e^{(i\lambda+\rho)H(ana^{-1}w)} d_\lambda(e). \quad (6.13)$$

Combining (6.11) and (6.12) we also find

$$\begin{aligned} d_\lambda(an) &= e^{(i\lambda+\rho)(\langle a\cdot o, a\cdot n\cdot M\rangle + \langle a\cdot o, a\cdot n\cdot wM\rangle)} d_\lambda(n) \\ &= e^{(i\lambda+\rho)(\log(a) + \langle a\cdot o, an\cdot wM\rangle + H(nw))} d_\lambda(e). \end{aligned} \quad (6.14)$$

Comparing (6.13) with (6.14) and assuming $d_\lambda(e) \neq 0$ (otherwise $d_\lambda = 0$ everywhere by the transitivity of the G -action on G/MA) we get

$$(i\lambda + \rho)H(ana^{-1}w) = (i\lambda + \rho)[\log(a) + \langle a \cdot o, an \cdot wM \rangle + H(nw)]. \quad (6.15)$$

On the the left hand side of (6.15) we have

$$H(ana^{-1}w) = H(anww^{-1}a^{-1}w). \quad (6.16)$$

Note that $w^{-1}a^{-1}w \in A$, since W normalizes A . Thus (6.16) equals

$$H(anw) + \log(w^{-1}a^{-1}w). \quad (6.17)$$

For the right hand side of (6.15) recall that

$$\langle a \cdot o, an \cdot wM \rangle = -H(a^{-1}k(anw)).$$

If $anw = \tilde{k}\tilde{a}\tilde{n}$, then $a^{-1}k(anw) = nw\tilde{n}^{-1}\tilde{a}^{-1}$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \langle a \cdot o, an \cdot wM \rangle &= -H(a^{-1}k(anw)) \\ &= -H(nw\tilde{n}^{-1}\tilde{a}^{-1}) \\ &= -H(nw) + \log(\tilde{a}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus on the right hand side of (6.15) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \log(a) + \langle a \cdot o, an \cdot wM \rangle + H(nw) &= \log(a) - H(nw) + \log(\tilde{a}) + H(nw) \\ &= \log(a) + \log(\tilde{a}) \\ &= \log(a) + H(anw). \end{aligned} \quad (6.18)$$

If we now compare (6.17) with (6.18) we see that (6.10) implies

$$(i\lambda + \rho)\log(a) = (i\lambda + \rho)\log(w^{-1}a^{-1}w)$$

for all $a \in A$. But

$$\rho(\log(w^{-1}a^{-1}w)) = (w \cdot \rho)\log(a^{-1}) = -\rho\log(a^{-1}) = \rho\log(a),$$

since $w \cdot \rho = -\rho$, since w maps positive roots into negative roots. Moreover,

$$\lambda\log(w^{-1}a^{-1}w) = \lambda(w^{-1} \cdot \log(a^{-1})) = (w \cdot \lambda)(-\log(a)),$$

so our final condition is $w \cdot \lambda = -\lambda$, as desired. \square

Remark 6.11. Note that equation (6.11) can be satisfied by a function d_λ defined on G/M . We will later see how to circumvent the problem of missing A -invariance.

6.2 Definitions and invariance properties

We now build up the theory of Patterson-Sullivan distributions. We start by generalizing the definitions given in [AZ07], which is possible if $\text{Ad}(w) = -\text{id}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ (recall that by w we denote the longest Weyl group element). Later we see how to define Patterson-Sullivan distributions for general symmetric spaces. We also study interesting invariance properties of these distributions.

6.2.1 Diagonal Patterson-Sullivan distributions

In this Section we fix $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ and suppose that $w \cdot \lambda = -\lambda$. We fix an eigenfunction $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^*(X)$. At this point, we do not assume that φ is real-valued. Let T_{φ} denote the boundary values of φ . The assumption on λ is satisfied if the longest Weyl group element w satisfies $\text{Ad}_G(w^*)|_{\mathfrak{a}} = -\text{id}_{\mathfrak{a}}$. This is the case for all rank one spaces. Recall the concept of *intermediate values* (Section 6.1)

$$d_{\lambda}(b, b') = d_{\lambda}(g \cdot M, g \cdot wM) = e^{(i\lambda + \rho)(H(g) + H(gw))},$$

where $g = g(b, b')$ corresponding to $B^{(2)} \cong G/MA$. We have proven in Subsection 6.1.2 that this function exists if and only if $w \cdot \lambda = -\lambda$.

Definition 6.12. The *Patterson-Sullivan distribution* $ps_{\varphi, \lambda}(db, db')$ associated to $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_{\lambda}$ is the distribution on $C_c^{\infty}(B^{(2)})$ defined by

$$ps_{\varphi, \lambda}(db, db') := d_{\lambda}(b, b') \cdot T_{\varphi}(db) \otimes T_{\varphi}(db'). \quad (6.19)$$

The same definition (6.19) extends ps_{λ} to a linear functional on the larger space $d_{\lambda}(b, b')^{-1} \cdot C^{\infty}(B \times B)$. If $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^*(X)$ is fixed we write for simplicity ps_{λ} instead of $ps_{\varphi, \lambda}$. Moreover, we often write $T_{\varphi}(db)T_{\varphi}(db')$ instead of $T_{\varphi}(db) \otimes T_{\varphi}(db')$.

Proposition 6.13. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^*(X)$ be a Γ -invariant eigenfunction of $\mathbb{D}(G/K)$. Let T_{φ} denote its boundary values. Then $ps_{\lambda}(db, db')$ is Γ -invariant.

Proof. Given a test function $f \in C_c^{\infty}(B^{(2)})$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we observe

$$ps_{\lambda}(f \circ \gamma^{-1}) = (T_{\varphi} \otimes T_{\varphi})(d_{\lambda} \cdot (f \circ \gamma^{-1})) = (\gamma T_{\varphi} \otimes \gamma T_{\varphi})((d_{\lambda} \circ \gamma) \cdot f).$$

It follows from (5.5) that

$$T_{\varphi}(d\gamma b)T_{\varphi}(d\gamma b') = e^{-(i\lambda + \rho)\langle \gamma \cdot o, \gamma \cdot b \rangle} e^{-(i\lambda + \rho)\langle \gamma \cdot o, \gamma \cdot b' \rangle} T_{\varphi}(db)T_{\varphi}(db').$$

By (6.9), the $d_{\lambda}(b, b')$ have the inverse equivariance property, so multiplying with (6.9) yields $(T_{\varphi} \otimes T_{\varphi})(d_{\lambda} \cdot f) = ps_{\lambda}(f)$ and completes the proof of Γ -invariance. \square

Recall the time reversal map $b \leftrightarrow b'$. Then by Corollary 6.5:

Proposition 6.14. Suppose that $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^*(X)$ is Γ -invariant. Then the distribution $ps_{\varphi, \lambda}(db, db')$ is time reversal invariant.

We now construct A -invariant distributions. Recall that under the identification $G/MA \cong B^{(2)}$ we write $g(b, b') \in G$ if $g(b, b') \cdot (M, wM) = (b, b') \in B^{(2)}$. The element $g(b, b')$ is uniquely determined modulo MA .

Definition 6.15. For functions f on G/M , the *Radon transform* \mathcal{R} on G/M is given by

$$\mathcal{R}f(b, b') = \int_A f(g(b, b')aM)da, \quad (6.20)$$

whenever this integral exists. Then $\mathcal{R}f(b, b')$ is a function on $B^{(2)}$. By unimodularity of A we find that (6.20) does not depend on the choice of $g(b, b')$.

Lemma 6.16. *The Radon transform maps $\mathcal{R} : C_c(G/M) \rightarrow C_c(B^{(2)})$.*

Proof. Recall $B^{(2)} \cong G/MA$ as homogeneous spaces. Given $f \in C_c(G/M)$ we define $\tilde{f} \in C_c(G)$ by $\tilde{f}(g) = f(gM)$. Then

$$\mathcal{R}f(gMA) = \int_A \tilde{f}(ga)da = \int_{MA} \tilde{f}(gam)dadm.$$

It follows from (3.1) and its subsequent remark applied to MA that $\mathcal{R}f$ has compact support. \square

6.2.2 Patterson-Sullivan distributions on the compact quotient

We keep the assumption that $w \cdot \lambda = -\lambda$. ($w \in W$ is the longest Weyl group element, $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$).

Definition 6.17. Let \mathcal{F} denote a bounded fundamental domain for Γ in X . Following [AZ07], pp. 380-381, we say that $\chi \in C_c^\infty(X)$ is a *smooth fundamental domain cutoff function* if it satisfies

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \chi(\gamma z) = 1 \quad \forall z \in X. \quad (6.21)$$

Such a function can for example be constructed by taking $\nu \in C_c^\infty(X)$, $\nu = 1$ on \mathcal{F} , and putting $\chi(z) = \nu(z) \cdot (\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \nu(\gamma z))^{-1}$. If χ satisfies (6.21), then

$$\int_{\mathcal{F}} f dz = \int_X \chi f dz, \quad f \in C(X_\Gamma). \quad (6.22)$$

Since B is compact, we can (by using partition of unity) also choose a cutoff $\chi \in C_c^\infty(X \times B)$ such that $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \chi(\gamma \cdot (z, b)) = 1$. Let $T \in \mathcal{D}'(X \times B)$ be a Γ -invariant distribution and a a Γ -invariant smooth function on $X \times B$. Suppose there is $a_1 \in \mathcal{D}(X \times B)$ such that $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} a_1(\gamma \cdot (z, b)) = a(z, b)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle a_1, T \rangle_{X \times B} &= \int_{X \times B} \left\{ \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \chi(\gamma \cdot (z, b)) \right\} a_1(z, b) T(dz, db) \\ &= \int_{X \times B} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \chi(z, b) a_1(\gamma \cdot (z, b)) T(dz, db). \end{aligned}$$

By the invariance of T this equals $\int_{X \times B} \chi(z, b) a(z, b) T(dz, db)$. We thus have

Proposition 6.18. *Let $T \in \mathcal{D}'(X \times B)$ be a Γ -invariant distribution. Let a be a Γ -invariant smooth function on $X \times B$. Then for any $a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{D}(X \times B)$ such that $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} a_j(\gamma \cdot (z, b)) = a(z, b)$ ($j = 1, 2$) we have $\langle a_1, T \rangle = \langle a_2, T \rangle$.*

Given T and a as in Proposition 6.18 and if moreover χ_j ($j = 1, 2$) are smooth fundamental domain cutoffs, then $a_j = \chi_j a$ satisfy the assumptions of the proposition. Hence $\langle a, T \rangle_{\Gamma \backslash G/M} := \langle \chi a, T \rangle_{G/M}$ defines a distribution on the quotient $\Gamma \backslash G/M$ and this definition is independent of the choice of χ .

Definition 6.19. Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^*(X)$ denote a Γ -invariant joint eigenfunction. The Patterson-Sullivan distribution $PS_{\lambda} = PS_{\varphi, \lambda}$ associated to φ is defined by

$$\langle a, PS_{\lambda} \rangle_{G/M} = \int_{B^{(2)}} (\mathcal{R}a)(b, b') ps_{\varphi, \lambda}(db, db'). \quad (6.23)$$

On the quotient $\Gamma \backslash G/M$, we define the Patterson-Sullivan distributions by

$$\langle a, PS_{\lambda} \rangle_{\Gamma \backslash G/M} := \langle \chi a, PS_{\lambda} \rangle_{G/M}. \quad (6.24)$$

We define *normalized Patterson-Sullivan distributions* by

$$\widehat{PS}_{\lambda} = \frac{1}{\langle 1, PS_{\lambda} \rangle_{\Gamma \backslash G/M}} PS_{\lambda}. \quad (6.25)$$

In view of Proposition 6.18 these definitions do not depend on χ .

We look at the expression

$$\langle a, PS_{\lambda} \rangle = \int_{B^{(2)}} d_{\lambda}(b, b') \mathcal{R}(a)(b, b') T_{\varphi}(db) T_{\varphi}(db'). \quad (6.26)$$

It follows that $PS_{\lambda}(a)$ is well-defined if $(d_{\lambda} \cdot \mathcal{R}a)(b, b') \in C^{\infty}(B \times B)$, which is the case for $a \in C_c^{\infty}(G/M)$: In fact, then $\mathcal{R}a \in C_c^{\infty}(B^{(2)})$, so

$$d_{\lambda}(b, b') \mathcal{R}(a)(b, b') \in C_c^{\infty}(B^{(2)}) \subset C_c^{\infty}(B \times B) = C^{\infty}(B \times B).$$

As a consequence of Proposition 6.18 we obtain (recall that $w \cdot \lambda = -\lambda$):

Proposition 6.20. *$PS_{\varphi, \lambda}$ is an A -invariant and Γ -invariant distribution on G/M . On the quotient $\Gamma \backslash G/M$, the distribution $PS_{\varphi, \lambda}$ is still A -invariant.*

6.2.3 Off-diagonal Patterson-Sullivan distributions

In this Subsection, we drop the assumption that $w_a = -\text{id}$. Let $\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ and fix $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^*(X)$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{E}_{\mu}^*(X)$. At this point, we do not assume that these eigenfunctions are real-valued. Let T_{φ} and T_{ψ} denote the respective boundary values. Recall the *off-diagonal intermediate values* (Section 6.1)

$$d_{\lambda, \mu}(g) = e^{(i\lambda + \rho)H(g)} e^{(i\mu + \rho)H(gw)}.$$

Definition 6.21. For functions f on G/M , the *weighted Radon transform* $\mathcal{R}_{\lambda, \mu}$ on G/M is by definition the Radon transform (6.20) of $d_{\lambda, \mu}f$, that is

$$\mathcal{R}_{\lambda, \mu}f(g) := \int_A d_{\lambda, \mu}(ga) f(ga) da, \quad (6.27)$$

whenever this integral exists.

It is clear that $\mathcal{R}_{\lambda, \mu}(f)$ is an A -invariant function on G/M (right- A -invariant), that is a function on $G/MA \cong B^{(2)}$. Note that by integrating $d_{\lambda, \mu}$ with respect to $a \in A$ we circumvent the problem that $d_{\lambda, \mu}$ alone is not a function on G/MA (see (6.6) and its subsequent remark).

Exactly as in Lemma 6.16 we find

Lemma 6.22. *Let $f \in C_c^{\infty}(G/M)$. Then $\mathcal{R}_{\lambda, \mu}(f) \in C_c^{\infty}(G/MA)$.*

Definition 6.23. As usual, let $g(b, b') \in G$ be a representative for the element $g(b, b')MA \in G/MA$ that corresponds to $(b, b') \in B^{(2)}$. Let $f \in C_c^{\infty}(G/M)$. We pull-back the Radon transform (6.27) to $B^{(2)}$ and define

$$\mathcal{R}_{\lambda, \mu}f(b, b') = \mathcal{R}_{\lambda, \mu}f(g(b, b')).$$

Then $\mathcal{R}_{\lambda, \mu}f \in C_c^{\infty}(B^{(2)})$. This definition is independent of the choice of representative $g(b, b')$, since $\mathcal{R}_{\lambda, \mu}(f)$ is invariant.

Let $f \in C_c^{\infty}(B^{(2)}) \subset C_c^{\infty}(B \times B) \subset C^{\infty}(B \times B)$. We interpret $\mathcal{R}_{\lambda, \mu}f$ as a function on $B \times B$ with compact support contained in $B^{(2)}$.

Definition 6.24. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_{\lambda}^*(X)$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{E}_{\mu}^*(X)$ have boundary values T_{φ} and T_{ψ} . The *off-diagonal Patterson-Sullivan distribution* $PS_{\lambda, \mu}$ associated to φ and ψ on G/M is defined by

$$\langle f, PS_{\lambda, \mu} \rangle = \int_{B^{(2)}} \mathcal{R}_{\lambda, \mu}f(b, b') T_{\varphi}(db) T_{\psi}(db'). \quad (6.28)$$

It follows that $PS_{\lambda, \mu}(f)$ is well-defined if $\mathcal{R}_{\lambda, \mu}f(b, b') \in C^{\infty}(B \times B)$. A simple case is when $f \in C_c^{\infty}(G/M)$: Then $\mathcal{R}_{\lambda, \mu}f \in C_c^{\infty}(B^{(2)})$, so

$$\mathcal{R}_{\lambda, \mu}(f)(b, b') \in C_c^{\infty}(B^{(2)}) \subset C_c^{\infty}(B \times B) = C^{\infty}(B \times B).$$

Proposition 6.25. *Suppose that $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_\lambda^*(X)$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_\mu^*(X)$ are Γ -invariant eigenfunctions. Then the distribution $PS_{\lambda,\mu}$ on G/M is Γ -invariant.*

Proof. Let $f \in C_c^\infty(G/M)$ and let f_γ denote the translation $f \circ \gamma^{-1}$. Then

$$\langle f_\gamma, PS_{\lambda,\mu} \rangle = \int_{B^{(2)}} \int_A d_{\lambda,\mu}(g(b, b')a) f(\gamma^{-1}g(b, b')a) da T_\lambda(db) T_\mu(db'),$$

where $(b, b') = (g \cdot M, g \cdot wM)$ for $g = g(b, b')$. By (5.5) this equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B^{(2)}} \int_A d_{\lambda,\mu}(g(\gamma \cdot (b, b'))a) f(\gamma^{-1}g(\gamma(b, b'))a) \\ & \quad \times e^{-(i\lambda+\rho)\langle \gamma \cdot o, \gamma \cdot b \rangle} e^{-(i\mu+\rho)\langle \gamma \cdot o, \gamma \cdot b' \rangle} da T_\lambda(db) T_\mu(db'). \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $a \in A$ acts trivially on (M, wM) . Using this and (6.8) we observe

$$d_{\lambda,\mu}(\gamma ga) = e^{(i\lambda+\rho)\langle \gamma \cdot o, \gamma \cdot b \rangle} e^{(i\mu+\rho)\langle \gamma \cdot o, \gamma \cdot b' \rangle} d_{\lambda,\mu}(ga).$$

We also have $g(\gamma \cdot (b, b')) = \gamma g(b, b')$, since $(b, b') \mapsto g(b, b') \in G/MA$ is G -equivariant. Hence $\gamma^{-1}g(\gamma \cdot (b, b')) = g(b, b')$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f_\gamma, PS_{\lambda,\mu} \rangle &= \int_{B^{(2)}} \int_A d_{\lambda,\mu}(g(b, b')a) f(g(b, b')a) da T_\lambda(db) T_\mu(db') \\ &= \int_{B^{(2)}} \mathcal{R}_{\lambda,\mu} f(b, b') T_\lambda(db) T_\mu(db') = \langle f, PS_{\lambda,\mu} \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

and the proposition follows. \square

Remark 6.26. Let $(b, b') \in B^{(2)}$, $g = g(b, b')$ and suppose $w \cdot \lambda = -\lambda$. Then

$$\mathcal{R}_{\lambda,\lambda}(f)(g) = \int_A d_{\lambda,\lambda}(ga) f(ga) da = d_\lambda(g(b, b'))(\mathcal{R}f)(b, b'). \quad (6.29)$$

Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_\lambda^*(X)$ and consider the distributions $PS_{\lambda,\lambda}$ and $PS_{\varphi,\lambda}$ associated to φ . By (6.29) we have $PS_{\lambda,\lambda} = PS_\lambda$. If $\varphi = \psi$ and $\lambda = \mu$, it follows as in Subsection 6.2.1 that the $PS_{\lambda,\lambda}$ are invariant under time-reversal and right-translation by A . Vice versa, if $T_\varphi \neq T_\psi$, then $PS_{\varphi,\psi}$ needs not to be invariant under $b \leftrightarrow b'$.

Remark 6.27. Given $\tilde{a} \in A$ we write $f_{\tilde{a}} := f \circ \tilde{a}^{-1}$. Then

$$\mathcal{R}_{\lambda,\mu}(f_{\tilde{a}})(g) = \int_A d_{\lambda,\mu}(ga\tilde{a}) f(ga) da = e^{i(\lambda+w\cdot\mu)\log(\tilde{a})} \mathcal{R}_{\lambda,\mu}(f)(g), \quad (6.30)$$

which follows from

$$d_{\lambda,\mu}(g\tilde{a}) = e^{i(\lambda+w\cdot\mu)\log(\tilde{a})} d_{\lambda,\mu}(g) \quad (6.31)$$

(cf. (6.6)). Given eigenfunctions φ, ψ we thus have

$$\langle f_{\tilde{a}}, PS_{\lambda,\mu} \rangle = e^{i(\lambda+w\cdot\mu)\log(\tilde{a})} \langle f, PS_{\lambda,\mu} \rangle. \quad (6.32)$$

In other words, the $PS_{\lambda,\mu}$ are eigendistributions for the action of A on G/M (given by right-translation). In particular, if $\lambda + w \cdot \mu = 0$, then the associated Patterson-Sullivan distribution is invariant under right-translation by A . This is for example the case when $\varphi = \psi$, $\lambda = \mu$, and $w \cdot \lambda = -\lambda$.

Definition 6.28. Suppose that $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_\lambda^*(X)$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_\mu^*(X)$ are Γ -invariant joint eigenfunctions. Since $PS_{\lambda,\mu}$ is a Γ -invariant distribution on G/M , the definition descends to the quotient $\Gamma \backslash G/M$ via

$$\langle a, PS_{\lambda,\mu} \rangle_{\Gamma \backslash G/M} := \langle \chi a, PS_{\lambda,\mu} \rangle_{G/M}, \quad (6.33)$$

where χ is a smooth fundamental domain cutoff. We normalize these distributions by setting

$$\widehat{PS}_{\lambda,\mu} := \frac{1}{\langle 1, PS_{\mu,\mu} \rangle_{\Gamma \backslash G/M}} PS_{\lambda,\mu}. \quad (6.34)$$

In view of Proposition 6.18 these definitions do not depend on χ .

6.3 The Knapp-Stein intertwining operators

In this Section we introduce the Knapp-Stein intertwiners. We will later see how these operators yield an explicit relation between the Patterson-Sullivan distributions and the Wigner distributions (6.5.2). For background on similar intertwining operators see [Knapp86]. Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$ and define

$$L_\lambda a(g) := \int_N e^{-(i\lambda+\rho)(H(n^{-1}w))} a(gn) dn, \quad a \in C(G), \quad (6.35)$$

whenever the integral exists. The integrals $L_\lambda a(g)$ may be viewed as a *weighted horocyclic Radon transform*.

Remark 6.29. Each $Ad_G(\tilde{m})$, $\tilde{m} \in M$, fixes the elements of \mathfrak{a} and hence the root subspaces. Thus M normalizes N , that is $\tilde{m}N = N\tilde{m}$ for all $\tilde{m} \in M$. Hence $n \mapsto \tilde{m}^{-1}n\tilde{m}$ defines an automorphism of N which by uniqueness of Haar-measures maps dn into a multiple of dn . Since M is compact, dn is preserved.

It is a basic remark that L_λ preserves M -invariance:

Lemma 6.30. $L_\lambda : C_c^\infty(G/M) \rightarrow C^\infty(G/M)$.

Proof. Suppose that $a \in C_c^\infty(G/M)$ and let $g \in G$, $n \in N$, $m \in M$. Then $a(gmn) = a(gmnm^{-1})$ and by 6.29 we know that $n \mapsto \tilde{n} := mn^{-1}m^{-1} \in N$ preserves dn . Moreover, $H(n^{-1}w) = H(mn^{-1}m^{-1}w)$ by invariance of the Iwasawa projection and since w normalizes M . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} L_\lambda a(gm) &= \int_N e^{-(i\lambda+\rho)(H(n^{-1}w))} a(gmn) dn = \int_N e^{-(i\lambda+\rho)(H(\tilde{n}^{-1}w))} a(g\tilde{n}) d\tilde{n} \\ &= \int_N e^{-(i\lambda+\rho)(H(n^{-1}w))} a(gn) dn = L_\lambda a(g). \end{aligned}$$

□

6.3.1 Harish-Chandra's phase function

We absorb the term $e^{-\rho H(n^{-1}w)}$ in (6.35) into the amplitude, so that the phase function is

$$\psi(n) = -H(n^{-1}w).$$

By uniqueness of the longest element of a Coxeter group, we have $w^{-1} = w \in W$. Thus $w^{-1} = wm$ ($m \in M$) as elements in M' , so $H(n^{-1}w) = H(wm^{-1}w^{-1})$ by invariance of $H(kan) = \log(a)$. We write

$$\tilde{\theta} : N \rightarrow \overline{N}, \quad n \mapsto wnw^{-1}. \quad (6.36)$$

Then $\tilde{\theta}(dn) = d\overline{n}$ (cf. Subsection 2.1.6), since M' is compact, so since \overline{N} is unimodular

$$L_\mu(a)(g) = \int_{\overline{N}} e^{-i\mu(H(\overline{n}))} e^{-\rho(H(\overline{n}))} a(gw^{-1}\overline{n}^{-1}w) d\overline{n}.$$

Given $0 \neq \mu \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$, we identify the ray $\mathbb{R}^+\mu \subset \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ with \mathbb{R}^+ by means of the Killing form: First, we denote by H_μ the unique element in $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $\mu(X) = \langle X, H_\mu \rangle$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$. Then

$$\mu(X) = |\mu| \langle X, H_{\mu/|\mu|} \rangle, \quad X \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*, \quad |\mu| \in \mathbb{R}^+. \quad (6.37)$$

We can now fix $\mu \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ and $H := H_{\mu/|\mu|} \in \mathfrak{a}^*$. Using these identifications we make from now on no difference between $|\mu|$ and μ . We rewrite the integrals (6.35) in the form (note that ρ “remains” an element of \mathfrak{a}^*)

$$L_\mu(a)(g) = \int_{\overline{N}} e^{-i\mu \langle H(\overline{n}), H \rangle} e^{-\rho H(\overline{n})} a(gw\overline{n}^{-1}w^{-1}) d\overline{n}, \quad \mu \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We choose a smooth fundamental domain cutoff function χ . Then $L_\mu(\chi a)(g)$ is an oscillatory integral with real-valued phase function

$$\psi_H : \overline{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \overline{n} \mapsto \langle H(\overline{n}), H \rangle. \quad (6.38)$$

We would be able to compute the critical points and the Hessian form of $n \rightarrow H(n^{-1}w)$ as we did for the other phase functions in Subsection 3.3. However, we do not have to: The point is that ψ_H is the phase in the integral

$$c(\lambda) = \int_{\overline{N}} e^{-(i\lambda + \rho)H(\overline{n})} d\overline{n}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(i\lambda) \in \mathfrak{a}_+^*, \quad (6.39)$$

defining Harish-Chandra's c -function. The calculations concerning the critical points and Hessians of the ψ_H were for example carried out in [Cohn74], §19. The following proposition taken from [DKV83], Section 7, gives the complete description of facts concerning ψ_H . Recall that \overline{N}_H denotes the centralizer of

$H \in \mathfrak{a}$ in \overline{N} . For a root β , let R_β denote the orthogonal projection $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_\beta$. If $g \in G$ is decomposed $g = kan$ corresponding to the Iwasawa decomposition, then we denote its triangular part by $t(g) = an \in AN$. Writing, as usual, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ for the Killing form, we denote in the next Proposition by (\cdot, \cdot) the inner product $Z, Z' \mapsto -\langle Z, \theta Z' \rangle$ on $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}$.

Proposition 6.31. *Let $H \in \mathfrak{a}$. The critical set of ψ_H is equal to \overline{N}_H . For the Hessian of ψ_H at the critical points we have the formula*

$$\text{Hess}_{\overline{n}}(\overline{Y}, \overline{Y}') = - \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \alpha(H) (\theta R_\alpha(\overline{Y}^{t(\overline{n})}) - R_{-\alpha}(\overline{Y}^{t(\overline{n})}), R_{-\alpha}(\overline{Y}^{t(\overline{n})})),$$

valid for $\overline{n} \in \overline{N}_H$ and $\overline{Y}, \overline{Y}' \in \overline{n}$. The index of the Hessian $\text{Hess}_{\overline{n}}$ at any point of \overline{N}_H is

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+, \alpha(H) < 0} \dim(\mathfrak{g}_\alpha).$$

Let \overline{n}_H denote the Lie algebra of the closed subgroup \overline{N}_H of \overline{N} . Write \overline{n}_λ for the eigenspace of $\text{ad}(H)$ in \overline{n} for the eigenvalue $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, with respect to the Lie algebra decomposition $\overline{n} = \overline{n}_H \oplus \bigoplus_{\lambda \neq 0} \overline{n}_\lambda$ (cf. [DKV83] Corollary 7.3), the matrix $\text{Hess}_{\overline{n}}$ is diagonal and ψ_H is clean.

Remark 6.32. It is clear that Proposition 6.31 still holds if $H \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$: The case of complex H is dealt by passing to the real and imaginary part of ψ_H , since by uniqueness of real and imaginary parts a point is critical for ψ_H if and only if it is critical for both $\psi_{\text{Re}(H)}$ and $\psi_{\text{Im}(H)}$. In this way we could also handle complex μ in (6.37) with no extra work. However, in view of our results of Section 5, we only consider real eigenvalue parameters. Anyway, the method of stationary phase only applies for phase functions with non-negative imaginary part.

6.3.2 Asymptotic expansions for the Knapp-Stein intertwiner

Recall that an element $X \in \mathfrak{p}$ is called regular, if $Z(X) \cap \mathfrak{p}$ is a maximal abelian subspace of \mathfrak{p} . We call an element $\mu \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ regular, if H_μ is regular, where H_μ is the vector in \mathfrak{a} such that $\mu(X) = \langle X, H_\mu \rangle$ (Killing form) for all $X \in \mathfrak{a}$. The centralizer of a regular element $X \in \mathfrak{a}$ in N (resp. \overline{N}) is the trivial subgroup $\{e\}$ of G . If G/K has rank one, then all nonzero elements of \mathfrak{a} (resp. \mathfrak{a}^*) are regular.

We fix a regular $\mu \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ and write $H = H_\mu \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $\psi = \psi_{H_\mu}$. Then $\psi(e) = 0$ and for the amplitude $\alpha(\overline{n}) = e^{-\rho H(\overline{n})} \chi a(gw\overline{n}^{-1}w^{-1})$ we have $\alpha(e) = \chi a(g)$. Let $s = \dim(N) = \dim(\overline{N})$. It follows from Proposition 6.31 that after the coordinate change (6.36) the function $n \mapsto \langle H(n^{-1}w), H_\mu \rangle$ has the unique critical point $n = e$ and its Hessian form at $n = e$ is non-degenerate. The method of stationary phase ([Hor83]) yields

$$L_\mu(\chi a)(g) \sim C \cdot (2\pi/\mu)^{s/2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu^{-n} R_{2n}(\chi a)(g), \quad (6.40)$$

where R_{2n} is a differential operator on G of order $2n$ and R_0 is the identity. If Q denotes the Hessian matrix at the critical point, then

$$C = |\det Q|^{-1/2} e^{\pi i \operatorname{sign}(Q)/4}. \quad (6.41)$$

One could also show $C \cdot (2\pi/\mu)^{s/2} \sim c(\mu)$ for the factor in (6.40) by applying the method of stationary phase to the integrals (6.39) and using Proposition (6.31).

Lemma 6.33. *For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the operator R_{2n} arising in the expansion (6.40) is a left-invariant differential operator on G/M .*

Proof. We can replace χa in (6.40) by an arbitrary $a \in C_c^\infty(G/M)$. The coefficients $R_{2n}(a)(g)$ are independent of μ and hence uniquely determined. Since $L_\mu(a)$ is M -invariant, it follows that $R_{2n}(a)(g) = R_{2n}(a)(gm)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $g \in G$, $m \in M$, $a \in C_c^\infty(G/M)$. Hence

$$R_{2n} : C_c^\infty(G/M) \rightarrow C_c^\infty(G/M)$$

is a linear operator. To see that R_{2n} is a local operator, take $a \in C_c^\infty(G/M)$. Then $K := \operatorname{supp}(a) \subset\subset G/M$ is compact. Write $\pi : G \rightarrow G/M$ and set $V = \pi^{-1}(K)$. Then $\operatorname{supp}_G(R_{2n}(a)) \subset V$, since R_{2n} is a differential operator on G . Thus $\operatorname{supp} R_{2n}(a) \subset K$. It follows that $R_{2n} : C_c^\infty(G/M) \rightarrow C_c^\infty(G/M)$ decreases supports, so by Peetre's theorem it is a differential operator on G/M . The same reasoning shows that the R_{2n} are left-invariant. \square

6.4 An integral formula

In this subsection we prove an important integral formula involving the Radon transform, intermediate values and the intertwining operators.

Lemma 6.34. *Let $a \in C_c^\infty(G/M) = C_c^\infty(X \times B)$ and $(b, b') \in B^{(2)}$. Then*

$$\mathcal{R}_{\lambda, \mu}(L_\mu a)(b, b') = \int_X a(z, b) e^{(i\lambda + \rho)\langle z, b \rangle} e^{(i\mu + \rho)\langle z, b' \rangle} dz. \quad (6.42)$$

Proof. Let $g \in G$ such that $(b, b') = (g \cdot M, g \cdot wM)$. We manipulate the right side of (6.42): First note that since dz is G -invariant we obtain

$$\int_X a(z, b) e^{(i\lambda + \rho)\langle z, b \rangle} e^{(i\mu + \rho)\langle z, b' \rangle} dz = \int_X a(g \cdot z, b) e^{(i\lambda + \rho)\langle g \cdot z, b \rangle} e^{(i\mu + \rho)\langle g \cdot z, b' \rangle} dz. \quad (6.43)$$

We consider a as a function on $G/M \cong X \times B$. Then since $b = g \cdot o$ it follows that $a(gan \cdot o, g \cdot M) = a(gan \cdot o, gan \cdot M) = a(ganM)$. Recall that $P = MAN$ fixes $b_\infty = M \in K/M$. By (2.10) we find that (6.43) equals

$$\int_{AN} a(ganM) e^{(i\lambda + \rho)\langle gan \cdot o, g \cdot M \rangle} e^{(i\mu + \rho)\langle gan \cdot o, g \cdot wM \rangle} dn da. \quad (6.44)$$

We first have

$$\langle gan \cdot o, g \cdot M \rangle = \langle gan \cdot o, gan \cdot M \rangle = H(gan) = H(ga). \quad (6.45)$$

Next, by (2.27), by the definition of $\langle z, b \rangle$ and since $a \cdot wM = wM$ for all $a \in A$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle gan \cdot o, g \cdot wM \rangle &= \langle ga \cdot n \cdot o, ga \cdot wM \rangle \\ &= \langle n \cdot o, wM \rangle + \langle ga \cdot o, ga \cdot wM \rangle \\ &= -H(n^{-1}w) + H(gaw). \end{aligned} \quad (6.46)$$

It follows that (6.44) equals

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{AN} a(ganM) e^{(i\lambda+\rho)H(ga)} e^{(i\mu+\rho)H(gaw)} e^{-(i\mu+\rho)H(n^{-1}w)} dn da \\ &= \int_A d_{\lambda,\mu}(gaM) \int_N a(ganM) e^{-(i\mu+\rho)H(n^{-1}w)} dn da \\ &= \int_A d_{\lambda,\mu}(gaM) L_\mu a(gaM) da \\ &= \mathcal{R}_{\lambda,\mu}(L_\mu a)(b, b'). \end{aligned} \quad (6.47)$$

Note that $\mathcal{R}_{\lambda,\mu}(L_\mu a)(b, b') = \mathcal{R}_{\lambda,\mu}(L_\mu a)(g)$ is defined if a has compact support. This follows from the Fubini theorem and the often used formula given in (2.10). The lemma is proven. \square

Remark 6.35. If $g\tilde{m}\tilde{a}$ is another representative of $gMA \in G/MA$, then in (6.47)

$$\int_A d_{\lambda,\mu}(g\tilde{m}\tilde{a}aM) \int_N a(g\tilde{m}\tilde{a}anM) e^{-(i\mu+\rho)H(n^{-1}w)} dn da. \quad (6.48)$$

Since A is unimodular we get rid of \tilde{a} . Moreover, $H(n^{-1}w)$ is preserved under $n^{-1} \mapsto \tilde{m}^{-1}n^{-1}\tilde{m}$, since $H(kan) = \log(a)$ is M -bi-invariant and w normalizes M . Then by Remark 6.29 we find that (6.47) and (6.48) coincide. Hence the proof of Lemma 6.34 does not depend on the choice of representative of $g(b, b')MA$.

Remark 6.36. (1) If X has rank one can show that $H(n^{-1}w) = H(nw)$ for all $n \in N$. This follows from [GASS], Ch. II, §6, Thm. 6.1). Hence in these cases we obtain a slight simplification of the formulae above. In general, the formula $H(n^{-1}w) = H(nw)$ is not correct. It is easy to find counterexamples for example in $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$, where $\text{Ad}_G(w)|_{\mathfrak{a}} \neq -\text{id}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ (see Section 2.4.2).

(2) In the notation of [AZ07], we identify $i\lambda + \rho = \frac{1}{2} + ir$. Then $d_\lambda(b, b')$ and $|b - b'|^{-\frac{1}{2}-ir}$ satisfy the same equivariance property. By the transitivity of the G -action on $B^{(2)}$ these function are constant multiples of each other. This explains the factor $2^{\frac{1}{2}+ir}$ in [AZ07]. It appears because $|1 - (-1)| = 2$ in the disk model, whereas we defined d_λ such that $d_\lambda(M, wM) = 1$.

(2) The intertwining operator

$$L_r a(g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} a(gn_u)(1+u^2)^{-(\frac{1}{2}+ir)} du$$

introduced in [AZ07] is generalized by our intertwiner

$$L_\lambda a(g) = \int_N a(gn) e^{-(i\lambda+\rho)(H(n^{-1}w))} dn.$$

In the notation of [AZ07], we always identify $i\lambda + \rho = \frac{1}{2} + ir$. The group $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ has the following Iwasawa decomposition components:

$$\begin{aligned} k_\alpha &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\alpha) & -\sin(\alpha) \\ \sin(\alpha) & \cos(\alpha) \end{pmatrix} & a_t &= \begin{pmatrix} e^{t/2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-t/2} \end{pmatrix} \\ n_u &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & w &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

It suffices to prove $H(n_u^{-1}w) = \ln(1+u^2)$ for all $u \in \mathbb{R}$. Writing out $n_u w$ gives

$$n_u w = \begin{pmatrix} -u & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have the following cases: $u = 0$, $u < 0$ and $u > 0$.

- (i) $u = 0$. Then $n_u w = w \in K$, so the formula is obvious.
- (ii) $u < 0$. Let $t = \ln(1+u^2)$, $\alpha = -\arcsin(\frac{1}{e^{t/2}})$. Then let n_v be the element $n_v := a_t^{-1} k_\alpha^{-1} n_u w$. Multiplying out shows that n_v is of the form

$$n_v = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & v \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $k_\alpha a_t n_v = n_u^{-1} w$, so $H(n_u^{-1} w) = t = \ln(1+u^2)$.

- (iii) $u > 0$. This case is very similar to the preceding case (ii). The formula also follows from $H(n_u w) = H(n_u^{-1} w) = H(n_{-u} w)$, since in this example G/K has rank one.

6.5 Eigenfunctions on a compact quotient

As before, let $X = G/K$ denote a symmetric space of the noncompact type with Laplace-Beltrami operator L_X . Let Γ denote a cocompact, discrete and torsion free subgroup Γ of G and let $X_\Gamma := \Gamma \backslash G/K$ be given the quotient metric. Then X_Γ is a compact hyperbolic manifold and a locally symmetric space. We write Δ for the Laplace operator of X_Γ .

Let $0 = c_0 < c_1 < c_2 < \dots$ denote the discrete spectrum of $-\Delta$ on X_Γ (cf. Subsection 2.3.1). We choose a corresponding complete Hilbert space basis (φ_j) of $L^2(X_\Gamma)$ consisting of normalized (with respect to the L^2 -norm of X_Γ) eigenfunctions of Δ . Then

$$\Delta\varphi_j = -c_j\varphi_j \quad \text{for all } j \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (6.49)$$

Let π denote the natural projection of X onto X_Γ . Then π is a local isometry and since the Laplace operator is isometry-invariant, π intertwines the Laplace operators L_X of X and Δ of X_Γ . It follows that an eigenfunction on X_Γ (for the Laplacian of X_Γ) is a Γ -invariant eigenfunction on X (for the Laplacian of X).

A Γ -invariant eigenfunction of L_X is called an *automorphic eigenfunction*. Thus, (6.49) corresponds to the automorphic eigenvalue problem

$$\begin{aligned} L_X\varphi &= -c\varphi, \\ \varphi(\gamma z) &= \varphi(z) \text{ for all } \gamma \in \Gamma \text{ and for all } z \in G/K. \end{aligned}$$

The rank of an algebra is defined as the maximal number of pairwise commuting generators of the algebra. The rank of the algebra $\mathbb{D}(G/K)$ of translation invariant differential operators equals the real rank of G/K , that is the number $\dim(A)$, where $G = KAN$ is an Iwasawa decomposition, or equivalently the dimension of a maximal flat subspace of G/K . It follows that if X has higher rank ≥ 2 , the φ_j chosen above may not necessarily be joint eigenfunctions of $\mathbb{D}(G/K)$. However, if X has rank one, then this is true (Remark 2.46). In particular, if X has rank one, the joint eigenspaces are given by $(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ denotes the extension of the Killing form to $\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$)

$$\mathcal{E}_\lambda(X) = \{f \in \mathcal{E}(X) : L_X f = -(\langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle + \langle \rho, \rho \rangle)f\}.$$

Suppose that $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_\lambda^*$, where $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$, is a Γ -invariant joint eigenfunction of G/K . Then $(|\cdot|)$ denotes the norm on \mathfrak{a}^* induced by the Killing form of \mathfrak{g})

$$D\varphi = -(\langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle + |\rho|^2) \varphi \quad \text{for all } D \in \mathbb{D}(G/K).$$

6.5.1 The rank one case

Recall the situation when the symmetric space has rank one: We only consider joint eigenfunctions with exponential growth. Given such a φ_j , it follows that there is $\lambda_j \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$ such that $c_j = -(\langle \lambda_j, \lambda_j \rangle + \langle \rho, \rho \rangle)$. Then

$$\Delta\varphi_j = -(\langle \lambda_j, \lambda_j \rangle + \langle \rho, \rho \rangle)\varphi_j. \quad (6.50)$$

We can then fix the eigenvalue parameters λ_j corresponding to the spectrum

$$0 = c_0 < c_1 < c_2 < \dots$$

It follows from $\langle \rho, \rho \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$ that $\langle \lambda_j, \lambda_j \rangle \rightarrow \infty$ ($j \rightarrow \infty$). Suppose that X has rank one. Then for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we must have $\lambda_j \in \mathfrak{a}^* \cup i\mathfrak{a}^*$, where $i = \sqrt{-1}$. We can hence for at most finitely many j have $\lambda_j \in i\mathfrak{a}^*$, that is only finitely many λ_j are contained in the so-called *complementary series*. All remaining λ_j are contained in the unitary principal series, which we have studied in Section 5. We will in this context sometimes also write $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, which means $\lambda(H) \rightarrow \infty$ for each H in the positive Weyl chamber \mathfrak{a}^+ .

6.5.2 Wigner distributions

Given a joint eigenfunction $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_\lambda^*(X)$, we denote the corresponding (uniquely determined) distributional boundary values by $T_\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(B)$ (Theorem 5.3). Then

$$\varphi(z) = \int_B e^{(i\lambda+\rho)\langle z, b \rangle} T_\varphi(db), \quad z \in X.$$

Recall that given $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$ and $b \in B$, the functions

$$e_{\lambda, b} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad z \mapsto e^{(i\lambda+\rho)\langle z, b \rangle}.$$

are called non-Euclidean plane waves. The symmetric space calculus of pseudodifferential operators (Chapter 4) is defined by

$$(Op(a)e_{\lambda, b})(z) = a(z, \lambda, b)e_{\lambda, b}(z). \quad (6.51)$$

Non-Euclidean Fourier analysis extends this definition to $C_c^\infty(X)$. We always assume that the symbol $a : X \times B \times \mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of $Op(a)$ is a polyhomogeneous function in λ in the classical sense defined in (4.26). We know from Section 4.2 that $Op(a)$ commutes with the action of $\gamma \in \Gamma$ if and only if a is invariant under the diagonal action of Γ on $X \times B = G/M$. We will from now on always assume that $Op(a)$ is properly supported. In the non-Euclidean calculus we then have

$$Op(a)\varphi(z) = \int_B a(z, \lambda, b)e^{(i\lambda+\rho)\langle z, b \rangle} T_\varphi(db). \quad (6.52)$$

Definition 6.37. Let $\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$ and suppose that $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_\lambda^*(X)$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{E}_\mu^*(X)$ are $L^2(X_\Gamma)$ -normalized and Γ -invariant joint eigenfunctions of $\mathbb{D}(G/K)$. We define the Wigner distributions $W_{\varphi, \psi}$ associated to φ and ψ on $C^\infty(\Gamma \backslash G/M)$ by

$$W_{\varphi, \psi}(a) := \langle Op(a)\varphi, \psi \rangle_{L^2(X_\Gamma)}. \quad (6.53)$$

We view $a \in C^\infty(\Gamma \backslash G/M)$ as a symbol $a \in S^0$, which is independent of λ . Note that $W_{\varphi, \psi}$ is a well-defined distribution: Using the boundary values, we express (as we will do in (6.56)) the L^2 -inner product by means of the Poisson transform and obtain the distribution

$$W_{\varphi, \psi} = e^{(i\lambda+\rho)\langle z, b \rangle} e^{(i\mu+\rho)\langle z, b' \rangle} dz T_\varphi(db) T_\psi(db'). \quad (6.54)$$

Hence $W_{\varphi,\psi}(a)$ is bounded by a continuous $C^\infty(\Gamma \backslash G/M)$ -seminorm of a . In the special case when $\varphi = \psi$ we write $W_\varphi := W_{\varphi,\varphi}$.

Let X have rank one. Recall from 6.5.1 the fixed basis (φ_j) of eigenfunctions of Δ . We denote the corresponding boundary values by T_j . Then $\varphi_j = P_{\lambda_j}(T_j)$ by means of the Poisson-Helgason transform, where λ_j is as in Subsection 6.5. We will then write $W_{j,k} := W_{\varphi_j,\varphi_k}$.

Remark 6.38. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_\lambda^*(X)$ and $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}$ be real valued. The distributions W_φ are *quantum time reversible* in the following sense: Let $Cf = \bar{f}$ denote complex conjugation and write $\mathcal{C}a(z, \lambda, b) = \bar{a}(z, -\lambda, b)$. We have $COp(a)C = Op(\mathcal{C}a)$ by a direct computation. Hence $\langle COp(a)C\varphi, \varphi \rangle = \langle Op(a)\varphi, \varphi \rangle$, so $\mathcal{C}^*W_\lambda = W_\lambda$.

6.5.3 An intertwining formula

Asymptotic properties of Wigner distributions only concern principal symbols. We hence assume symbols $a(z, \lambda, b)$ of order 0 to be independent of λ . Recall that if χ is a smooth fundamental domain cutoff function, then $W_{\varphi,\psi}(a) = \langle Op(\chi a)\varphi, \psi \rangle_{L^2(X)}$.

Remark 6.39. In what follows we need a certain amount of regularity for the boundary values we work with. From now on, we will always work with distributional boundary values which are actually functions, that is $T_\varphi \in L^1(B)$, the space of integrable functions on B .

Theorem 6.40. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_\lambda^*(X)$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{E}_\mu^*(X)$ be Γ -invariant joint eigenfunctions with respective boundary values $T_\varphi \in L^1(B)$ and $T_\psi \in L^1(B)$. Let ψ be real-valued. Then for $a \in C^\infty(\Gamma \backslash G/M)$ we have

$$W_{\varphi,\psi}(a) = \langle L_\mu(\chi a), PS_{\lambda,\mu} \rangle. \quad (6.55)$$

Proof. We express this $L^2(X)$ -inner product by means of the Poisson-Helgason transform formula (5.1):

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Op(\chi a)\varphi, \psi \rangle_{L^2(X)} &= \int_X (Op(\chi a)\varphi)(z)\psi(z) dz \\ &= \int_{B \times B} \left(\int_X (\chi a)(z, b) e^{(i\lambda + \rho)\langle z, b \rangle} e^{(i\mu + \rho)\langle z, b' \rangle} dz \right) T_\varphi(db) T_\psi(db'). \end{aligned} \quad (6.56)$$

It follows from Lemma 6.34 that $\mathcal{R}_{\lambda,\mu}(L_\mu \chi a)(b, b')$ extends to a smooth function on $B \times B$, which is given by the inner X -integral above. Then (6.56) equals

$$\langle \mathcal{R}_{\lambda,\mu}(L_\mu \chi a), T_\varphi \otimes T_\psi \rangle_{B \times B} = \langle L_\mu(\chi a), PS_{\lambda,\mu} \rangle, \quad (6.57)$$

and the theorem is proven. \square

Remark 6.41. If $\varphi = \psi$ and $\lambda = \mu$, then (6.57) shows

$$\begin{aligned} W_\varphi(a) &= \langle d_\lambda \mathcal{R}(L_\lambda \chi a), T_\varphi \otimes T_\varphi \rangle_{B \times B} \\ &= \langle \mathcal{R}(L_\lambda \chi a), ps_\lambda \rangle_{G/M} \\ &= \langle L_\lambda(\chi a), PS_\lambda \rangle_{G/M}. \end{aligned}$$

6.6 The spectral order principle

Let $X = G/K$ have rank one. As usual, we identify \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{a}^* with \mathbb{R} by means of the Killing form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$: The unit vector (w.r.t. the Killing form) $H \in \mathfrak{a}_+$ and the linear functional $\lambda_0 \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ given by $\lambda_0(X) = \langle X, H \rangle$ are identified with the real number 1.

In this section we introduce an idea which we call the *spectral order principle*. This principle is geared to explain asymptotic relations between phase space distributions and Wigner-distributions. To be as general as possible, we let $Op : C^\infty(SX_\Gamma) \rightarrow B(L^2(SX_\Gamma))$ denote an arbitrary operator convention.

Let $\{\varphi_\lambda\}$ denote a family of Γ -invariant joint eigenfunctions $\varphi_\lambda \in \mathcal{E}_\lambda^*$ to spectral parameters $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$, which are all normalized w.r.t. the norm of $L^2(X_\Gamma)$. Recall that Γ -invariant distributions on SX descend to distributions on SX_Γ by using smooth fundamental domain cutoff functions. We fix a smooth fundamental domain cutoff function χ .

Definition 6.42 (Intertwining operator). We say a family $\{T_{\lambda,\mu}\} \subset \mathcal{D}'(SX)$ of Γ -invariant distributions is *intertwined* with the Wigner distributions $W_{\varphi_\lambda, \varphi_\mu}$ if for each μ there is a linear operator $L_\mu : C_c^\infty(SX) \rightarrow C_c^\infty(SX)$ such that

$$W_{\varphi_\lambda, \varphi_\mu}(a) = T_{\lambda,\mu}(L_\mu(\chi a)) \quad \forall a \in C_c^\infty(SX_\Gamma). \quad (6.58)$$

The operators L_μ are called *intertwining operators*.

Definition 6.43 (Spectral order of a distribution). Let $\{T_{\lambda,\mu}\} \subset \mathcal{D}'(SX)$ denote a family of distributions. We say that $\{T_{\lambda,\mu}\} \subset \mathcal{D}'(SX)$ has *spectral order* $K \in \mathbb{R}$ if there is a continuous seminorm $\|\cdot\|$ on $C_c^\infty(SX)$ such that for all λ, μ

$$|T_{\lambda,\mu}(f)| \leq (1 + |\lambda|)^K (1 + |\mu|)^K \cdot \|f\| \quad \forall f \in C_c^\infty(SX). \quad (6.59)$$

Definition 6.44 (Left-invariant asymptotic expansion). Let $L_\mu : C_c^\infty(SX) \rightarrow C_c^\infty(SX)$ be a family of intertwining operators (in the sense of 6.42). Suppose that there is an asymptotic expansion

$$L_\mu(a)(gM) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \mu^{-j-s/2} R_j(a)(gM) \quad (6.60)$$

in the sense that $|L_\mu(a) - \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \mu^{-j-s/2} R_j(a)| \leq C_N (1 + |\mu|)^{-N}$, where $s \in \mathbb{R}$ is a constant and where the $R_j : C_c^\infty(SX) \rightarrow C_c^\infty(SX)$ are differential operators on SX . We say that (6.60) is a *left-invariant asymptotic expansion*, if the R_j are left-invariant differential operators.

Suppose that $T_{\lambda,\mu} \in \mathcal{D}'(SX_\Gamma)$ is a distribution depending on two spectral parameters, with $T_{\mu,\mu}(1) \neq 0$. We denote by $\widehat{T}_{\lambda,\mu} \in \mathcal{D}'(SX_\Gamma)$ the normalized distribution

$$\langle \widehat{T}_{\lambda,\mu}, f \rangle := \frac{\langle T, f \rangle}{\langle T_{\mu,\mu}, 1 \rangle}. \quad (6.61)$$

Theorem 6.45. *Suppose that $\{T_{\lambda,\mu}\}$ is a family of distributions of spectral order K which is intertwined with the Wigner distributions W_λ by the uniformly continuous (in μ) intertwining operators L_μ . Let the L_μ have an asymptotic expansion with left-invariant coefficients. Suppose $\mathcal{O}(|\lambda|^{-1}) = \mathcal{O}(|\mu|^{-1})$. Let $a \in C^\infty(SX_\Gamma)$. Then we have the asymptotic equivalence*

$$W_{\lambda,\mu}(a) = \widehat{T}_{\lambda,\mu}(a) + \mathcal{O}(\mu^{-1}). \quad (6.62)$$

The constant in the \mathcal{O} -term is a $C^\infty(SX_\Gamma)$ -seminorm of a .

Proof. We copy the asymptotic argument given in [AZ07]. First, integrating (6.60) with respect to $T_{\lambda,\mu}$ and comparing with (6.58) we get an asymptotic expansion (in the sense of (6.63))

$$\langle Op(a)\varphi_\lambda, \varphi_\mu \rangle_{SX_\Gamma} \sim \sum_{n \leq 0} \mu^{-n-s/2} \langle R_n(\chi a), T_{\lambda,\mu} \rangle_{SX}.$$

Note that the coefficients of this expansion depend on the spectral parameters. By left-invariance, each distribution

$$f \mapsto \langle R_n(f), T_{\lambda,\mu} \rangle_{SX}$$

is Γ -invariant, so by Proposition 6.18, the functional

$$a \mapsto \langle R_n(\chi a), T_{\lambda,\mu} \rangle_{SX}$$

defines a distribution on SX_Γ and the first term (for $n = 0$) is $T_{\lambda,\mu}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Op(a)\varphi_\lambda, \varphi_\mu \rangle_{SX} &= \langle L_\mu(\chi a), T_{\lambda,\mu} \rangle_{SX} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^N \mu^{-n-s/2} \langle R_n(\chi a), T_{\lambda,\mu} \rangle + \mathcal{O}(\mu^{-N-1+2K}). \end{aligned} \quad (6.63)$$

We choose $N > 2K$. Since R_0 is the identity, the operator $L_\mu^{(N)} = \sum_{n=0}^N \mu^{-n} R_n$ can be inverted up to $\mathcal{O}(\mu^{-N-1})$, i.e. one finds differential operators $M_\mu^{(N)} = \sum_{n=0}^N \mu^{-n} M_n$, where $M_0 = \text{id}$, and $R_\mu^{(N)}$, such that

$$L_\mu^{(N)} M_\mu^{(N)} = \text{id} + \mu^{-N-1} R_\mu^{(N)}.$$

We apply 6.58 to $M_\mu^{(N)}(a)$ and find

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Op(M_\mu^{(N)} a)\varphi_\lambda, \varphi_\mu \rangle_{SX_\Gamma} &= \langle L_\mu^{(N)} \chi M_\mu^{(N)} a, T_{\lambda,\mu} \rangle_{SX} + \mathcal{O}(\mu^{-N-1+2K}) \\ &= \langle L_\mu^{(N)} M_\mu^{(N)} \chi a, T_{\lambda,\mu} \rangle_{SX} + \mathcal{O}(\mu^{-N-1+2K}) \\ &= \langle a, T_{\lambda,\mu} \rangle_{SX_\Gamma} + \mathcal{O}(\mu^{-N-1+2K}). \end{aligned}$$

The second line is a consequence of Proposition 6.18. But

$$M_\mu^{(N)}(a) = a + \mu^{-1} (M_1 + \dots + \mu^{-N+1} M_N)(a),$$

so by the L^2 -continuity of zero-order pseudodifferential operators,

$$\langle Op(M_\mu^{(N)}(a))\varphi_\lambda, \varphi_\mu \rangle_{L^2(X_\Gamma)} = \langle Op(a)\varphi_\lambda, \varphi_\mu \rangle_{L^2(X_\Gamma)} + \mathcal{O}(1/\mu).$$

This proves

$$\langle a, T_{\lambda,\mu} \rangle_{SX_\Gamma} = \langle Op(a)\varphi_\lambda, \varphi_\mu \rangle_{SX_\Gamma} + \mathcal{O}(1/\mu). \quad (6.64)$$

Putting $\langle a, T_{\lambda,\mu} \rangle = \langle 1, T_{\mu,\mu} \rangle \langle a, \widehat{T}_{\lambda,\mu} \rangle$ into (6.64) we obtain

$$\langle 1, T_{\mu,\mu} \rangle \cdot \langle a, \widehat{T}_{\lambda,\mu} \rangle = \langle a, W_{\lambda,\mu} \rangle + \mathcal{O}(1/\mu). \quad (6.65)$$

In particular, for $a = 1$, we get

$$\langle 1, T_{\mu,\mu} \rangle_{SX_\Gamma} = 1 + \mathcal{O}(1/\mu).$$

Together with (6.65) this yields

$$(1 + \mathcal{O}(1/\mu)) \cdot \langle a, \widehat{T}_{\lambda,\mu} \rangle = \langle a, W_{\lambda,\mu} \rangle + \mathcal{O}(1/\mu). \quad (6.66)$$

The Wigner distributions and hence by (6.66) the $\langle a, \widehat{T}_{\lambda,\mu} \rangle$ are uniformly bounded. It follows that the left side of (6.66) is asymptotically the same as $\langle a, \widehat{T}_{\lambda,\mu} \rangle$. \square

Remark 6.46. One can weaken some assumptions of the above principle (Theorem 6.45). For example, it is not really necessary to claim $\mathcal{O}(|\lambda|^{-1}) = \mathcal{O}(|\mu|^{-1})$. The condition $\mathcal{O}(|\lambda|^{-1}) \leq \mathcal{O}(|\mu|^{-L})$ for an $L \geq 1$ will still be sufficient: We can then choose $N > 2LK$ in the above asymptotic expansions. Moreover, the condition that the intertwiners L_μ preserve compact supports is not necessary, if the expression $T_{\lambda,\mu}(L_\mu(\chi a))$ still makes sense for $a \in C^\infty(SX_\Gamma)$, and if for $f = L_\mu(\chi a)$ the spectral estimate (6.59) is still satisfied.

The problem is to show that the spectral order principle (or a version with weaker assumptions) can be applied to the intertwining formula 6.40 for the non-Euclidean Wigner distributions, the Patterson-Sullivan distributions, and the Knapp-Stein intertwiners. I will now describe what the concrete problems are and restrict these considerations to the case of diagonal elements ($\varphi = \psi$, $\lambda = \mu$). Let $f \in C_c^\infty(G/M)$. The values $|d_\lambda(b, b')|$ are independent of λ and all derivatives of d_λ have polynomial growth in λ . It follows that given a continuous seminorm $\|\cdot\|_1$ on $C^\infty(B \times B)$ there exist $K_1 > 0$ and a continuous seminorm $\|\cdot\|_2$ on $C_c^\infty(G/M)$ such that

$$\|d_\lambda(b, b')\mathcal{R}(f)(b, b')\|_1 \leq (1 + |\lambda|)^{K_1} \|f\|_2. \quad (6.67)$$

Note that $\|\cdot\|_2$ may depend on the support of f . Assume $d_\lambda(b, b')\mathcal{R}(f)(b, b') \in C^\infty(B \times B)$. Then $PS_\lambda(f)$ is well-defined. A simple example is when $f \in C_c^\infty(SX) = C_c^\infty(SX)$. Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*$. In this case, it follows from (5.22), (6.28)

and (6.67) that there exist $K > 0$ and a continuous seminorm $\|\cdot\|_2$ on $C_c^\infty(SX)$ (possibly depending on the support of f) such that

$$|PS_\lambda(f)| \leq (1 + |\lambda|)^K \|f\|_2. \quad (6.68)$$

It is stated in [AZ07] (equation (3.14) there) that there is a seminorm independent of the function f . I cannot find such an estimate. However, even if we would have this equation for $f \in C_c^\infty(SX)$, another problem would occur in the well-definedness of the intertwining formula from Theorem 6.40: The Knapp-Stein intertwiners do not preserve compact supports, so the intertwining formula can only be understood formally in the sense of continuation from $B^{(2)}$ to $B \times B$ (Lemma 6.34). The problem is that for the ps_λ -distributions there is no spectral order estimate in the sense of (6.59) for the enlarged domain $d_\lambda(b, b')^{-1} \cdot C^\infty(B \times B)$. For the PS -distributions, the constant K and the seminorm $\|\cdot\|_2$ cannot be used in a proof of 6.45, since the remainder terms in the asymptotic expansion (6.40) are not compactly supported.

For $a \in C^\infty(\Gamma \backslash G/M)$, let $f_{a, \lambda, \mu}(b, b') \in C^\infty(B \times B)$ denote the inner X -integral in (6.56). The intertwining formula in Theorem 6.40 is understood in the sense of $\langle L_\mu(\chi a), PS_{\lambda, \mu} \rangle_{G/M} = \langle f_{a, \lambda, \mu}, T_\lambda \otimes T_\lambda \rangle_{B \times B}$. In this sense, (5.22) yields $|\langle L_\mu(\chi a), PS_{\lambda, \mu} \rangle| \leq (1 + |\lambda|)^K (1 + |\mu|)^K \|\chi a\|$, where $\|\cdot\|$ is a seminorm on $C^\infty(G/M)$ and only depends on the support of χ .

6.6.1 Further remarks and some open questions

- (1) Recall that the intertwining formula is the same in each case. One could conjecture that the asymptotic argument given in the proof of the spectral order principle can be generalized to all symmetric spaces of the noncompact type. It should be conjectured that most limits of Wigner distributions are A -invariant (see [SV]. Similar results are announced by L. Silberman and N. Anantharaman). In view of Remark 6.27, we see that limits of Patterson-Sullivan distributions, as defined via $B^{(2)}$ will not always be A -invariant.
- (2) It is in some cases possible to modify the definitions and to obtain off-diagonal $ps_{\lambda, \mu}$ -distributions: For simplicity, let G/K have rank one, so that the function $d_\lambda(b, b')$ exists. Recall

$$\mathcal{R}_{\lambda, \mu} f(b, b') = \int_A d_{\lambda, \mu}(g(b, b')a) f(g(b, b')a) da. \quad (6.69)$$

The choice of $g = g(b, b')$ was immaterial (modulo MA), so if we assume $H(g) = 0$, then $d_{\lambda, \mu}(g) = e^{(i\lambda + \rho)H(g)} e^{(i\mu + \rho)H(gw)} = d_\mu(b, b')$. One can then define the distributions $ps_{\lambda, \mu}(db, db') = d_\mu(b, b') T_\lambda(db) T_\mu(db')$ and $\widetilde{PS}_{\lambda, \mu}(f) = ps_{\lambda, \mu}(\mathcal{R}(f))$. However, $ps_{\lambda, \mu}$ is not Γ -invariant in the off-diagonal case.

- (3) It is possible to express the normalized version of the Patterson-Sullivan distributions by means of Harish-Chandra's c -function. Therefore, a generalization of Lemma 6.4 in [AZ07] is needed, which does not a priori make sense in G/M , since there is no horocycle flow on G/M . However, some of the formulas given in Theorem 1.2 of [AZ07], in particular the one for the normalization of the PS -distributions, generalize to arbitrary symmetric spaces.
- (4) It is still an open question if there is a purely classical dynamical interpretation of the Patterson-Sullivan distributions in terms of closed geodesics (see [AZ07]).

Details concerning these open questions are in progress and will eventually appear later.

References

- [AZ07] N. Anantharaman, S. Zelditch, *Patterson-Sullivan Distributions and Quantum Ergodicity*, Ann. Henri Poincaré 8 (2007), pp. 361-426, Birkhäuser Verlag Basel, Switzerland, 2007.
- [AZ2] N. Anantharaman, S. Zelditch, *Patterson-Sullivan Distributions and Quantum Ergodicity II - Preliminary version*.
- [BB02] P. Blanchard, E. Brüning, *Mathematical Methods in Physics - Distributions, Hilbert Space Operators, and Variational Methods*, Progress in Mathematical Physics Vol. 26. Birkhäuser 2002.
- [BO05] U. Bunke, M. Olbrich, *On Quantum Ergodicity for Vector Bundles*, Springer Science + Business Media B.V. 2006.
- [Cohn74] L. Cohn, Analytic Theory of the Harish-Chandra C-function. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 429, Berlin: Springer-Verlag 1974.
- [CG89] L. Corwin, F. P. Greenleaf, *Representations of nilpotent Lie groups and their applications, Part I*, Cambridge Studies in Adv. Math., No 18, Cambridge Univ. Press (1989).
- [DH97] G. van Dijk, S. C. Hille, *Canonical Representations Related to Hyperbolic Spaces*, journal of functional analysis 147, 109-139 (1997).
- [D78] D. Drucker, *Exceptional Lie algebras and the structure of hermitian symmetric spaces*, Memoirs of the AMS No. 208, 1978.
- [DKV83] J. J. Duistermaat, J. A. C. Kolk and V. S. Varadarajan, *Functions, Flows and oscillatory integrals on flag manifolds and conjugacy classes in real semisimple Lie groups*, Compositio Mathematica 49 (1983) pp. 309-398, Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, The Hague. Printed in the Netherlands.
- [EO] P. Eberlein and B. O'Neill, *Visibility manifolds*, Pacific J. Math. 46 (1973), 45-109.
- [Eber79] P. Eberlein, *Surfaces of nonpositive curvature*, American Mathematical Society, 1979, No. 218: Vol 20.
- [Eber96] P. B. Eberlein, *Geometry of Nonpositively Curved Manifolds*, Lectures in Mathematic Series, The University of Chicago Press, Chicago and London 1996.
- [GO05] S. Grellier and J.-P. Otal, *Bounded Eigenfunctions in the Real Hyperbolic Space*, Int. Math. Res. Not. 2005, no. 62, 3867-3897.
- [GS77] V. Guillemin, S. Sternberg, *Geometric Asymptotics*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island, 1977.

References

- [HC58] Harish-Chandra, *Spherical functions on a semisimple Lie group, II.*, Amer. J. Math. 80 (1958) 553-613.
- [Helg65] S. Helgason, *Radon-Fourier transforms on symmetric spaces and related group representations*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 71 (1965), pp. 757-763
- [Helg70] S. Helgason, *A Duality for Symmetric Spaces with Applications to Group Representations*, Advances in Mathematics 5, 1-154 (1970), Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge.
- [Helg74] S. Helgason, *Eigenspaces of the Laplacian; Integral Representations and Irreducibility*, Journal of Functional Analysis 17, 328-353 (1974), Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge.
- [GASS] S. Helgason, *Geometric Analysis on symmetric spaces*, Mathematical surveys and monographs, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island (1994), ISSN 0076-5376; v. 39.
- [GGA] S. Helgason, *Groups and geometric analysis: integral geometry, invariant differential operators, and spherical functions*, Mathematical surveys and monographs, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island (2000).
- [DS] S. Helgason, *Differential Geometry, Lie Groups, and Symmetric Spaces*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island (2001).
- [H05] J. Hilgert, *An Ergodic Arnold-Liouville Theorem for Locally Symmetric Spaces*, Twenty Years of Białowieża: A Mathematical Anthology. S.T. Ali et al. eds., World Scientific, Singapore, 2005.
- [HP09] J. Hilgert, A. Pasquale, *Resonances and residue operators for symmetric spaces of rank one*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 91 (2009), no. 5, 495-507.
- [HS09] J. Hilgert, M. Schröder, *Patterson-Sullivan distributions for rank one symmetric spaces*, preprint, arXiv:0909.2142v2.
- [Hor65] L. Hörmander, *Pseudo-differential operators*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 18 (1965), p. 501-517.
- [Hor83] L. Hörmander, *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators I*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1983.
- [Hor85] L. Hörmander, *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators III*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg 1985.
- [Hof81] H. C. Im Hof, *Visibility, Horocycles, and the Bruhat decomposition*, in *Global Differential Geometry and Global Analysis*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics Volume 838/1981, p. 149-153, Springer Berlin / Heidelberg.

- [Knapp86] A. W. Knap, *Representation Theory of Semisimple Lie Groups*, Princeton University Press, Princeton (1986).
- [LM63] J. L. Lions, E. Magenes, *Problèmes aux limites non homogènes* (VII) Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 4 63 (1963), 201-224.
- [Nich89] P. J. Nicholls, *The Ergodic Theory of Discrete Groups*, London Math. Soc. Lect. Notes Series 143, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge 1989.
- [Otal98] J.-P. Otal, *Sur les fonctions propres du Laplacien du disque hyperbolique*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, t. 327, Série I, p. 161-166, 1998.
- [Quint06] J.-F. Quint, *An overview of Patterson-Sullivan theory*, Notes de cours, An overview of Patterson-Sullivan theory, Workshop The barycenter method, FIM, Zurich, May 2006. <http://www-math.univ-paris13.fr/~quint/>
- [Rou63] C. Roumieu, *Ultra-distributions définies sur \mathbb{R}^n et sur certaines classes de variétés différentiables*, J. Analyse Math. X (1962-63), 153-192.
- [Rez04] A. Reznikov, *Microlocal lifts of eigenfunctions on hyperbolic surfaces and trilinear invariant functionals*, preprint, arXiv:math/0404294v1.
- [RS94] Z. Rudnick, P. Sarnak, *The behaviour of eigenstates of arithmetic hyperbolic manifolds*, Comm. Math. Phys. 161 (1994), no. 1, 195-213.
- [Schwartz66] L. Schwartz, *Théorie des Distributions*, 2nd e., Hermann, Paris, 1966.
- [SV] L. Silberman and A. Venkatesh, *On quantum unique ergodicity for locally symmetric spaces I*, Geom. Func. Anal. 17 (2007), no. 3, 960-998.
- [Sul79] D. Sullivan, *The density at infinity of a discrete group of hyperbolic motions*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 50 (1979), p. 171-202.
- [Tay81] M. E. Taylor, *Pseudodifferential Operators*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey 1981.
- [Treves67] F. Treves, *Topological Vector Spaces, Distributions and Kernels*, Acad. Press (1967)
- [U80] H. Urakawa, *On the least positive eigenvalue of the Laplacian for the compact quotient of a certain Riemannian symmetric space*, Nagoya Math. J. Vol. 78 (1980), 137-152.
- [Var] V. S. Varadarajan, *Oscillatory integrals and their applications to harmonic analysis on semisimple Lie groups*, preprint.

- [Var97] V. S. Varadarajan, *The Method of Stationary Phase and Applications to Geometry and Analysis on Lie Groups*, Perspectives in Mathematics (1997) pp. 167-242, Academic Press.
- [Wal73] N. R. Wallach, *Harmonic Analysis on Homogeneous Spaces*, in *Pure and Applied Mathematics*, No. 19., Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York (1973).
- [Wal88] N. R. Wallach, *Real reductive groups 1*, Academic Press, Pure and Applied Mathematics, San Diego (1988)
- [War70] F. Warner, *Foundations of Differentiable Manifolds and Lie Groups*, Scott Foresman, Glenview, Illinois, 1970.
- [Wil91] F. L. Williams, *Lectures on the spectrum of $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$* , Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series 242, Essex, England (1991).
- [Zel86] S. Zelditch, *Pseudo-differential Analysis on Hyperbolic surfaces*, Journal of Functional Analysis 68, pp. 72-105 (1986); Department of Mathematics, Columbia University, New York 1986.
- [Zel87] S. Zelditch, *Uniform distribution of eigenfunctions on compact hyperbolic surfaces*, Duke Math. J., Vol. 55, No. 4 (1987)
- [Zel05] S. Zelditch, *Quantum Ergodicity and Mixing*, Expository article for the Encyclopedia of Mathematical Physics on the subject of the title, arXiv:math-ph/0503026v1.
- [Zel09a] S. Zelditch, *Local and global analysis of eigenfunctions on Riemannian manifolds*, preprint, arXiv:0903.3420v1.
- [Zel09b] S. Zelditch, *Recent developments in mathematical quantum chaos - Preliminary version/ comments appreciated*, preprint, arXiv:0911.4312v1.

Index

- $A(g)$, Iwasawa projection $NAK \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$, 21
- A_H , homeomorphism, 27
- B , boundary at infinity, 27
- $B(\cdot, \cdot)$, Killing form, 15
- B_θ , positive definite bilinear form on \mathfrak{g} , 18
- D_j , differential operator, 66
- $D_{\mathfrak{a}}$, projection of $D \in \mathbb{D}(G)$ onto $\mathbb{D}(A)$, 39
- $E_{\mathfrak{a}}$, projection, 52
- $E_{\mu, \lambda}$, function, 77
- G , real semisimple Lie group with finite center, 16
- G , semisimple Lie group, 13
- $H(g)$, Iwasawa projection $KAN \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$, 21
- $H_\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}$, root vector, 19
- H_γ , representation in \mathfrak{a} of $\gamma \in \mathfrak{a}^*$, 24
- H_χ^∞ , representation space, 94
- K , maximal compact subgroup of G , 13, 16
- $L^1(B)$, space of integrable functions on B , 121
- L_A , Laplace operator on $A \cdot o$, 40
- L_g , left translation, 54
- L_λ , Knapp-Stein intertwiner, 113
- M , centralizer of A in K , 20
- M' , normalizer of A in K , 20
- $N_K(\mathfrak{a})$, normalizer of \mathfrak{a} in K , 20
- Op , pseudodifferential operator quantization, 74
- Op , pseudodifferential operator quantization, 83
- $Op(a)$, pseudodifferential operator, 9
- $P(x, b) = e^{2\rho\langle x, b \rangle}$, Poisson kernel, 91
- S^m , space of symbols, 72
- $S_{1,0,0,\Gamma}^m$, space of Γ -invariant symbols, 83
- $S_{1,0,0}^m$, space of classical symbols, 83
- $S_{1,0,0}^m$, space of symbols of order m , 83
- S_Γ^m , space of Γ -invariant symbols, 73
- $S_{cl,\Gamma}^m$, space of Γ -invariant classical symbols, 73
- $S^{-\infty} = \cap_m S^m$, smoothing symbols, 90
- S_α , reflection in the hyperplane \mathfrak{a}_α , 19
- S_{cl}^m , space of classical symbols, 73
- T_g , group action, 27
- T_g , translation, 69
- $T_{g,h}$, translation, 69
- U , Kohn-Nirenberg operator, 75
- U^t , wave group, 10
- V^t , translation operator associated to the geodesic flow, 10
- W , Weyl group, 20
- W_{λ_j} , Wigner distribution, 11
- $X(\infty)$, set of points at infinity, 26
- $X = G/K$, symmetric space, 13
- $X = G/K$, symmetric space of the noncompact type, 16
- X_Γ , compact hyperbolic manifold, 13
- $Z(X)$, centralizer of X , 19
- $Z_K(\mathfrak{a})$, centralizer of \mathfrak{a} in K , 19
- $Z_N(H)$, the centralizer of H in N , 57
- $Z_N(X)$, centralizer of X in N , 22
- Ad , adjoint representation, 17
- Δ_N , radial part, 40
- Γ , Harish-Chandra's isomorphism, 39
- $\Psi^m(M)$, space of classical pseudodifferential operators on M of order m , 9
- Σ^+ , set of positive roots, 20
- $\Sigma_s^+ = \Sigma_0^+ \cap s^{-1}\Sigma_0^-$, partial positive roots, 25
- ad , adjoint representation, 17
- $*$, convolution product, 77
- χ_μ , homomorphism, 41
- $\mathbb{D}(A)$, algebra of translation-invariant differential operators on A , 83

- $\mathbb{D}(G)$, algebra of translation-invariant differential operators on G , 39
 $\mathbb{D}(G/H)$, algebra of translation-invariant differential operators on G/H , 39
 $\mathbb{D}_W(A)$, Weyl group invariant differential operators on A , 39
 $\gamma(-\infty)$, equivalence class of a geodesic, 26
 $\gamma(\infty)$, equivalence class of a geodesic, 26
 γ_X , geodesic, 27
 λ , symmetrization map, 41
 λ_α , normalization of $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$, 24
 $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, Killing form, 19
 $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, metric, 16
 $\langle x, \xi \rangle$, composite distance from a point x to a horocycle ξ , 33
 \mathfrak{n}^\perp , orthogonal complement of \mathfrak{n} , 56
 \log , inverse of the exponential map, 20
 $\mathbb{D}(G/K)$, algebra of translation invariant-differential operators on G/K , 92
 $\mathcal{A}_T(B)$, Banach space, 91
 $\mathcal{D}'(B)_\Gamma^{(1)}$, space of distributions, 96
 $\mathcal{D}'(B)_\Gamma^{(1)}$, subspace of $\mathcal{D}'(B)$, 96
 $\mathcal{D}'(B)_\lambda$, subspace of $\mathcal{D}'(B)$, 99
 $\mathcal{D}(M) = C_c^\infty(M)$, space of compactly supported smooth function on M , 36
 $\mathcal{D}(V)$, compactly supported smooth functions on V an open set V , 35
 $\mathcal{D}_K(M)$, space of functions supported with compact support in K , 36
 $\mathcal{E}(M) = C^\infty(M)$, space of smooth functions on M , 36
 $\mathcal{E}(V)$, smooth functions on an open set V , 35
 $\mathcal{E}^*(X)$, subspace of $\mathcal{E}(X)$, 92
 $\mathcal{E}_\lambda^*(X)$, subspace of $\mathcal{E}_\lambda(X)$, 92
 $\mathcal{E}_\chi(X)$, joint eigenspace, 91
 $\mathcal{E}_\lambda(X)$, joint eigenspace, 91
 $\mathcal{E}_\lambda^*(X)$, joint eigenspace, 92
 $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^* \times B)$, space of functions, 68
 $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^* \times B)_W$, space of functions, 68
 $\mathcal{H}^R(\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^* \times B)$, space of functions, 68
 $\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^* \times B)_W$, space of functions, 69
 $\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^* \times B)$, space of functions, 69
 $\mathcal{K}^R(\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^* \times B)$, space of functions, 69
 \mathcal{L}^m , properly supported operators in OPS^m , 74
 $\mathcal{L}_{1,0,0}^m$, properly supported operators in $\text{OPS}_{1,0,0}^m$, 83
 \mathcal{L}_Γ^m , properly supported operators in OPS_Γ^m , 74
 \mathcal{R} , Radon transform, 109
 \mathcal{R} , weighted Radon transform, 111
 \mathfrak{a} , abelian Lie algebra, 18
 \mathfrak{a}^+ , positive Weyl chamber, 19
 \mathfrak{g}_α , root subspace, 18
 \mathfrak{n} , nilpotent Lie algebra, 20
 \mathfrak{p} , orthogonal complement of \mathfrak{k} , 17
 μ_L , Liouville measure, 10
 \overline{T}_g , group action, 28
 $\rho = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+} m_\alpha \alpha$, parameter, 21
 ρ_g , right-translation by g in G , 39
 $\sigma_{Op(a)}$, principal symbol of a pseudodifferential operator $Op(a)$, 9
 $\tau(g)$, translation on G/H , 39
 ε , homomorphism, 53
 a_t , one-dimensional parameterization of A , 29
 $b_{-\infty}$, boundary point, 27
 b_∞ , boundary point, 27
 $e_s(\lambda)$, Harish-chandra's e -functions, 25
 $e_{\lambda,b}$, non-Euclidean plane waves, 41
 h^g , conjugation in G , 52
 m_α , multiplicity of a simple root α , 21
 u^g , action of $g \in G$ on $u \in U(\mathfrak{g})$, 52

- analytic functionals (hyperfunctions), 91
- asymptotic expansion, 73
- asymptotically equivalent geodesics, 26
- boundary values, 92
- Cartan decomposition, 17
- centralizer of A in N , 22
- centralizer of X in \mathfrak{n} , 22
- classical symbol, 73
- complete symbol, 71
- composite distance, 33
- constant term of $u \in U(\mathfrak{g})$, 53
- convolution product, 77
- differential operator on \mathbb{R}^n , 35
- differential operator on a manifold, 36
- distance function, 38
- distribution, 37
- distributional Schwartz-kernel of an operator, 71
- divergence, 38
- dual positive Weyl chamber, 68
- dual space, 33
- eigenspace, 38
- Euclidean Fourier inversion formula, 66
- Euclidean Fourier transform, 66
- exponential growth, 92
- exponential map, 17
- Fourier transform, 67
- full symbol, 66, 71
- geodesic symmetry, 15
- gradient, 37
- Helgason boundary values, 92
- homogeneous symbol, 73
- horocycle, 32
- horocycle bracket, 34
- imbedding of functions into distributions, 37
- incident, 31
- inductive limit topology, 37
- invariant differential operator, 37
- invariant function, 69
- invariant state, 11
- invariant under a diffeomorphism, 37
- Iwasawa projections, 21
- joined by a geodesic, 26
- joint eigenfunction, 91
- joint eigenspace, 41, 91
- Killing form, 15
- Laplace-Beltrami operator, 38
- left-invariant asymptotic expansion, 122
- microlocal defect measure, 11
- non-Euclidean Fourier transform, 67
- norm induced by the Killing form, 18
- normal, 33
- one-parameter subgroup, 40
- OPS^m , pseudodifferential operators in $Op(S^m)$, 74
- origin, 26
- Paley-Wiener estimates, 68
- parabolic, 29
- Patterson-Sullivan distribution, 108
- Plancherel formula, 68
- point at infinity, 26
- Poisson transform, 91
- positive root, 19
- positive Weyl chamber, 19
- preimage of the positive Weyl chamber, 68
- principal series, 93
- principal series representations, 65
- principal symbol, 87
- properly supported operator, 70

- quantum limit, 11
- radial part of a differential operator, 40
- Radon transform, 109
- rank of a symmetric space, 18
- real rank, 20
- reductive, 17
- regular, 19
- root, 18
- root space decomposition, 19
- root vector, 19
- second countable manifold, 36
- semisimple, 16
- simple root, 19
- spectral order principle, 122
- spectrum, 38
- support, 36
- support of a distribution, 37
- symbol asymptotics, 73
- symbol estimates, 72
- symbol of order $m \in \mathbb{R}$, 72
- symmetric algebra, 40
- symmetrization, 41
- trace, 15
- triangular part of the Iwasawa decomposition, 53, 115
- two-point homogeneous space, 42
- uniform exponential type, 68
- uniformly properly supported, 74
- visibility axiom, 28
- weighted Radon transform, 111
- Weyl chambers, 19
- Weyl group, 19